

EVALUATION OF NUTRITIONAL SYSTEMS FOR MAXIMIZING MILK PRODUCTION

By

AHMED ABDELKADER IBRAHIM ABOAMER

B. Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 2004

M. Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2009

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

**AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE
(ANIMAL NUTRITION)**

**Department of Animal Production
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2015

Approval Sheet

EVALUATION OF NUTRITIONAL SYSTEMS FOR MAXIMIZING MILK PRODUCTION

By

AHMED ABDELKADER IBRAHIM ABOAMER

B. Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 2004

M. Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2009

This thesis for Ph. D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Hanafy Ahmed

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

Dr. Fouad Abd El-Aziz Fouad Salem

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Aly Khorshed

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Ahmed El-Sayed

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Date of Examination:9/4/2015

EVALUATION OF NUTRITIONAL SYSTEMS FOR MAXIMIZING MILK PRODUCTION

By

AHMED ABDELKADER IBRAHIM ABOAMER

B. Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 2004

M. Sc. Agric. Sci. (Animal Production), Cairo University, 2009

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Ahmed El-Sayed

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty
of Agriculture, Ain Shams University(Principal supervisor)

Dr. Mahmmoud Mohammed Aly Khorshed

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Production, Faculty
of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Salah El-Din Abd El-Moneim Hassan Abo El-Nor

Researcher Prof. of Milk Production, Department of Dairy Science,
National Research Centre

ABSTRACT

Ahmed Abdelkader Ibrahim Aboamer: Evaluation of Nutritional Systems for Maximizing Milk Production. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Animal production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2015.

The aim of this study was to assess the impact of incorporating the nutrient synchrony concept into the traditional least cost ration to be more appropriate to ruminants. Ruminal in situ degradation kinetics of organic matter (OM) and crude protein (CP) for seven feed ingredients were determined using in situ technique and used subsequently with available information about feeding management in order to formulate a synchronous least-cost ration using a new developed software application “Lacto-Sheep”. Fifteen multiparous lactating Barki ewes (35.71 ± 1.75 kg), suckling single were randomly assigned to three groups (5 ewes each). The first group fed the least-cost ration (R1); The second group fed synchronous least-cost ration (R2); The third group fed synchronous least-cost ration with minimal amount of berseem; only one-third of berseem quantity (R3). The calculated synchrony index (SI) for R1 was 0.6 compared with 0.87 and 0.90 for R1 and R2, respectively. Results revealed that R1 had insufficient N to support maximum microbial protein synthesis, over 75% of the day. Although, R2 and R3 were considered to be synchronous, R3 had less total number of hours that predicted N:OM ratios were out of the permissible range of synchronization compared with R2. There were no significant effect of dietary treatment on in OM, CP, CF, NFE digestion coefficients and nutritive values. Both R1 and R2 showed a considerable decrease ($P < 0.05$) in EE digestibility. Blood serum total protein, globulin, AST and triglycerides concentration were not significantly affected. However, R3 had a significantly higher serum albumin than R1. Feeding on synchronous rations resulted in an increase in serum ALT. Group fed on R1 had the lowest serum glucose concentration (32.79 mg/dl). In contrast, R3 had the highest serum

glucose concentration (58.58 mg/dl). Serum cholesterol concentration was significantly increased in R3. Daily milk yield and its composition and characteristics did not significantly affected among dietary treatments; however, synchronous least cost ration (R2) had the highest daily milk yield, ECM and milk fat%. Feeding of synchronous diets resulted in an insignificant increase in milk urea-N and significant increase in serum urea-N. Group fed on R2 had the highest milk production efficiency. The highest net income (EGP/h/60d) was recorded by the group fed on R2 followed by those fed on R3 then those fed on R1, being 154.08, 140.43 and 80.38, respectively. The proposed synchronous least cost ration was more appropriate for ruminant animals compared with the traditional least cost ration.

Key Words: Synchronous least-cost ration, milk yield and composition, ruminal in situ degradation kinetics, Lacto-Sheep, lactating Barki ewes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank **Allah**, the most gracious, most beneficent most merciful for the help and guidance to achieve goals and make possible.

I wish to express my sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Professor Dr. Hamdy M. A. El-Sayed**, Professor of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his kind and close supervision, valuable assistance, guidance through the course of study.

Many thanks are also due to **Professor Dr. Salah Abo El-Nor**, Professor of Dairy Production, Dairy Science Department, National Research Center for his advice and valuable instructions throughout the course of the study, providing all necessary facilities required for the experimental work, continuous help and encouragement.

Deep thanks are due to **Professor Dr. Mahmoud M. A. H. Khorshed**, Professor of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his continuous help, guidance and patient throughout the course of this work.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Abd El-Kader M. Kholif**, Professor of Dairy Production, Dairy Science Department, National Research Centre, for valuable helps, guidance and patient throughout the course of this work.

Special thanks are due to **Dr. Hisham M. Saleh**, Professor of Animal Nutrition, Biological Applications Department, Nuclear Research Center, Atomic Energy Authority, for his valuable helps, guidance and facilities they offered during the in vivo trials.

Grateful appreciation is also extended to **Dr. Ibrahim M. Khattab**, Assistant Professor of Animal Nutrition, Department of Animal Nutrition, Desert Research Center, for his kind help, guidance and facilities they offered and help during the in situ trials.

Deep thanks are due to **Dr. Mostafa S. Khattab**, Researcher of Dairy Production, Dairy Science Department, National Research Centre, for his kind help,encouragement and support throughout this work.

Grateful acknowledgment should be also extend to the staff members Dairy Science Department, National Research Centre for all facilities they offered to make this work possible.

Finally, deepest appreciation and sincere gratefulness are due to **my late father, my mother, my brother and sisters** for their encouragement and moral support during all my life.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
ABBREVIATIONS	ix
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1. Balanced ration	3
2. Least-cost ration formulation	3
3. Optimization methods	4
3.1. Linear programming method	4
3.2. Nonlinear programming method	5
3.3. Optimization tools	6
4. Available ration balancing software	6
5. Availability and use of computer programs	7
6. Least-cost ration assumptions and problems	7
7. Nutrient synchrony	9
7.1. Challenges to nutrient synchrony	12
7.2. Strategies to optimize synchrony	13
7.2.1. Timing of feed delivery	14
7.2.2. Supplement types and form in which the nutrients are supplied	16
7.2.3. Balancing energy to protein ratio	16
MATERIALS AND METHODS	18

1. The first stage [In situ characterization of feedstuffs]	18
1.1. Incubation procedure	18
1.2. Calculation of the degradability coefficients.....	19
2. The second stage [Formulation and evaluation of rations using the developed software application (Lacto-Sheep)]	20
2.1. Programming languages and operating system	21
2.2. Application design	21
2.3. Experimental rations formulation.....	22
2.3.1. Least-cost ration (LCR)	22
2.3.2. Synchronous least-cost ration (SLCR)	28
3. The third stage [Farm trials].....	32
3.1. Experimental animals.....	32
3.2. Experimental rations.....	32
3.3. Production parameters.....	33
3.3.1. Milk yield and composition	33
3.3.2. Energy corrected milk.....	34
3.3.3. Gross efficiency	35
3.3.4. Milk production efficiency	35
3.3.5. Changes in body weight.....	35
3.4. Sampling and analysis of blood serum.....	36
3.5. Determination of digestion coefficients.....	36
3.6. Feed and fecal analysis	37
3.7. Nutritive values	37
4. Statistical analysis.....	37
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	39

1. The first stage [In situ characterization of feedstuffs]	39
2. The second stage [Formulation and evaluation of rations using the developed software application (Lacto-Sheep)]	45
2.1. Rations formulation and dry matter intake	45
2.2. Nutrient requirements versus feed supply	48
2.3. Predicted rumen kinetics	48
3. The third stage [Farm trials]	53
3.1. Digestion coefficients and nutritive values	53
3.2. Blood serum parameters	56
3.3. Milk yield and composition	59
3.4. Production efficiency	61
3.5. Milk physical characteristics	62
3.6. Milk urea-N concentration.....	63
3.7. Changes in body weight.....	65
3.7.1. Ewes' body weight.....	65
3.7.2. Lambs growth performance	65
3.8. Economical evaluation of experimental rations.....	66
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	68
REFERENCES.....	67
APPENDIX.....	95
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Comparison among many of sheep's rations balancing software.....	8
2. Chemical composition, nutritive values, prices, minimum and maximum limits of feedstuffs (on DM basis).	24
3. Ingredients of the experimental rations.....	33
4. Ingredients and chemical composition of the concentrate feed mixtures.....	33
5. In situ OM degradability for tested feedstuffs.....	40
6. In situ CP degradability for tested feedstuffs.....	43
7. Nutrient requirements versus supply for animals fed on experimental rations.	49
8. Experimental rations synchrony indices and some statistical measurements for the predicted hourly ratios of N:OM release in the rumen.....	52
9. Digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of experimental rations.....	54
10. Effect of experimental rations on ewes' some blood serum parameters.	56
11. Milk yield and composition of ewes fed on the experimental rations.....	60
12. Milk production efficiency of animals fed on the experimental rations.....	61

Table Page

13. Milk physical characteristics of animals fed on the experimental rations.	63
14. Milk urea-N concentrations and milk:serum urea-N ratio of animals fed on the experimental rations.	63
15. Changes in ewes' body weight (kg) during experimental period.....	65
16. Growth performance of lambs suckled their dams which fed on the experimental rations.	66
17. Economical evaluation of animals fed on the experimental rations...	67

LIST OF FIGURES

FigurePage

1. The sequence of operations for the written application “Lacto-Sheep”.....	23
2. Daily DMI from various concentrated feedstuffs as a percentage of the maximum limit of DMI from concentrates.....	47
3. Daily DMI from berseem and rice straw as a percentage of the maximum limit of DMI from roughages.....	48
4. Daily DMI from rations as a percentage of the maximum limit of DMI.....	48
5. Predicted hourly ratios of N:OM release in the rumen of animals fed on the experimental rations.	50
6. Milk production efficiency of animals fed on the experimental rations.....	62

ABBREVIATIONS

ADG	Average daily gain
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
BSC	Body condition score
BW	Body weight
CF	Crude fiber
CFM	Concentrate feed mixture
CLR	Common language runtime
CP	Crude protein
d	Day
DE	Digestible energy
DIP	Degradable intake protein
dl	Deciliter = 10^{-2} liter
DM	Dry matter
DMI	Dry matter intake
ECM	Energy corrected milk
ED	Effective degradability
ED_{CP}	Effective degraded fraction of CP
ED_{OM}	Effective degraded fraction of OM
EE	Ether extract
EGP	Egyptian pound
FCM	Fat-corrected milk
g	Gram = 10^{-3} kg
GAMS	General algebraic modeling system
GE	Gross efficiency
h.	Hour
HLS	Hybrid local search
hrs.	Hours
IU	International unit
k	The rumen outflow rate (fraction/h.)

kg	Kilogram = 10^3 g
LCR	Least-cost ration
LINDO	Linear interactive and discrete optimizer
LP	Linear programming
Mcal	Mega calorie = 10^6 calorie
mg	Milligram = 10^{-3} gram
ml	Milliliter = 10^{-3} liter
MP	Mathematical programming
MPE	Milk production efficiency
MSF	Microsoft solver foundation
MUN	Milk urea nitrogen
N	Nitrogen
NDF	Neutral detergent fiber
NEI	Net energy intake
NEL	Net energy of lactation
NFE	Nitrogen free extract
NLP	Nonlinear programming
NPN	Non-protein nitrogen
OM	Organic matter
RDP	Rumen degradable protein
RUP	Rumen undegradable protein
SI	Synchrony index
SLCR	Synchronous least-cost ration
SNF	Solids-not-fat
SUN	Serum urea nitrogen
TS	Total solids
UIP	Undegradable intake protein