بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار المناد الم



بعض الوثائـــق الاصليـة تالفـة

بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND ANATOMICAL STUDIES ON WATER HYACINTH PLANT.

By

AMIRA MAHMOUD ABD EL-MONEEM HEGAZI

B.Sc. in Agricultural Science (Horticulture) Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams Univ.,1992

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in
Agriculture
(Plant Physiology)

Department of Agricultural Botany
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain shams University

1998



Approval Sheet

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND ANATOMICAL STUDIES ON WATER HYACINTH PLANT

BY

AMIRA MAHMOUD ABD EL-MONEEM HEGAZI

B.Sc. in Agricultural Science (Horticulture) Fac. Of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 1992

This thesis for master degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. A. M. Sa'ad El-Deen

Prof. of Plant physiology, Fac.of Agric., Zgazig University.

Prof.Dr. S. A. Habib

Prof. of Agric. Botany, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. H. A. Tawfik

Prof. Emeritus of Agric. Botany, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University (Main Supervisor).

Date of Examination: / / 1998.

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND ANATOMICAL STUDIES ON WATER HYACINTH PLANT

BY

AMIRA MAHMOUD ABD EL-MONEEM HEGAZI

B.Sc. in Agricultural Science (Horticulture) Fac. Of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 1992

Under Supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Hussein Ali Tawfik

Prof. Emeritus of Agric. Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Rassoul Mohamed

Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Said Awad Shehata

Assoc. Prof. of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

ABSTRACT

AMIRA MAHMOUD ABD EL-MONEEM HEGAZI. Physiological and Anatomical Studies on Water Hyacinth Plant. Master Degree, Ain Shams Univ., Fac., of Agric. Botany Department, 1998.

Water hyacinth plant infesting the River Nile and some water canals in Egypt were subjected to physiological and anatomical studies. The seasonal studies (winter, spring and summer seasons) on this aqueous noxious weed treated with low unharmful doses of 2,4-D (25, 50 and 100 ppm) aimed to gather information on some growth morphological parameters: plant size (water displacement technique), specific weight and buoyancy, leaf number based on plastochronic scale through the different growth seasons. Leaf area (total blade area, mean leaf blade area and green area of inflated stalks) critical developmental studies were performed over new fields through positional sequential studies. Portional studies revealed the priority of leaf blade, than leaf stalk, ending with connecting isthmus. Exo-application of 2,4-D (the growth substance) induced elongation in the sub-bulbous region, proportionable with concentration. High concentration induced senescence of mother plant and new rosette production. Phytomass studies played an important role in growth studies of:

Percentages of: fresh weight, water content, dry weight on the different bases of: TFM, TDM, and PFM. This gave more elucidation to the internal behaviour of growth parameters of the plant portions.

Salinity tolerance studies revealed that concentration of 38912 ppm induced several damage within 24h. The lethal level was between 6.0-8.0% of sea water. In WW (1766.4 ppm) water hyacinth survived without toxicity. Heavy metals studies: there was low accumulation rate in plants kept in SW but was high in WW and NW treatments. Water hyacinth exhibit wide range of tolerance to heavy metals, suggesting biological infiltration.

The need of anatomical information in water hyacinth is a must. The root tip zonation of the fibrous root was: long root cap region, naked

region (free of root hairs), finally the lateral root region. The three regioned cortex has plenty aerenchyma, the xylem is reduced to tracheids.L.S.reveals the columnar root cap with a special collumellogen. Internally the solid structure of the primary meristems is aereated by large lysigenous cavities. The L.S., in a lateral root, discovered that in this early stage of development, the calyptra covers the whole root structure as a socket. The rhizome has the anatomical features of a monocot stele. The outer lining three region cortex had a middle aerating region. The vascular bundles are scattered within a compact ground parenchymatous tissue having many ergastic sacs storing raphides and tannins. The leaf stomata prevail more in the upper than the lower epidermal layers. Few cases of sunken guard cells on the upper and raised guard cells on the lower epidermal one. The leaf is isobilateral leaf. The reversed vascular bundles support theory of a false blade (is stalk extension). The spongy tissue has chloroaerenchyma. The bundle sheath are large parenchyma free of chloroplasts. The bulbous portion of the leaf stalk has large air cavities formed by a special arrangement of vertical cellular portions, with triple joints alternating upwards with special three armed (bricke) cells.

The isthmus connects the blade to the bulbous stalk. The lack of mechanical tough tissue helps much the phototactic response of the region. There was some longitudinal extensional response differing with 2,4-D concentrations.

The leaf stipule is unique lying on the abaxial side. Two epidermal layers enclose one plane of simple vascular bundle embedded in a simple almost one layered mesophyll. No cuticle was detected. Diaphragms are one layered cellular structures having narrow tri or quadri-cellular spaces. They extend within the air channels of stem and leaves may be for regulating internal somatic aereation.

Key words: Water hyacinth - Growth regulators - Morphological and anatomical response.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to Dr. H.A. Tawfik, Professor Emeritus in Agricultural Botany Department, the senior supervisor of thiswork. On priority bases, his exceedingly helpfull criticism, leading guidance, rich information, perfect diagnosis and solution of problems, enriched my poor mind. Moreover, his continuous efforts through wide experience were endless potential sources during writing this thesis.

To Dr. M.A. Mohamed, Professor of Plant Physiology in Agricultural Botany Department,, I find myself obliged to put on record his guidance and sharp advice.

I am greatly indebted to Dr. S.A. Shehata, Associate Professor of Plant Physiology Agric. Botany Department, whose activ guidance during the analysis of different growth regulators, and sincere criticism in these topics were unforgetable.

To my sincere parents, together with my whole family, who are my source of existence, to whom I find my self bearing an unrepayable Dept. May God bless them all. Many thanks to my colleague Miss Ibtsam Abu-El Magd for her fruitful help and offers during exhausting work periods

I find my self very much indepted to all members of Agricultural Botany Dept and all staff members and workers of my sincere Department.

. CONTENTS

	Page
1-INTRODUCTION	1
2-REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1. Physiological studies	4
2.2. Developmental studies	5
2.3. Growth regulating studies	6
2.4. Heavy metals studies	
2.5. Salinity studies	10
2.6.Anatomical studies	10
3-MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1. Site of experimentation	22
3.2. Seasonal studies	22
3.3. Experimental procedure	24
3.4. Utilization procedure of 2,4-D	25
3.5. Endohormonal studies	27
3.6. Heavy metals studies	33
3.7. Salinity tolerance	33
3 8 Anatomical studies	34
3.9. Statistical analysis	34
4-RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1. Physiological studies	
4.1.1. Exogrowth regulating studies (Seasonal studies)	•
4.1.1.1. The plant size	36
4.1.1.2. The Specific Weight and Buoyancy	
4.1.1.3. The leaf number	
4.1.1.3.1.Accumulative count studies	
4.1.1.3.2.Developmental leaf number	45
4 1 1 3 3 The plastochron studies	

.Seasonal performance54	ļ
.Plastochron age56	
.Plastochron period5	
4.1.1.4.The leaf area	
4.1.1.4.1.Total leaf blade area6	
4.1.1.4.2. The mean leaf blade area /plant62	
4.1.1.4.3.Green area of inflated leaf stalk6	
4.1.2. Developmental studies	
4.1.2.1. The lengths of leaf portions in the	
total sequential leaves6	6
4.1.2.2. Extra elongation of the leaf stalks	
4.1.2.3. The isthmus in the leaves of \$3	
-Description of isthmus7	4
-Growth studies7	
-Sequential response to 2,4-D application8	
-Mode of growth in the isthmus8	2
-Marking technique8	2
-Activity in sequential leaves8	3
4.1.2.4. Variation in the developmental	
activities of TFM with 2,4-Dtreatments8	37
4.1.3. Phytomass studies	92
4.1.3.1. Fresh weight on TFM basis	
(Total Fresh Mass)9	14
4.1.3.2. Free water content on TFM basis10)3
4.1.3.3. Dry weight on TFM basis11	11
4.1.3.4. Dry weight on TDM basis(Total Dry Mass)11	7
4.1.3.5. Dry weight on PFM basis	
(Portional Fresh Mass)12	23
4.1.4. Endogenous Phytohormones Studies	
4.1.4.1. Seasonal effect	31
4.1.4.2.Different waters effect (NW, WW, SW)13	34
4.1.4.3.Effect of 2,4-D	
4.1.5.Salinity tolerance	
4.1.6. Studies on heavy metals14	15

4.2. Anatomical studies	
4.2.1. The plant habit	.148
4.2.2.Root studies	.148
4.2.2.1. Root tip zonation	148
4.2.2.2. Roots anatomy	.151
4.2.2.2.1. Primary body of the fibrous root	.151
4.2.2.2. Successive basipetal anatomy	
4.2.2.3. Tissue differentiation of the root tip.	.158
4.2.2.2.4. Development of the lateral root	161
4.2.2.2.4.1. Early stages of initiation	.161
4.2.2.2.4.2. Anatomical structure	162
4.2.3. Stem studies	165
4.2.3.1. The dermal tissue	
4.2.3.2. The cortex	165
4.2.3.3. The stele	
4.2.4. leaf studies	171
4.2.4.1. The leaf blade	
4.2.4.1.1. The dermal tissue system	171
4.2.4.1.2. The ground tissue system	173
4.2.4.1.3. The vascular tissue system.	177
4.2.4.2. The leaf stalk	177
4.2.4.2.1. The bulbous portion	178
4.2.4.2.2. The isthmus	181
4.2.4.3. The stipule	186
5-SUMMARY	187
6-REFERENCES	197
7-APPENDIX	206
8-ARABIC SUMMARY	

.

,