

Evaluation of the Efficiency of Naloxone Versus Clonidine Added to Lidocaine-Fentanyl Mixture in Brachial Plexus Block

Thesis

Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of M.D Degree In
Anesthesiology

By

Rasha Mahmoud Hassan Al sayed

M.B.B.Ch., M.Sc., Anesthesiology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Alaa Eid Mohamed Hassan

Professor of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine–Ain Shams University

Dr. Hadeel Magdi Abdel Hamid

Lecturer of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine–Ain Shams University

Dr. Hanaa Mohammed Abdallah El Gendy

Lecturer of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine–Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2012

تقييم كفاءة عقار النالوكسون بالمقارنة بعقار
الكلونيدين لكاضافات لخليط عقاري الليدوكين
و الفنتانيل في تخدير الضفيرة العضدية

رسالة

توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه فى التخدير

مقدمة من

الطبيبة/ رشا محمود حسن السيد

بكالوريوس الطب - ماجستير التخدير

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور / علاء عبد محمد حسن

أستاذ التخدير والرعاية المركزة

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة / هديل مجدى عبد الحميد

مدرس التخدير والرعاية المركزة

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة/ هناء محمد عبد الله الجندي

مدرس التخدير والرعاية المركزة

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب

جامعة عين شمس

2012



Acknowledgement

First and foremost, thanks to Allah, to whom I related any success in achieving any work in my life.

I wish to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Alaa Eid Mohamed Hassan**, Professor of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University for his valuable guidance, instructive supervision and great support throughout this study.

I am profoundly grateful to **Dr. Hadeel Magdi Abdel Hamid**, Lecturer of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University for her constant guidance, valuable remarks and great support throughout this study.

I am profoundly grateful to **Dr. Hanaa Mohammed Abdallah El Gendy**, Lecturer of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University for her constant assistance and great support throughout laboratory work-up.

Last but not least, sincere gratitude to **My Family** for their continuous encouragement and spiritual support.

Rasha Mahmoud Hassan



List of Contents

Title	Page
♦ List of Abbreviations	II
♦ List of figures	III
♦ List of Tables	VI
♦ Introduction	1
♦ Aim of the Work	4
♦ Review of the Literature	
▪ Chapter (1): Anatomy of the Brachial Plexus.....	5
▪ Chapter (2): Brachial Plexus Block.....	14
▪ Chapter (3): Local Anesthetic Adjuvants.....	29
▪ Chapter (4): Pharmacology	36
♦ Patients and Methods	88
♦ Results	103
♦ Discussion	129
♦ Summary	155
♦ References	159
♦ Arabic Summary	—

List of Abbreviations

5HT	: Serotonin
ASA	: American Society of Anesthesiologists
ATP	: Adenosine Triphosphate
AV	: Atrioventricular
AXB	: Axillary block
b/m	: Beats/minute
cAMP	: Cyclic adenosine mono-phosphate
CNS	: Central Nervous System
ECG	: Electro cardiograph
GI	: Gastrointestinal
GIT	: Gastrointestinal tract
HCL	: Hydrochloride
IM	: Intramuscular
IT	: Intrathecal
IV	: Intravenous
KOP	: Kappa opioid receptors
LA	: Local anesthetics
MBP	: Mean blood pressure
MOP	: Mu opioid receptors
NE	: Norepinephrine
NIBP	: Non-invasive blood pressure
OR	: Operating room
PNS	: Peripheral nerve stimulator
PONV	: Post operative nausea and vomiting
RVM	: Rostral ventromedial medulla
SA	: Sino atrial
SD	: Standard deviation
SPO₂	: Arterial oxygen saturation

List of Figures

Fig. No	Title	Page
Figure (1):	Schematic diagram of the brachial plexus	6
Figure (2):	Relationship of the brachial plexus to the axillary artery.....	7
Figure (3):	Branches of the brachial plexus.	9
Figure (4):	Sensory supply of the brachial plexus	13
Figure (5):	Axillary approach to brachial plexus block.....	19
Figure (6):	Push Pull Pinch Pinch test for assessing the success of the block.....	26
Figure (7):	Local anesthetic structure	37
Figure (8):	The mechanism of action of local anesthetics.....	41
Figure (9):	Lidocaine HCL: structural formula	45
Figure (10):	Systemic influences of lidocaine	47
Figure (11):	Structural formula of Naloxone	67
Figure (12):	Position of the patient for axillary block.	93
Figure (13):	Cross sectional view of the arrangement of nerves around the right axillary artery.	95
Figure (14):	Technique of axillary block.....	96

List of Figures (Cont.)

Fig. No	Title	Page
Figure (15):	Surface anatomy of the axilla	98
Figure (16):	ASA physical status.....	106
Figure (17):	Comparison between type of surgery in the 3 groups..	108
Figure (18):	Comparison between the 3 groups according to time of surgery.	110
Figure (19):	Comparison between mean blood pressure in the 3 groups.....	112
Figure (20):	Comparison between Heart Rate in the 3 groups throughout the study.....	114
Figure (21):	Comparison between SaO ₂ (arterial oxygen saturation) in the 3 groups throughout the study.....	116
Figure (22):	Comparison between the 3 groups regarding duration of motor block.....	118
Figure (23):	Comparison between the three groups regarding duration of sensory block in minutes.... ..	120
Figure (24):	Comparison between sensory blockade onset in the 3 groups.....	122
Figure (25):	Comparison between motor blockade onset in the 3 groups.....	124

List of Figures (Cont.)

Fig. No	Title	Page
Figure (26):	Comparison between the three groups regarding mean sedation score throughout the study.....	126
Figure (27):	Comparison between the three groups regarding incidence of adverse effects and complications.....	128

List of Tables

Tab. No	Title	Page
Table (1):	A classification of peripheral nerves according to fiber size and physiologic properties.....	10
Table (2):	Troubleshooting during axillary block..	27
Table (3):	Time/action profile (IV, IM = antiarrhythmic effects; local = anesthetic effects).....	49
Table (4):	Comparison of age, weight and sex in the 3 groups.....	104
Table (5):	ASA physical status..	105
Table (6):	Comparison between type of surgery in the 3 groups.....	107
Table (7):	Comparison between the 3 groups according to time of surgery.....	109
Table (8):	Comparison between mean blood pressure in the 3 groups.....	111
Table (9):	Comparison between Heart Rate in the 3 groups throughout the study.....	113
Table (10):	Comparison between SaO ₂ (arterial oxygen saturation) in the 3 groups throughout the study.....	115
Table (11):	Comparison between the 3 groups regarding duration of motor block	117

List of Tables (Cont.)

Tab. No	Title	Page
Table (12):	Comparison between the three groups regarding duration of sensory block in minutes.....	119
Table (13):	Comparison between sensory blockade onset in the 3 groups.....	121
Table (14):	Comparison between motor blockade onset in the 3 groups.....	123
Table (15):	Comparison between the three groups regarding mean sedation score throughout the study.....	125
Table (16):	Comparison between the three groups regarding incidence of adverse effects and complications.....	127

Introduction

It is possible to perform all surgical procedures while the patient is under general anesthesia, but the addition of peripheral nerve block techniques to the anesthesiologist's armamentarium adds flexibility and skills that benefit the patient intraoperatively and postoperatively. Successfully mastering these techniques and applying them to the appropriate clinical situations add valuable options to anesthetic care. For the anesthesiologist, knowledge of regional anesthesia is essential for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic pain syndromes (*Wedel and Horlocker, 2010*).

Peripheral nerve blocks are enjoying a wide popularity in anesthesia clinical practice nowadays. Regional blocks especially the brachial plexus block has revolutionized the management of hand injuries in that the patients can be taken immediately for surgery without fear of 'full stomach' as in general anesthesia. Further the role of the anesthesiologist is not over by providing analgesia intra-operatively but also extends well into the postoperative period. This is accomplished by brachial plexus block. (*Movafegh et al., 2006*)

Pain relief with peripheral nerve block (PNB) avoids side effects such as somnolence, nausea and vomiting, hemodynamic instability and voiding difficulty inherent to general and central neuraxial anesthesia. Patients who undergo

surgery under PNB can bypass phase I recovery room and frequently be discharged expeditiously following ambulatory surgery. Patients with unstable cardiovascular disease can undergo surgery under PNB without significant hemodynamic changes. Patients who have abnormalities in hemostasis or infection which contraindicate use of central neuraxial block can be candidates for surgery under PNB (*Liu et al., 2005*).

When used as part of a combined general regional technique, PNB facilitates lighter planes of anesthesia, avoiding the use of opioids and allowing a quick emergence and recovery (*Liu et al., 2005*).

An axillary block is the most commonly performed variety of brachial plexus block. The landmarks are easy to identify and it is associated with fewer complications than other approaches to the brachial plexus. The technique may be used to provide anaesthesia for a variety of surgical procedures on the hand and forearm (*Tindinwebwa, 1995*).

Local anesthetics of short, intermediate and long duration of action are well known and have been used in brachial plexus blockade. Lidocaine offers the advantage of having a rapid onset yet of short duration of action. Thus, different additives have been used to prolong its action. Based on scientific concepts of peripheral opioid activity, the use of opioids alone or in combination with local anesthetics for peripheral nerve blocks has been evaluated. The effects of opioids on regional

blockade are controversial. The addition of opioids in brachial plexus block is reported to improve success rate and postoperative analgesia, by some authors, whereas others have found no effect (*Chavan et al., 2011*).

Nowadays brachial plexus block is routinely used for upper extremity surgeries. Addition of fentanyl (1 µg/kg) to local anesthetic solution is useful to extend the period of analgesia (*Chavan et al., 2011*).

One study indicated that the opioids can elicit excitatory as well as inhibitory modulation of the action potentials of sensory neurons. Ultra-low doses of opioid antagonists could selectively block the excitatory effects of opioids (*Crain and Shen, 2000*).

Therefore, it is likely that an ultra-low dose of naloxone, added to local anesthetic solution, prolongs nerve sensory and motor blockades with enhanced opioid effect or direct antagonism of these excitatory receptors (*Movafegh et al., 2009*).

The use of naloxone as an adjuvant to local anesthetics for peripheral nerve block has not been often described. However In human studies, naloxone in the usual or low doses has been used epidurally or intrathecally for reducing opioids side effects or enhancing analgesia (*Movafegh et al., 2009*).

α_2 adrenergic agonists such as clonidine have been advocated to prolong a single dose of a local anesthetic. Clonidine in brachial plexus block prolongs analgesia of local anesthetics of short and intermediate duration (*Culebras et al., 2001*).

Many hypotheses have been proposed to explain the analgesic properties of clonidine on the peripheral nerve such as local vasoconstriction (*Singelyn et al., 1992*), a direct action on the nerve or a systemic effect (*Eisenach et al., 1993*).

Aim of the Work

The aim of the study is to assess the efficacy of clonidine versus naloxone used as analgesic adjuncts with lidocaine-fentanyl mixture in axillary brachial plexus block. We assess several variables including onset and duration of the block, duration, sedation score, hemodynamic parameters and incidence of side effects. In the end we conclude which drug is better and more efficient to be used as an adjuvant in peripheral nerve blockade.

Anatomy of the Brachial Plexus

The brachial plexus is derived from the anterior primary rami of the fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth cervical nerves and the first thoracic nerve, with variable contributions from the fourth cervical and second thoracic nerves. The participation of T2 & C4, gives the variations in the formation of brachial plexus in that when there is greater contribution from C4 and no contribution from T2 with little or no contribution from T1 such is referred to as prefixed brachial plexus. When there is contribution from T2 no contribution from C4 and little or no contribution from C5 this formation is known as the post fixed brachial plexus (*Standring et al., 2008*).

After leaving their intervertebral foramina, these nerves course anterolaterally and inferiorly to lie between the anterior and middle scalene muscles, which arise from the anterior and posterior tubercles of the cervical vertebra, respectively. The anterior scalene muscle passes caudad and laterally and inserts into the scalene tubercle of the first rib; the middle scalene muscle inserts on the first rib posterior to the subclavian artery, which passes between these two scalene muscles along the subclavian groove. The prevertebral fascia invests the anterior and middle scalene muscles and fuses laterally to enclose the brachial plexus in a fascial sheath (*Wedel and Horlocker, 2010*).