

**Radiation effects on the structure,  
physical and mechanical properties of  
rubber/inorganic nanocomposites**

**THESIS SUBMITTED**

**BY**

***Mona kamal atia***

**(M.Sc. (Organic Chemistry) Ain shams University,  
2010)**

**FOR**

**THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

**PhD**

**IN**

**CHEMISTRY**

**TO**

**FACULTY OF SCIENCE**

**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY**

**CAIRO - EGYPT**

**2016**

**THESIS ADVISORS:**

**Prof. Dr. Abdel-Gawad Mohammad Rabie**

Prof. of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Science,  
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

**Prof. Dr. Mohammad Mansour Abdel-Aziz**

Prof. of Radiation Chemistry, National  
Center for Radiation Research and  
Technology (NCRRT), Cairo, Egypt.

**Approval Sheet**  
**Radiation effects on the structure,  
physical and mechanical properties of  
rubber/inorganic nanocomposites**

**By**

Mona kamal atia

**For**

**The degree of doctor of philosophy (PhD)**

**THESIS ADVISORS:**

**Signature**

**Prof. Dr. Abdel-Gawad Mohammad Rabie**

Prof. of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Science,  
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

.

**Prof. Dr. Mohammad Mansour Abdel-Aziz**

Prof. of Radiation Chemistry, National  
Center for Radiation Research and  
Technology (NCRRT), Cairo, Egypt.

**Prof. Dr. Hamad Ahmed Younes Derbala**

**Chairman of Chemistry Department  
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University**

## **QUALIFICATION**

**Name: Mona kamal atia**

**Scientific Degree: PhD**

**Department: Chemistry**

**College: Faculty of Science**

**University: Ain Shams**

**B.Sc. Graduation Year: 2001 (very good – Ain shams  
university)**

**M.Sc. Graduation Year: 2010 (Ain shams university)**

## *Acknowledgment*

*First of all, Thanks to "ALLAH" to whom I always pray, under the line of his holy face. I am deeply thankful to almighty God for showing me the right path and helping me to complete this work.*

*Deep thanks and gratitude to Prof. Dr. A. M. Rabie, professor of polymer chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for his interest and following up the progress in work, and supervising and continuous support all over this work.*

*The author wishes to express his deepest thanks and gratitude to Prof. Dr. M. M. Abdel-Aziz, Professor of Radiation Chemistry, National Center for Radiation Research and Technology (NCRRT), for suggesting and supervising the subject of study and for their interest and following up the progress in work, guidance, valuable proposals, suggesting the subject of application, constructive criticism, encouragement, continuous support, revising the work and presenting the thesis till it reached its present form.*

*My deep thanks are also extended to Head and all staff members of Radiation chemistry Department, NCRRT, for their help, technical assistance and encouragement.*

*My appreciation and gratitude go to my father, may God bless his soul, and my mother, brother and all members of my dear family, for their loving support, continuous backing, prayers all through my life, and understanding during the tiring period in which this work was accomplished.*

# CONTENTS

No		Page
I	Contents	I
	List of figures	V
	List of tables	XI
	Abstract	XIII
	Aim of work	XIV
	Chapter 1	1
	Introduction and Literature Review	
1.1	Radiation processing of polymers	1
1.2	Interactions of Ionizing Radiation with polymer	2
1.3	Enhancement of Radiation Crosslinking	3
1.3.1	Concept of Enhancement of Radiation Crosslinking	3
1.3.2	The strategies for improvement of radiation crosslinking can be summarized as follows	5
1.3.2.I	Increasing the number of polymer radicals in the amorphous region by	5
1.3.2.II	Increasing the probability of recombination of polymer radicals by	6
1.3.2. III.	Addition of filler	9
1.3.3	Confirmation of Radiation Crosslinkage	11
1.4	Nanocomposite	12
1.5	Montmorillonite Clay	14
1.6	Rubber /clay nanocomposites	19
1.7	EPDM /clay nanocomposites	25
1.8	Fumed Silica	31
1.9	Zirconium silicate	37
1.10	Cerium Oxide	39
II	MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES	
2.1	Materials	44
2.2	Experimental techniques	51
2.2.1	Preparation of formulated samples	51

2.2.2	Preparation of samples for irradiation	55
2.2.3	Irradiation procedure	55
2.2.4	Surface Modification of CeO <sub>2</sub>	55
2.2.5	The Preparation of tertiary amine modified clay	56
2.2.6	Measurements	56
2.2.6.1	Mechanical measurements	56
2.2.6.2	Physico-chemical measurements	58
2.2.6.3	Thermal analysis	59
2.2.6.4	Electrical measurements	60
2.2.6.5	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) measurements	60
2.2.6.6	Transmission Electron Microscope measurements	60
<b>III</b>	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	
3.1	Effect of fumed silica and zirconium silicate on physical and mechanical properties of EPDM rubber nanocomposite.	61
3.1.1	Measurement of nanoparticles size using Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	62
3.1.2	Mechanical properties	63
3.1.2.1	Mechanical properties of nanocomposites containing different contents of fumed silica and zirconium silicate	63
3.1.2.2	Mechanical properties of nanocomposites containing N, N-m phenylenedimaleimide coagent.	66
3.1.3	Soluble fraction and Swelling number	74
3.1.4	Electrical properties	79
3.1.5	Scanning electron microscope (SEM)	81
3.1.6	Thermal properties measurement	84
3.1.6.1	Effect of Silica Loadings on Thermal Stability of EPDM nanocomposites	84

3.1.6.2	Effect of Silica on the Activation Energy of Thermal Decomposition of the EPDM nanocomposites	92
3.2	Effect of fumed silica and modified montmorillonite (OMMT) nanoclay on physical and mechanical properties of EPDM rubber nanocomposites	96
3.2.1	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	96
3.2.2	Mechanical properties	97
3.2.2.1	Mechanical properties of composites containing different contents of modified (OMMT) and unmodified (MMT) montmorillonite clay.	97
3.2.2.2	Mechanical properties of nanocomposites containing 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica.	100
3.2.2.3	Mechanical properties of the nanocomposites containing N, N-m-phenylenedimaleimide coagent.	103
3.2.3	Soluble fraction and Swelling number	106
3.2.4	Electrical properties	111
3.2.5	Scanning electron microscope (SEM)	113
3.2.6	Thermal properties measurement	115
3.2.6.1	Effect of modified clay and fumed silica on the Thermal Stability of EPDM nanocomposites.	115
3.2.6.2	The activation energy of thermal decomposition of the EPDM nanocomposites containing 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica.	121
3.3	Effect of coagent and modified cerium oxide on physical and mechanical properties of $\gamma$ -irradiated EPDM rubber/montmorillonite clay nanocomposite.	124
3.3.1	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	125

<b>3.3.2</b>	<b>Mechanical properties</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>3.3.2.1</b>	<b>Mechanical properties of nanocomposites containing different contents of modified and unmodified cerium oxide.</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>3.3.2.2</b>	<b>Mechanical properties of nanocomposites containing 10 phr modified clay and different contents of cerium oxide.</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>3.3.2.3</b>	<b>Mechanical properties of nanocomposites containing N, N-m-phenylenedimaleimide coagent.</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>3.3.3</b>	<b>Soluble fraction and Swelling number</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>3.3.4</b>	<b>Electrical properties</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>3.3.5</b>	<b>Scanning electron microscope (SEM)</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>3.3.6</b>	<b>Thermal properties measurement</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>3.3.6.1</b>	<b>Effect of 10 phr modified clay and different contents of modified cerium oxide on the thermal stability of the EPDM nanocomposites</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>3.3.6.2</b>	<b>Effect of 10 phr modified clay and different contents of modified cerium oxide on the activation energy of the thermal decomposition of the EPDM nanocomposites.</b>	<b>151</b>
	<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>155</b>
	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>164</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>165</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

No		Page
Figure 1	the mechanism of radiation crosslinking enhancement by PFM	7
Figure 2	Scheme of dispersion of fillers in nanocomposites (a) Conventional microcomposite, (b) intercalated nanocomposite and (c) exfoliated nanocomposite.	14
Figure 3	Structure of clay minerals represented by montmorillonite.	15
Figure 4	TEM image of (a) fumed silica and (b) zirconium silicate.	63
Figure 5	Relation between irradiation dose and tensile strength of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different contents.	65
Figure 6	Relation between irradiation dose and elongation of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different contents.	66
Figure 7	Relation between irradiation dose and tensile strength of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate containing coagent.	68
Figure 8	Relation between irradiation dose and elongation of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate containing coagent.	69
Scheme 1	Self-crosslinking of EPDM and network formation.	70-72
Scheme 2	Crosslinking by N, N-m-phenylenedimaleimide coagent	73
Figure 9	relation between irradiation dose and solubility of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different content	75
Figure 10	Relation between irradiation dose and swelling number of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different content.	76

Figure 11	Relation between irradiation dose and solubility of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with coagent.	77
Figure 12	Relation between irradiation dose and swelling number of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with coagent.	78
Figure 13	SEM of loaded EPDM rubber irradiated to 100 kGy (a) 40 phr fumed silica, (b) 30 phr fumed silica + 10 phr zirconium silicate, (c) 20 phr fumed silica + 20 phr zirconium silicate (d) 10 phr fumed silica + 30 phr zirconium silicate, (e) 40 phr zirconium silicate.	82
Figure 14	SEM of Loaded EPDM rubber irradiated to 100 kGy (a) 40 phr fumed silica (b) 40 phr fumed silica +5 phr coagent (c) 30 phr fumed silica + 10 phr zirconium silicate (d) 30 phr fumed silica + 10 phr zirconium silicate + 5 phr cogent	83
Figure 15	TGA of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different content and irradiated to 100 kGy.	87
Figure 16	Rate of decomposition of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different content and irradiated to 100 kGy.	88
Figure 17	thermogravimetric analysis of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate irradiated to 100 kGy with &without coagent.	89
Figure 18	Rate of decomposition of EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate irradiated to 100 kGy with &without coagent.	90
Figure 19	Plot of $\ln(1/y)$ versus $1/T$ for conversion for EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different content and irradiated to 100 kGy.	93
Figure 20	Plot of $\ln(1/y)$ versus $1/T$ for conversion for EPDM rubber loaded with fumed silica and	94

	zirconium silicate with coagent irradiated to 100 kGy.	
Figure 21	TEM image of (a) fumed silica and (b) modified clay.	97
Figure 22	Relation between tensile strength and clay content of EPDM rubber irradiated to 50 kGy containing modified and unmodified clay.	99
Figure 23	Relation between elongation % and clay content of EPDM rubber irradiated to 50 kGy containing modified and unmodified clay.	100
Figure 24	Relation between irradiation dose and tensile strength of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica.	102
Figure 25	Relation between irradiation dose and elongation of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica.	102
Figure 26	Relation between irradiation dose and tensile strength of EPDM rubber nanocomposites containing modified clay fumed silica and coagent.	105
Figure 27	Relation between irradiation dose and elongation of EPDM rubber nanocomposites containing modified clay, fumed silica and coagent.	105
Figure 28	Relation between irradiation dose and swelling number of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica.	108
Figure 29	Relation between irradiation dose and solubility of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica.	109
Figure 30	Relation between irradiation dose and swelling number of EPDM rubber loaded modified clay and fumed silica containing coagent.	110
Figure 31	Relation between irradiation dose and solubility of EPDM rubber loaded with modified clay and	111

	fumed silica containing coagent.	
Figure 32	SEM of loaded EPDM rubber irradiated to 50 kGy (a) 10 phr modified clay (b) 10 phr fumed silica + 10 phr modified clay (c) 20 phr fumed silica + 10 phr modified clay (d) 30 phr fumed silica + 10 phr modified clay	113
Figure 33	SEM of Loaded EPDM rubber irradiated to 50 kGy (a) 10 phr modified clay (b) 10 phr modified clay + 5 phr coagent (c) 30 phr silica + 10 phr modified clay (d) 30 phr silica + 10 phr modified clay + 5 phr coagent	114
Figure 34	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of EPDM rubber loaded with modified clay and different contents of fumed silica irradiated to 50 kGy	117
Figure 35	Rate of decomposition of EPDM rubber loaded with modified clay and different contents of fumed silica irradiated to 50 kGy.	118
Figure 36	thermogravimetric analysis of of EPDM rubber loaded with modified clay and fumed silica with coagent irradiated to 50 kGy	118
Figure 37	Rate of decomposition of EPDM rubber loaded with modified clay and fumed silica with coagent irradiated to 50 kGy	119
Figure 38	Plot of $\ln(1/y)$ versus $1/T$ for conversion of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr nanoclay and different contents of fumed silica irradiated to 50 kGy.	122
Figure 39	Plot of $\ln(1/y)$ versus $1/T$ for conversion for EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr nanoclay and 30 phr fumed silica irradiated to 50 kGy containing coagent	122
Figure 40	TEM images of (a) modified clay and (b) modified cerium oxide	125
Figure 41	Relation between cerium oxide content and tensile strength of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of cerium oxide irradiated to 50 kGy.	126
Figure 42	Relation between cerium oxide content and	127

	elongation of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of cerium oxide irradiated to 50 kGy.	
Scheme 3	The possible interaction of APTES with cerium oxide and EPDM.	129
Figure 43	Relation between irradiation dose and tensile strength of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different content of modified cerium oxide.	131
Figure 44	Relation between irradiation dose and elongation of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of modified cerium oxide.	132
Figure 45	Relation between irradiation dose and tensile strength of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and modified cerium oxide containing coagent.	134
Figure 46	Relation between irradiation dose and elongation of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and modified cerium oxide containing coagent.	135
Figure 47	Relation between irradiation dose and solubility of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of modified cerium oxide.	137
Figure 48	Relation between irradiation dose and swelling number of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of modified cerium oxide.	138
Figure 49	Relation between irradiation dose and solubility of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and 5 phr modified cerium oxide containing coagent.	139
Figure 50	Relation between irradiation dose and swelling number of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and 5 phr modified cerium oxide containing coagent.	140

Figure 51	SEM of Loaded EPDM rubber irradiated to 50 kGy (a)10 phr modified clay (b) 2 phr modified cerium oxide +10 phr modified clay (c) 5 phr modified cerium oxide + 10 phr modified clay (d) 10 phr modified cerium oxide + 10 phr modified clay	142
Figure 52	SEM of Loaded EPDM rubber irradiated to 50 kGy (a)10 phr modified clay (b) 10 phr modified clay+ 5 phr coagent (c) 5 phr modified cerium oxide + 10 phr modified clay (d) 5 phr modified cerium oxide + 10 phr modified clay + 5 phr coagent	143
Figure 53	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of modified cerium oxide irradiated to 50 kGy	148
Figure 54	Rate of decomposition of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of modified cerium oxide irradiated to 50 kGy.	148
Figure 55	thermogravimetric analysis of EPDM rubber loaded with10 phr modified clay and 5 phr modified cerium oxide containing coagent irradiated to 50 kGy	149
Figure 56	Rate of decomposition of of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and 5 phr modified cerium oxide containing coagent irradiated to 50 kGy	150
Figure 57	Plot of $\ln \ln (1/y)$ versus $1/T$ of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and modified cerium oxide with different contents irradiated to 50 kGy.	152
Figure 58	Plot of $\ln \ln (1/y)$ versus $1/T$ for EPDM rubber with 10 phr modified clay and 5 phr modified cerium oxide irradiated to 50 kGy containing coagent.	153

## LIST OF TABLES

No		Page
Table 1	Characteristics of Enhancement Method of Radiation Crosslinking.	12
Table 2	EPDM formulations containing different concentrations of fumed silica and zirconium silicate.	52
Table 3	EPDM Formulations containing different concentrations of fumed silica and modified clay.	53
Table 4	EPDM formulations containing different concentrations of modified clay and cerium oxide.	54
Table 5	The volume resistivity ( $\Omega$ cm) of EPDM rubber loaded with different contents of fumed silica and zirconium silicate.	80
Table 6	Temperatures for different wt losses of EPDM rubber nanocomposites loaded with fumed silica and zirconium silicate with different contents and irradiated to 100 kGy.	91
Table 7	Calculation of the activation energy for silica-EPDM nanocomposites	95
Table 8	The volume resistivity of EPDM rubber loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica before and after irradiation to 50 kGy.	112
Table 9	Temperatures for different weight losses of EPDM rubber nanocomposites loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica irradiated to 50 kGy.	120
Table 10	Calculation of the activation energy for EPDM rubber nanocomposites loaded with 10 phr modified clay and different contents of fumed silica irradiated to 50 kGy.	123