# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

# " قالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت العليم الحكيم"

صدق الله العظيم سورة البقرة ٣٢

#### المشرفون

أ.د. / هبة صلاح الدين حمزة أستاذ العلاج التحفظى للأسنان كلية طب الفم و الأسنان جامعة القاهرة

د. / داليا مصطفى أبو المجد أستاذ مساعد العلاج التحفظى للأسنان كلية طب الفم و الأسنان جامعة القاهرة

د. / وائل عطية توفيق أستاذ مساعد بقسم بحوث طب الفم والأسنان الشعبة الطبية المركز القومى للبحوث

# التأثير السريري لمنتجين ذي مكونات طبيعية على تثبيط التسوس

رسالة مقدمة من الطبيبة / هدى رأفت محمد رياض بكالوريوس طب الفم والأسنان

7..7

كلية طب الفم والأسنان جامعة القاهرة

# In Vivo Effect of Two Products With Natural Ingredients on Caries Inhibition

#### **Thesis**

Submitted to The Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements

for the Master Degree

In

**Operative Dentistry** 

By

**Hoda Raafat Mohamed Riad** 

**B.D.S, 2002** 

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

2009

# **Supervisors**

Prof. Dr. Heba Salah El Din Hamza

Professor of Operative Dentistry

Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Dalia Mostafa Aboul Magd

Assistant Professor of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Wael Attia Tawfeek

Associate Research Professor at Dental Department
National Research Centre

**Abstract** 

**Objective:** The objective of the current study was to evaluate the effect of propolis

and licorice; as products with natural ingredients, on the salivary S. mutans counts and

the buffering capacity in high caries risk patients of age ranging between 18 and 30

years old in a three months duration study.

Materials and Methods: The study comprised 30 high caries risk subjects, their

caries risk was assessed considering DMFT scores and salivary S. mutans counts.

Stimulated whole saliva samples were collected. Diluted saliva samples were cultured

on Mitis Salivarius Bacitracin agar plates, and the buffering capacity was measured.

The subjects were randomly divided into three groups: control group which performed

only mechanical tooth brushing, propolis group which performed tooth brushing in

addition to the use of propolis containing mouthrinse, and licorice group which

performed tooth brushing in addition to the use of DGL chewable licorice tablets.

Saliva samples were taken at three intervals; at the baseline of the study, after one

month and after three months.

**Results:** During the whole period of the study, the propolis group showed statistically

significant decrease in the mean values for the change in log salivary S. mutans counts

at p≤0.001, the licorice group showed statistically significant decrease in the mean

values for the change in log salivary S. mutans counts at p $\le$ 0.05, while no statistically

significant difference was found for the control group at p>0.05. Also propolis group

exhibited statistically significant increase in the salivary buffering capacity, licorice

group exhibited slight increase, while the control group did not.

Conclusion: it was concluded that propolis and licorice have antibacterial effect

against S. mutans and propolis can increase the buffering capacity of the saliva, and so

propolis containing mouthrinse could be effective in inhibiting dental caries.

**Keywords:** S. mutans, Buffering capacity, Propolis, Licorice

#### List of Abbreviations

**DMFT** Decayed missing filled teeth

**DMFS** Decayed missing filled surface

**DGL** Deglycyrrhizinated licorice

**CFU** Colony forming units

**TYCSB** Tryptic Soy Broth

MSB Mitis Salivarius Bacitracin

MS Mutans streptococci

**LB** Lactobacilli

**CLT** Conventional laboratory test

**CRT** Caries risk test

**ICDAS** Internantional Caries Detection & Assessment System

**EEP** Ethanolic extract of propolis

WIG Water insoluble glucan

**RPHPLC** Reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography

MIC Minimum inhibitory concentration

MBC Minimum bactercidial concentration

**GTF** Glucosyltransferase

**BHIB** Brain heart infusion broth

MHB Mueller Hinton Broth

**BGP** Brazilian green propolis

**EEH** Ethanolic extract of hexane fraction

**WSEP** Water soluble extract of propolis

**FT-IR** Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

**SAS** Statistical analysis system

**q.s.** Quantum satis

## List of Figures

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Figure (1): Propolis containing mouthrinse   | 42   |
| Figure (2): DGL chewable licorice tablets  | 42   |
| Figure (3): Sensodyne original toothpaste  | 43   |
| Figure (4): Paraffin wax   | 43   |
| Figure (5): Mitis Salivarius agar medium   | 44   |
| Figure (6): Bacitracin discs and Tellurite solution  | 44   |
| Figure (7): Mitis Salivarius agar medium plates  | 45   |
| Figure (8): Hand-held pH meter   | 45   |
| Figure (9): Automatic micropipettes  | 46   |
| Figure (10): Incubator   | 46   |
| Figure (11): L-shaped glass rod  | 47   |
| Figure (12): Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy   | 47   |
| Figure (13): Chemical analysis of propolis by FT-IR  | 55   |
| Figure (14): Saliva sample   | 56   |
| Figure (15): Inoculation of the diluted saliva sample  | 56   |
| Figure (16): Propolis group, salivary <i>S.mutans</i> on MSB agar plates                                   | 57   |
| Figure (17): Licorice group, salivary <i>S.mutans</i> on MSB agar plates                                   | 57   |
| Fig. (18): Mean log salivary <i>S. mutans</i> count in different groups during the follow-up period.       | 61   |
| Fig. (19): Changes in log salivary <i>S. mutans</i> count in different groups during the follow-up period. | 63   |
| Fig. (20): Mean salivary buffering capacity in different groups during the follow-up period.               | 64   |

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Fig. (21): Changes in salivary buffering capacity in different groups during the follow-up period.               | 66   |
| Fig. (22): Relation between <i>S. mutans</i> count and DMFT at the baseline.                                     | 67   |
| Fig. (23): Relation between changes from $0 - 1$ month in log <i>S. mutans</i> count with the buffering capacity | 70   |
| Fig. (24): Relation between changes from $1-3$ months in log <i>S. mutans</i> count with the buffering capacity. | 70   |
| Fig. (25): Relation between changes from $0-3$ months in log <i>S. mutans</i> count with the buffering capacity  | 71   |

### List of Tables

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| Table (1): The different forms and ingredients of the materials used in the study.  | 39   |
| Table (2): The different composition of the culture medium used in the study  | 40   |
| Table (3): The equipments used in the study   | 41   |
| Table (4): Composition of propolis containing mouthrinse  | 48   |
| Table (5): Variables of the study   | 58   |
| Table (6): Interaction of variables $(n = 10)$ .  | 58   |
| Table (7): Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of the used products on log salivary <i>S. mutans</i> counts during the follow-up period.           | 60   |
| Table (8): Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of the used products on changes in log salivary <i>S. mutans</i> counts during the follow-up period | 62   |
| Table (9): Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of the used products on salivary buffering capacity during the follow-up period                     | 64   |
| Table (10): Descriptive statistics and test of significance for the effect of the used products on changes in salivary buffering capacity during the follow-up period         | 65   |
| Table (11): Correlation between DMFT with the different parameters  | 66   |
| Table (12): Correlation between the changes in salivary buffering capacity and changes in log salivary <i>S. mutans</i> count at different intervals                          | 68   |

#### **List of Contents**

|   |  | Page |
|---|--|------|
| • | Abstract   | i    |
| • | Introduction   | 1    |
| • | Review of literature                                     |      |
|   | - Caries risk assessment                                 | 3    |
|   | - Relationship between dental caries and S. mutans count | 5    |
|   | - Buffering capacity                                     | 15   |
|   | - Propolis   | 19   |
|   | - Licorice   | 33   |
| • | Aim of The Study   | 37   |
| • | Materials and Methods                                    | 38   |
| • | Results  | 59   |
| • | Discussion   | 71   |
| • | Summary and Conclusions                                  | 80   |
| • | References   | 82   |
| • | Appendix   | 93   |
| • | Arabic Summary   |      |

# Dedication

First and foremost I thank God who paved the way & only by His will everything can be achieved.

To my parents whose support & encouragement have always been my inspiration.

#### Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Heba Salah El Din Hamza*, Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her generous effort, continuous encouragement, valuable guidance, constructive suggestions and real support throughout this study.

I am also deeply indebted to *Dr. Dalia Mostafa Aboul Magd*, Assistant Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her effective cooperation, great help, valuable orientation and for scarifying much of her time for this work to be done.

I would like to express my heartful thanks & appreciation to *Dr. Wael Attia Tawfeek*, Associate Researcher Professor, Dental Department, National Research Centre, for the immense support & his infinite encouragement, making it possible to carry this work forward.

Words are not enough to express how deeply I am grateful to all the help that *Dr. Nadia M. Madani*, Lecturer of Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, have offered. I owe her a sincere appreciation, due thanks and gratitude.

I am deeply grateful to *Dr. Dina Mahmoud*, Associate Researcher Professor, Pharmacological Technology Department, National Research Centre, for her great help in providing the required material for this study.

I am gratefully acknowledging *Dr. Mohamed Zazou*, Associate Researcher Professor, Dental Department, National Research Centre, for his many helpful suggestions for improvement, which have been of great value.

My thanks are extended to *Dr. Wael Hossam Eldin*, Researcher assistant, Infrared Lab, National Research Centre, for his kind cooperation.

Finally, I would like to thank all my friends & colleagues who kindly accepted to participate in this study.

#### Introduction

Dental caries is an ancient disease that has affected the human race through its history. It is still considered one of the most prevalent infectious diseases in the world. Although the nature of the disease and the methods of treatment have advanced tremendously during the past century, the disease is still not controlled worldwide. An improvement in oral health in developed countries has been achieved with reduction in caries incidence. The use of a variety of oral hygiene products and the addition of fluoride to potable water may be contributing factors.

On the other hand, dental caries incidence is on the rise in the developing countries due to the lack of adequate community-oriented prevention or treatment programs.

To treat caries with the traditional western model based on recall, diagnosis and restoration, is beyond the financial capabilities of the majority of low-income nations. Therefore finding an economic, safe and effective alternative model for the prevention and treatment of dental caries is a necessity, especially in developing countries (**Badria and Zidan, 2004**).

Formation of dental caries is caused by the colonization and accumulation of oral microorganisms and extracellular polysaccharides that are synthesized from sucrose by glucosyltransferase of *Streptococcus mutans*.

The combination of several tests is essential to obtain a final diagnosis of caries risk mainly considering the multifactorial etiology of dental caries (**Van Houte**, **1993**), but it is impracticable to measure all the risk factors so evaluation of *Streptococcus mutans* counts and of the

buffering capacity of saliva appears to be the most predictive diagnostic approach (Wilson and Ashley, 1989).

**Propolis**; a resinous hive product, has been used for thousands of years in folk medicine for several purposes. It possesses several biological activities. Chemically, propolis is exceedingly complex and contains a rich variety of potent benzoic, caffeic and phenolic acids and also it is high in flavonoids which themselves may account for many of the benefits attributed to propolis. Although the antibacterial activity of propolis has been already demonstrated, very few studies have been done on bacteria of clinical relevance in dentistry.

**Licorice** or *Glycyrrhiza glabra* is an herb, the root of which has been used for over thousands of years to treat wide range of complaints making it one of the most widely used medicinal herb in history. Liquorice compounds such as have potential antimicrobial properties that imply a possible benefit for promoting oral health.

Accordingly, in the present study the effect of propolis and licorice; in the form of products with natural ingredients, on *Streptococcus mutans* counts and buffering capacity of saliva had been investigated. Meanwhile modifying the caries risk in a group of high risk patients was attempted.