## المشرفون

## أ.م.د/ أميمة حسن غلاب

أستاذ مساعد بقسم العلاج التحفظي كلية طب الأسنان جامعة عين شمس

أ.م.د/شرين بنداري السيد

أستاذ مساعد بقسم الميكروبيولوجي والمناعة كلية الطب البشري جامعة عين شمس

# تحليل سريري بالكاريوجرام كنموذج لتقييم مخاطر التسوس في مجموعة من المرضى المصريين

رسالة مقدمة لكلية طب الأسنان بجامعة عين شمس توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراة في العلاج التحفظي للأسنان

مقدمة من

الطبيبة /منى شعبان محمد عيسى بكالوريوس طب الفم والأسنان \_ جامعة القاهرة (١٩٩٧) ماجستير العلاج التحفظي \_ جامعة عين شمس (٢٠٠٧)

# Clinical Analysis of Cariogram as a Model of Caries Risk Assessment in a group of Egyptian Patients

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry.

Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirements of Doctor degree in operative dentistry.

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ 

#### Mona Shaaban Mohamed Eisa

B.D.S., Cairo University (1997) M.Sc., Ain Shams University (2007)

#### **Supervisors**

#### Dr. Omaima Hasan Ghallab

Associate Professor of Operative dentistry

Faculty of dentistry

Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Shereen Bendary El -Sayed

Associate Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

#### Acknowledgment

First of all, I feel thankful to **Allah** for giving me the guidance and internal support in all my life and every step that I made until this study was completed.

I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Omaima Hassan Ghallab, Associate Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her valuable ideas, constant encouragement, stimulating discussions, enlightening guidance, and keen supervision that she has kindly given me throughout the research program, which was instrumental in achieving the completion of this study.

I would like to express my heart full thanks and deep gratitude to Dr. **Shreen Bendary El Sayd**. Associate Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University, for her unforgettable help, advice, wise guidance, and fruitful assistance during the course of this research.

#### **Dedication**

To the soul of my dear Father who taught me the principles that guided me through every step in my life. I hope he is proud of me now.

To my dear Mother, without her sacrifices I won't be where I am now.

To my dear loving Husband for his patience & support throughout my work.

To my sister, my brothers and my kids the real smile in my life.

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	DMFT Score System	<b>50</b>
Table 2	Diet Content score	51
Table 3	Diet frequency score	52
Table 4	The components of the plaque disclosing solution	52
Table 5	Plaque Index score	53
Table 6	Plaque index score for each tooth	54
Table 7	plaque index for the patient	54
Table 8	Fluoride program score	55
Table 9	Salivary flow rate score	56
Table 10	Salivary Buffer Capacity score	57
Table 11	Chemical formula for Mitis- Salivarius Bacitracin Agar	59
Table 12	Chemical formula for the Rogosa Agar	60
Table 13	Mutans streptococci count score	62
Table 14	Lactobacilli count score	63
Table 15	Cariogram parameters	67
Table 16	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Chi- square test for comparison between caries risk assessment (Cariogram) results in males and females in the whole sample	75
Table 17	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Chi- square test for comparison between caries risk assessment (Cariogram) results in males and females in Group 1	76
Table 18	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Chi- square test for comparison between caries risk assessment (Cariogram) results in males and females in Group 2	77

Table 19	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Chisquare test for comparison between caries risk assessment (Cariogram) results in males and females in Group 3	79
Table 20	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Chi- square test for comparison between caries risk assessment (Cariogram) results in the different groups for the whole sample	80
Table 21	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Chi- square test for comparison between caries risk assessment (Cariogram) results in males of the different groups	82
Table 22	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Chi- square test for comparison between caries risk assessment (Cariogram) results in females of the different groups	83
Table 23	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between DMFT values among cases with different caries risk profile	84
Table 24	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between DMFT values of the males and females with different caries risk profile	86
Table 25	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between DMFT values of different age groups with different caries risk profile	87
Table 26	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between Fluoride score among cases with different caries risk profile	89

Table 27 The mean, standard deviation values and results of 90 Mann-Whitney U Kruskal-Wallis and tests comparison between Fluoride score of males and females with different caries risk profile Table 28 The mean, standard deviation values and results of 91 Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney IJ tests comparison between Fluoride score of different age groups with different caries risk profile The mean, standard deviation values and results of 93 Table 29 Mann-Whitney Kruskal-Wallis and IJ comparison between Diet frequency score in the whole sample with different caries risk profile Table 30 The mean, standard deviation values and results of 94 Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between Diet frequency score of males and females with different caries risk profile. Table 31 The mean, standard deviation values and results of 96 Mann-Whitney IJ Kruskal-Wallis and tests comparison between Diet frequency score of different age groups with different caries risk profile Table 32 The mean, standard deviation values and results of 97 Mann-Whitney U Kruskal-Wallis and comparison between DMFT values among different age groups Table 33 The mean, standard deviation values and results of 98 Mann-Whitney U Kruskal-Wallis and tests comparison between DMFT values in males and females among different age groups Table 34 99 The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests comparison between Stimulated salivary flow rate values among different age groups

Table 35	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between Stimulated salivary flow rate scores in males and females among different age groups	101
Table 36	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between Salivary buffer capacity values among different age groups	102
Table 37	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between Salivary buffer capacity values in males and females among different age groups	103
Table 38	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between dental plaque values among different age groups	105
Table 39	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between plaque values in males and females among different age groups	106
Table 40	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between fluoride values among different age groups	107
Table 41	The mean, standard deviation values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparison between fluoride values in males and females among different age group	109
Table 42	correlation coefficient and p values and results of spearman correlation for correlation between DMFT and Stimulated salivary flow rate and salivary buffer capacity	110
Table 43	correlation coefficient and p values and results of spearman correlation for correlation between DMFT	112

	and Stimulated salivary flow rate and salivary buffer capacity for males	
Table 44	correlation coefficient and p values and results of spearman correlation for correlation between DMFT and Stimulated salivary flow rate and salivary buffer capacity for females	114
Table 45	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case (1)	116
Table 46	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(2)	118
Table 47	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(3)	120
Table 48	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(4)	122
Table 49	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(5)	124
Table 50	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(6)	126
Table 51	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(7)	128
Table 52	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(8)	130
Table 53	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(9)	132
Table 54	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(10)	134
Table 55	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(11)	136
Table 56	Caries-related factors in relation to the Cariogram parameters for case(12)	138

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	DMFT values for different age groups	49
Figure 2	Sample of Cariogram profile	65
Figure 3	Sample of net result of Cariogram profile for patient	66
Figure 4	plaque assessment by using the disclosing agent	71
Figure 5	plaque disclosing solution	71
Figure 6	chromatographic pH determining system	<b>7</b> 1
Figure 7	Mitis-Salivarius Agar	72
Figure 8	Rogosa SL Agar	72
Figure 9	Bacitracin Discs	72
Figure 10	Colonies of streptococcus mutans on Mitis Salivarius Agar plate	73
Figure 11	Colonies of Lactobacilli on Rogosa SL Agar	<b>73</b>
Figure 12	Counting of CFU of Lactobacillus with Colony Counter	<b>73</b>
Figure 13	Anaerobic gas jar	74
Figure 14	Anaerobic gas pack	74
Figure 15	Bar chart representing comparing Cariogram results in males and females in the whole sample	<b>76</b>
Figure 16	Bar chart representing comparing Cariogram results of males and female in Group 1	77
Figure 17	Bar chart representing comparing Cariogram results in males and females in Group 2	78
Figure 18	Bar chart representing comparing Cariogram results in males and females in Group3	79
Figure 19	Bar chart representing comparing Cariogram results for the different age groups in the whole sample	81
Figure 20	Bar chart representing comparing Cariogram results of males for the three age groups	82

Figure 21	Bar chart representing comparing Cariogram results of females for the three age groups	83
Figure 22	Bar chart representing mean DMFT values for the cases with different caries risk profile in the whole sample	85
Figure 23	Bar chart representing mean DMFT values comparing males and females cases and comparing different age groups cases with different caries risk profile	88
Figure 24	Bar chart representing mean Fluoride score for the cases with different caries risk profile in the whole sample	89
Figure 25	Bar chart representing mean Fluoride score values comparing males and females cases and comparing different age groups cases with different caries risk profile	92
Figure 26	Bar chart representing mean Diet frequency score for the cases with different caries risk profile in the whole sample	93
Figure 27	Bar chart representing mean Diet frequency score comparing males and females cases and comparing different age groups cases with different caries risk profile	97
Figure 28	Bar chart representing mean DMFT among different age groups in the whole sample	98
Figure 29	Bar chart representing mean DMFT of males and females among different age groups	99
Figure 30	Bar chart representing mean Stimulated salivary flow rate score among different age groups in the whole sample	100
Figure 31	Bar chart representing mean Stimulated Salivary flow rate score of males and females among different age groups	101
Figure 32	Bar chart representing mean Salivary buffer capacity score among different age groups in the whole sample	102
Figure 33	Bar chart representing mean Salivary buffer capacity score of males and females among different age groups	104
Figure 34	Bar chart representing mean dental Plaque amount score among different age groups in the whole sample	105

Figure 35	Bar chart representing mean dental plaque amount score of males and females among different age groups	107
Figure 36	Bar chart representing mean Fluoride score among different age groups in the whole sample	108
Figure 37	Bar chart representing mean Fluoride score of males and females among different age groups	109
Figure 38	Scatter plot of correlation between Stimulated salivary flow rate and DMFT for group (2)	111
Figure 39	Scatter plot of correlation between Stimulated salivary flow rate and DMFT for group (3)	112
Figure 40	Scatter plot of correlation between stimulated salivary flow rate and DMFT for group (2) males	113
Figure 41	Scatter plot of correlation between Stimulated salivary flow rate and DMFT for group (1) females	114
Figure 42	Scatter plot of correlation between Stimulated salivary flow rate and DMFT for group (2)females	115
Figure 43	Scatter plot of correlation between Stimulated salivary flow rate and DMFT for group (2)females	115
Figure 44	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case(1)	116
Figure 45	Chromatographic paper showing the salivary pH=5 for case (1)	116
Figure 46	Case(1): Cariogram risk profile of low risk patient in group (1)	117
Figure 47	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case(2)	118
Figure 48	Chromatographic paper showing the salivary pH=5 for case (2)	118
Figure 49	Case(2): Cariogram risk profile of intermediate risk patient in group (1)	119

Figure 50	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case (3)	120
Figure 51	Chromatographic paper showing salivary pH= 3 for case (3)	120
Figure 52	Case (3): Cariogram risk profile of high risk patient in group(1)	121
Figure 53	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case (4)	122
Figure 54	Chromatographic paper showing salivary pH=3 for case(4)	122
Figure 55	Case (4): Cariogram risk profile of very high risk patient in group(1)	123
Figure 56	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case (5).	124
Figure 57	Chromatographic paper showing salivary pH=7 for case(5)	124
Figure 58	Case (5): Cariogram risk profile of low risk patient.in group(2)	125
Figure 59	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case(6).	126
Figure 60	Chromatographic paper showing salivary pH=3 for case(6)	126
Figure 61	Case (6): Cariogram risk profile of intermediate risk patient.in group(2)	127
Figure 62	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case (7)	128
Figure 63	Chromatographic paper showing salivary pH=3 for case (7)	128
Figure 64	Case (7): Cariogram risk profile of high risk patient.in group(2)	129
Figure 65	Assessment of dental plaque using the disclosing agent for case (8)	130
Figure 66	Chromatographic paper showing salivary pH=5 for case(8)	130
Figure 67	Case (8): Cariogram risk profile of very high risk patient in group(2)	131