DNA FINGERPRINT BANDS LINKED TO LOCI CODING EGG PRODUCTION TRAITS IN CHICKEN

By

MOATAZ IBRAHIM BADWE IBRAHIM

B.Sc. Agric. Cooperative Sc, High Institute for Agricultural Co-operation, 1999M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Breeding), Ain Shams University, 2006

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Agricultural Science (Poultry Breeding)

Department of Poultry Production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

DNA FINGERPRINT BANDS LINKED TO LOCI CODING EGG PRODUCTION TRAITS IN CHICKEN

By

MOATAZ IBRAHIM BADWE IBRAHIM

B.Sc. Agric. Cooperative Sc, High Institute for Agricultural Co-operation, 1999M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Breeding), Ain Shams University, 2006

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Hassan Hassan Yoounis.....

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University

Dr. Ahmed Galal El-Sayed Gad.....

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ali Zein El-Dein Hassan Farrag.....

Prof. Emeritus of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Date of Examination: 4/6/2011

DNA FINGERPRINT BANDS LINKED TO LOCI CODING EGG PRODUCTION TRAITS IN CHICKEN

By

MOATAZ IBRAHIM BADWE IBRAHIM

B.Sc. Agric. Cooperative Sc, High Institute for Agricultural Co-operation, 1999M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Breeding), Ain Shams University, 2006

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Ali Zein El-Dein Hassan Farrag

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal supervisor)

Dr. Moataz Mohamed Fathi Ahmed

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Esam Foad Abd El-Hameid

Head of Research of Poultry Breeding, Animal and Poultry Production Research Institute

بالمواقع الوراثية

حزم البصمة الوراثية للحمض النووي DNA حزم البيض لصفات انتاج البيض

رسالة مقدمة من

معتز ابراهيم بدوي

بكالوريوس علوم تعاونيه زراعية,المعهد العالي للتعاون الزراعي، 1999 ماجستير علوم زراعية (تربية دواجن)، جامعة عين شمس، 2006

للحصول على درجة دكتور فلسفة في العلوم الزراعية (تربية دواجن)

قسم إنتاج الدواجن كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس

حزم البصمة الوراثية للحمض النووي DNA حزم البصمة الوراثية لصفات انتاج البيض

معتر ابراهيم بدوي بكالوريوس علوم تعاونيه زراعية,المعهد العا 1999 ماجستير علوم زراعية (تربية) جامعة عين شمس، 2006

في العلوم الزراعية (تربية)

اللجنة:
. حسن حسن يونس
تربية كلية الزراعة كفر الشيخ
. أحمد جلال السيد جاد.
تربية كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس
د. على زين الدين حسن فراج

كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس

تاريخ المناقشة: 4 / 6 / 2011

تربية

وقد تمت مناقشة الرسالة والموافقة عليها

جامعة عين شمس كلية الزراعة

: معتز ابراهيم بدوي

: حـزم البصـمة الوراثيـة للحمـض النـووي DNA المرتبط بالمواقع الوراثية لصفات انتاج البيض في

: دكتور فلسفة في العلوم الزراعية (تربية دواجن)

لجنة الإشراف:

د. علي زين الدين حسن فراج

دواجن ، قسم انتاج الدواجن ، كلية الزارعة ، جامعة عين شمس (

ستاذ تربية الدواجن

المشرف الرئيسي)

د. معتز محمد فتحى أحمد

تربية ، قسم إنتاج الدواجن، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس

د. عصام فؤاد عبد الحميد

رئيس بحوث معهد حوث الانتاج الحيواني و الدواجن

تاريخ لتسجيل: 19/9/2007 الدراسات العليا

أجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ

2011 / 6 /4

مجلس الكلية

2011 / /

ABSTRACT

Moataz Ibrahim Badwe Ibrahim: DNA Fingerprint Bands Linked to Loci Coding Egg Production Traits in Chicken. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2011.

The aims of this study were: the first study was conducted at Misr Arab Poultry Company (MAP) to evaluate the effects of age, strain and their interaction on body weight and the internal and external egg quality measurement. The second study was to identifying quantitative trait loci QTL associated with economic and biological internal and external egg quality traits to explain the differences between two commercial broiler breeder strains by using PCR Fingerprint.

Main results obtained could be summarizing as follows:

- The avian48 hens were significantly heavier body weight compared to Hubbard ones at different ages.
- There was no significant difference in egg weight between strains.
- There was no difference between strains for egg production rate from 29 to 40 weeks of age.
- The Hubbard eggs had significantly heavier yolk weight than Avian48 ones.
- The present results revealed that there was a significantly decreased in yolk index (P<0.0001) with progressive age for Avian48 and Hubbard strains.
- There was no significant difference between strains for albumen height and Haugh unit.
- The Hubbard eggs were significantly higher breaking strength compared to Avian48 ones at all studied ages.
- The shape index of Avian48 eggs was significantly higher than that of Hubbard ones.
- There was no significant difference in egg shell weight between strains.

- The Avian48 eggs had significantly thickened eggshell with membranes than that of Hubbard ones.
- The molecular weight for Avian48 and Hubbard broiler breeder strains were 285bp for marker MCW241.
- The result referred to was no significant different between Avian48 and Hubbard broiler breeder strains for the marker MCW241.
- The marker ADL365 for both the Hubbard strain had four lanes the alleles were 290bp molecular weight and seven lanes the alleles were 198bp molecular weight and the Avian48 had two lanes the alleles were 290bp molecular weight and seven lanes the alleles were 198bp molecular weight.
- There was no significant difference between strains marker ADL365.
- The molecular weight marker MCW114 was 238bp for both Avian48 and Hubbard broiler breeder strains.
- The marker MCW0114 was no significant different for Avian48 and Hubbard broiler breeder strain.
- The molecular weight for MCW200 was 250bp for both Avian48 and Hubbard broiler breeder strains.
- The marker MCW200 was no significant different for Avian48 and Hubbard broiler breeder strain.

Key words: Eggs quality, egg shell quality, body weight, broiler breeder, microsatellite marker, QTL.

CONTENTS

		Page
	List OF TABLES	i
	LIST OF FIGURES	viii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1.	Broiler breeder	3
1.1.	Avian48	3
1.2.	Hubbard	7
2.	Body weight	8
3.	Egg weight and egg production	9
4.	Egg quality measurement	10
4.1.	Internal egg quality measurement	11
4.1.1.	Yolk quality	11
4.1.1.1.	Yolk weight and percentage	11
4.1.1.2.	Egg yolk index	11
4.1.1.3.	Albumen weight and Albumen height	12
4.1.1.4.	Haugh unit HU	12
4.2.	External egg quality measurement	13
4.2.1.	Shape index	13
4.2.2.	Egg shell weight wet	14
4.2.3.	Egg shell percentage	15
4.2.4.	Egg shell thickness with membranes	15
4.2.5.	Shell strength	15
5.	Genetic markers in the chicken	16
6.	Genome-wide QTL scan	18
6.1.	Body weight	30
6.2.	Egg weight	31
6.3	Egg production	32
6.4.	Egg quality	32
6.4.1.	Haugh unit HU	32

6.4.2.	Albumen high	33
6.4.3.	Yolk weight	33
6.5.	Egg Shell quality	33
Ш	MATERIALS AND METHODS	36
1.	Measurements and observations	36
1.1.	Body weight	36
1.2.	Egg production parameters	36
1.2.1.	Egg production rate	36
1.2.2.	Egg weight	37
1.3.	Egg quality assessment	37
1.3.1.	Egg weight	37
1. 3. 2.	Internal egg quality	37
1. 3. 2. 1.	Yolk quality measurements	38
1. 3. 2. 1. 1.	Yolk weight	38
1. 3. 2. 1. 2.	Yolk percentage	38
1. 3. 2. 1. 3.	Yolk index	38
1. 3. 2. 2.	Albumen quality measurements	39
1. 3. 2. 2. 1.	Albumen weight	39
1. 3. 2. 2. 2.	Albumen height	39
1. 3. 2. 2. 3.	Haugh unit (HU)	39
1. 3. 3.	External egg quality (eggshell quality)	40
1. 3. 3.1.	Egg shape index	40
	Breaking strength with Quasi Static	
1. 3. 3.2.	Compression (QSC) Fathi and El-Sahar	40
	apparatus (kg/cm2)	
1. 3. 3.3.	Egg shell weight	41
1. 3. 3.3.1.	Egg wet shell weight	41
1. 3. 3.2.	Shell percentage	42
1. 3. 3. 3.	Shell thickness	42
1. 3. 3. 3. 1.	Shell thickness with membranes	42
1. 3. 3. 3. 2.	Shell thickness without membranes	42
3.	Statistical analysis	43

4.	Blood sample	43
5.	DNA isolation	43
6.	Microsatellite loci	44
7.	PCR program	45
8.	Analysis Solutions for 1D Gel	46
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	47
1.	Body weight	47
2.	Egg production parameters	49
3.	Egg quality measurements	51
3.1.	Egg weight	52
3.2.	internal egg quality	53
3.2.1.	Yolk quality measurement	53
3.2.1.1.	Yolk weight	53
3.2.1.2.	Egg yolk index	55
3.2.2.	Albumen quality measurement	56
3.2.2.1.	Albumen weight	56
3.2.2.2.	Albumen height	57
3.2.2.3.	Haugh unit	58
3.3.	External egg quality (eggshell quality)	59
	Breaking strength with Quasi Static	
3.3.1.	Compression (QSC) Fathi and El-Sahar	60
	apparatus (kg/cm2)	
3.3.2.	Shape index	61
3.3.3.	Shell weight	62
3.3.3.1.	Wet shell weight	62
3.3.3.2.	Egg shell percentage	63
3.3.3.2.	Egg shell thickness with membranes	64
4.	Molecular genetic analysis	66
4.1.	Body weight	66
4.2.	Egg weight	67

4.3.	Haugh unit HU and albumen height	67
4.4.	Yolk weight	68
4.5.	Egg shell quality	69
٧.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	74
VI	REFERENCES	78
	ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	Breeder performance for Avian 48	5
Table 2.	Global Cobb Performance (to 65 weeks)	5
Table 3.	Egg weights (grams) for Avian48	6
Table 4.	Adult body weight (grams) for Avian48	7
Table 5.	Breeder performance for Hubbard	8
Table 6.	the average egg size in broiler breeder	10
Table 7.	Primer sequence	45
	Mean ± S.D body weight of broiler breeder	
Table 8.	hens was affected by strain, age and their	48
	interaction between strain and age.	
	Mean ± S.D egg weight of broiler breeder	
Table 9.	hens was affected by strain, age and their	50
	interaction between strain and age.	
	Mean ± S.D egg weight of broiler breeder	
Table 10.	hens was affected by strain, age and their	52
Table 10.	interaction between strain and age. At	JL
	different ages.	
	Mean ± S.D yolk weight of broiler breeder	
Table 11.	hens was affected by strain, age and their	54
	interaction between strain and age. At	•
	different age.	
	Mean ± S.D yolk index of broiler breeder	
Table 12.	hens was affected by strain, age and their	56
	interaction between strain and age. At	
	different age.	
	Mean ± S.D albumen weight of broiler	
	breeder hens was affected by strain, age	
Table 13.	and their interaction between strain and	57
	age. At different age.	

	Mean ± S.D albumen height of broiler	
Table 4.4	breeder hens was affected by strain, age	EO
Table 14.	and their interaction between strain and	58
	age. At different age.	
	Mean ± S.D haugh unit of broiler breeder	
T.I. 45	hens was affected by strain, age and their	
Table 15.	interaction between strain and age. At	59
	different age.	
	Mean ± S.D eggshell breaking strength of	
T-11- 40	broiler breeder hens was affected by strain,	
Table 16.	age and their interaction between strain and	61
	age. At different age.	
	Mean ± S.D shape index of broiler breeder	
Table 47	hens was affected by strain, age and their	co
Table 17.	interaction between strain and age. At	62
	different age.	
	Mean ± S.D egg shell weight of broiler	63
Table 18.	breeder hens was affected by strain, age	
Table 10.	and their interaction between strain and	03
	age. At different age.	
	Mean ± S.D egg shell percentage of broiler	64
Table 19.	breeder hens was affected by strain, age	
Table 13.	and their interaction between strain and	04
	age. At different age.	
	Mean \pm S.D egg shell thickness with	
Table 20.	membranes of broiler breeder hens was	65
Table 20.	affected by strain, age and their interaction	
	between strain and age. At different age.	
	Number of amplified fragments of Hubbard	
Table 21.	and avian48 strains based on Simple	70
	sequence repeats marker	

Table 22. T test of the number bands appeared for Hubbard and Avian48 broiler breeder

71