



# COMPARISON BETWEEN GRAVITY AND VACUUM SEWERAGE SYSTEMS IN EGYPTIAN VILLAGES

By

Mostafa Ragab Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE  
in  
Public Works Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2014

# COMPARISON BETWEEN GRAVITY AND VACUUM SEWERAGE SYSTEMS IN EGYPTIAN VILLAGES

By  
Mostafa Ragab Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE  
in  
Public Works Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sayed Abdel  
Halim

Dr. Abdelsalam Ahmed Elawwad

.....  
Professor of Environmental and Sanitary  
Engineering  
Public Works Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....  
Assistant Professor of Environmental and  
Sanitary Engineering  
Public Works Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2014

# COMPARISON BETWEEN GRAVITY AND VACUUM SEWERAGE SYSTEMS IN EGYPTIAN VILLAGES

By  
Mostafa Ragab Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE  
in  
Public Works Engineering

Approved by the  
Examining Committee

---

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sayed Abdel Halim, Thesis Main Advisor

---

Prof. Dr. Ehab Mohamed Rashed, Internal Examiner

---

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saied Al-Kholy, External Examiner, Faculty of  
Engineering, Ain Shams University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2014

**Engineer's Name:** Mostafa Ragab Ibrahim Abdelhamid  
**Date of Birth:** 12/12/1988  
**Nationality:** Egyptian  
**E-mail:** mostafa.ragab16@gmail.com  
**Phone:** 01007619780  
**Address:** Al-Kahlelia Street, Giza  
**Registration Date:** 01/10/2011  
**Awarding Date:** ....../....../.....  
**Degree:** Master of Science  
**Department:** Public Works



**Supervisors:**

Prof. Hisham Sayed Abdel Halim  
Dr. Abdelsalam Ahmed Elawwad

**Examiners:**

Prof. Hisham Sayed Abdel Halim  
Prof. Ehab Mohamed Rashed  
Prof. Mohamed Saied Al-Kholy, Faculty of Engineering,  
Ain Shams University

**Title of Thesis:**

Comparison between Gravity and Vacuum Sewerage Systems in Egyptian Villages

**Key Words:**

Vacuum sewers; Gravity sewers; Environmental; Social; Institutional

**Summary:**

Egypt is considered one of the developing countries with rural sanitation service coverage about 10 % only. Conventional gravity sewers is the most commonly used rural sewerage system in Egypt. However, this system has many technical, economic, environmental, and social disadvantages. Vacuum sewers could serve as a good competitor as an alternative system to conventional gravity sewers. Sustainability analysis of both systems was made for economical, environmental and social aspects. For scientific analysis, a sample of 33 rural villages with population range up to 10,000 capita is selected from Egypt.

## **Acknowledgments**

The author would like to thank the Egyptian consulting firm “EnviroConsult” for helping in collecting data, maps, and surveying used in design of gravity and vacuum sewers in the sample of agricultural villages used in this study. Many thanks go to vacuum companies, Roediger, RediVac and QuaVac Companies, for providing data, technical manuals, drawings, and cost for vacuum sewers. Many thanks to Prof. Hisham S. Abdelhalim for his appreciated support. Special thanks to Dr. Abdelsalam Elawwad for his encouragement, support, and technical guidance during the course of this research.

# **Dedication**

*To My Father, My Mother*

*My Wife, My Daughter*

# Table of Contents

<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>DEDICATION.....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>X</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1.    GENERAL .....	1
1.2.    PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	3
1.3.    RESEARCH SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES.....	4
<b>CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1.    RURAL SANITATION IN EGYPT .....	6
2.1.1.    Introduction .....	6
2.1.2.    Problem Definition .....	6
2.1.3.    Problem Dimensions and Current Situation .....	8
2.1.4.    Problem Analysis.....	9
2.1.5.    The Guiding Principles.....	10
2.2.    GENERAL OVERVIEW ON SANITATION SYSTEMS WITH TECHNOLOGIES .....	10
2.2.1.    Introduction .....	10
2.2.2.    Centralized Sanitation System.....	11
2.2.3.    Decentralized Sanitation Systems .....	13
2.2.4.    Factors Affecting on Sanitation System Selection .....	16
2.3.    ALTERNATIVE SEWERAGE SYSTEMS OVERVIEW.....	18
2.4.    CONVENTIONAL SEWERAGE SYSTEMS .....	20
2.4.1.    Introduction .....	20
2.4.2.    System Component.....	21
2.4.3.    Design Criteria.....	26
2.4.4.    Operation and Maintenance.....	27
2.5.    VACUUM SEWERAGE SYSTEMS .....	28
2.5.1.    Introduction .....	28
2.5.2.    System Components .....	29
2.5.3.    Background.....	31
2.5.3.1.    How it Works .....	31
2.5.3.2.    Theory of Operation .....	37
2.5.3.3.    Advantage and Disadvantage .....	37
2.5.3.4.    Situations to Avoid.....	39
2.5.4.    Design Criteria.....	40
2.5.5.    Operation and Maintenance.....	45
2.6.    STATISTICAL BACKGROUND .....	46

2.6.1.	Population and Sample .....	46
2.6.2.	Research Design / Independent and Dependent Variables .....	46
2.6.3.	Levels of Measurement and Statistical Methods .....	46
2.6.4.	Measures of Central Tendency and Dispersion .....	47
2.6.5.	Correlation.....	48
2.6.6.	Regression .....	48
2.7.	SUSTAINABILITY ANALYSIS .....	49
2.7.1.	Introduction .....	49
2.7.2.	Previous Studies .....	50
<b>CHAPTER 3 : MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY .....</b>		<b>52</b>
3.1.	SELECTED APPROACH .....	52
3.2.	AFFECTED VARIABLES .....	52
3.3.	SAMPLE SIZE.....	53
3.4.	SELECTED SAMPLE AND CHARACTERIZATION.....	53
3.5.	METHODOLOGY .....	57
3.5.1.	Slope Calculation.....	57
3.5.2.	Target Year .....	60
3.5.3.	Hydraulic Design.....	60
3.5.4.	Investment, Operation and Maintenance Cost Calculation .....	66
3.5.5.	Statistical Analysis .....	70
3.5.6.	Statistic Assumptions .....	71
3.6.	ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL CONSIDERATION .....	71
<b>CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>		<b>74</b>
4.1.	RAW DATA .....	74
4.2.	ECONOMICAL ANALYSIS .....	77
4.2.1.	Preliminary Analysis .....	77
4.2.2.	Systems Components Analysis.....	78
4.2.2.1.	Analysis of Sample Basic Data.....	78
4.2.2.2.	Networks .....	79
4.2.2.3.	Manholes and Collection Chambers .....	84
4.2.2.4.	Pumping and Vacuum Stations.....	90
4.3.	TOTAL COST ANALYSIS .....	94
4.3.1.1.	Investment Cost.....	95
4.3.1.2.	Annual Operation and Maintenance Cost.....	98
4.3.1.3.	Total Annual Cost.....	100
4.4.	ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....	102
4.4.1.	Introduction .....	102
4.4.2.	Preconstruction Phase.....	102
4.4.3.	Construction Phase .....	106
4.4.4.	Operation and Maintenance Phase .....	107
4.4.5.	Summary.....	107
4.5.	SOCIAL ANALYSIS.....	109
4.6.	INSTITUTIONAL CONSTRAINS .....	111
<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>		<b>113</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>		<b>116</b>



<b>APPENDIX A: DESIGN DATA .....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: VACUUM SYSTEM BILL OF QUANTITIES .....</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: GRAVITY AND VACUUM COMPONENTS COSTS .....</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>APPENDIX D: PVC MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR GRAVITY AND VACUUM SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>APPENDIX E: KGPVC FINAL PRODUCT REQUIRED FOR GRAVITY AND VACUUM SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>APPENDIX F: CALCULATION OF GRAVITY SEWERS TRENCH VOLUME .....</b>	<b>169</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Sanitation coverage in rural Egypt [12] .....	8
Table 2.2: Appropriate statistical techniques .....	47
Table 2.3: Impact scoring for indicators.....	51
Table 3.1: List of villages.....	54
Table 3.2: Assessment of slope .....	58
Table 3.3: List of villages with corresponding slope rating .....	58
Table 3.4: Life expectancy of systems components in years .....	60
Table 3.5: Main data for hydraulic design.....	60
Table 3.6: Design flows calculation .....	61
Table 3.7: Diameters for different sections for gravity sewers .....	61
Table 3.8: Pipe dimensions and flow capacities.....	61
Table 3.9: Diameters for different sections for vacuum sewers .....	62
Table 3.10: Design sheet for vacuum station for a sample village (Kafr Saadon) .....	65
Table 3.11: Electricity consumption and cost for conventional system.....	66
Table 3.12: Electricity consumption and cost for vacuum system .....	68
Table 3.13: Labor requirements per one large village.....	69
Table 3.14: Labor requirements per one small village .....	69
Table 3.15: Renewal requirements for vacuum system components .....	70
Table 3.16: Additional O&M components costs .....	70
Table 3.17: Indicators selected for EIA.....	72
Table 3.18: Indicators selected for social impact assessment .....	73
Table 4.1: Gravity system total cost .....	74
Table 4.2: Vacuum system total cost.....	75
Table 4.3: Differences in total cost.....	76
Table 4.4: Analysis of sample basic data .....	78
Table 4.5: Correlation matrix and significance for gravity networks cost.....	79
Table 4.6: Correlation matrix and significance for vacuum networks .....	80
Table 4.7: Regression Models for gravity networks cost.....	80
Table 4.8: Regression Models for vacuum networks cost.....	80
Table 4.9: Correlation matrix and significance for manholes .....	85
Table 4.10: Correlation matrix and significance for collection chambers .....	85
Table 4.11: Regression Models for manholes cost.....	86
Table 4.12: Regression Models for collection chambers cost.....	86
Table 4.13: Correlation matrix and significance for pumping stations.....	90
Table 4.14: Correlation matrix and significance for vacuum stations.....	91
Table 4.15: Regression Models for pumping stations cost.....	91
Table 4.16: Regression Models for vacuum station cost.....	92
Table 4.17: Regression Models for pumping stations cost.....	93
Table 4.18: Regression Models for vacuum station cost.....	93
Table 4.19: Correlation matrix with independent variables .....	95
Table 4.20: Significance of independent variables.....	95
Table 4.21: Cost portion for each component from the total O&M cost .....	98
Table 4.22: Inventory data for the processes and materials considered in the PVC pipe production [45] .....	103
Table 4.23: kg PVC materials for the groups and the sample .....	104
Table 4.24: Weight of PVC pipe per one meter for SN8 and PN10 types .....	104

Table 4.25: Weight of PVC pipe per one meter for the sample .....	105
Table 4.26: Average volume of trenches (m <sup>3</sup> /m) used in gravity and vacuum system and percent of increase .....	105
Table 4.27: Number of manholes and collection chambers per group.....	106
Table 4.28: Summary of indicators and the environmental impact from gravity and vacuum systems.....	108
Table 4.29: Social impact from gravity and vacuum systems.....	109

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Municipal wastewater pathways to the environment [12] .....	7
Figure 2.2: Scheme of the gravity sewage system [41].....	22
Figure 2.3: The theoretical longitudinal profile of the gravity sewage system [41] .....	22
Figure 2.4: Scheme of the gravity sewage system with pumping [41] .....	23
Figure 2.5: The theoretical longitudinal profile of the gravity sewer system with pumping [41] .....	23
Figure 2.6: Sewerage connection in the gravitational and the gravitational sewer system with pumping [41] .....	24
Figure 2.7: Sewerage connection in the gravitational and the gravitational sewer system with pumping [41] .....	25
Figure 2.8: Dry-well (a) and wet-well submersible (b) pump [41] .....	26
Figure 2.9: Early vacuum sewage collection systems [48] .....	29
Figure 2.10: Typical vacuum sewerage system layout [48] .....	30
Figure 2.11: Valve working cycle [48].....	31
Figure 2.12: Flow cycle in vacuum sewer [48] .....	33
Figure 2.13: Typical vacuum station [48] .....	34
Figure 2.14: Collection chamber and vacuum valve [48] .....	35
Figure 2.15: Rotary vane, single stage oil-sealed vacuum pumps [48].....	35
Figure 2.16: Steel sewage collection vessel [48].....	36
Figure 2.17: Dry well, centrifugal screw sewage discharge pumps [48] .....	36
Figure 2.18: Y branch connection to the main vacuum sewer [48].....	42
Figure 2.19: Reverse flow in vacuum sewer [48].....	42
Figure 2.27: Saw tooth profile in service connections [48].....	43
Figure 2.21: Isolation valve [48] .....	44
Figure 2.22: Biological filtration unit [48] .....	45
Figure 3.1: Methodology followed in the comparison .....	52
Figure 3.2: Shoubra Baloula in Gharbeya (a) layout (b) aerial map .....	56
Figure 3.3: Al-Nakhla Al-Qibleya in Beheira (a) layout (b) aerial map .....	57
Figure 3.4: Kafr Saadon village design using gravity system (a) aerial map (b) general layout .....	63
Figure 3.5: Kafr Saadon village design using vacuum system (a) aerial map (b) general layout .....	64
Figure 3.6: Life cycle of the sewerage project .....	72
Figure 4.1: Multiple linear regression model for the gravity network cost.....	82
Figure 4.2: Multiple linear regression model for the vacuum network cost .....	82
Figure 4.3: Multiple quadratic regression model for the vacuum network cost.....	83
Figure 4.4: Multiple quadratic regression model for the vacuum network cost.....	83
Figure 4.5: Simple linear and quadratic regression for network cost with area .....	84
Figure 4.6: Simple linear and quadratic regression for network cost with population...84	
Figure 4.7: Multiple linear regression model for the manholes cost.....	87
Figure 4.8: Multiple quadratic regression model for the manholes cost .....	87
Figure 4.9: Simple linear regression model for the chambers cost .....	88
Figure 4.10: Simple quadratic regression model for the chambers cost.....	88
Figure 4.11: Simple linear and quadratic regression for manholes and collection chambers cost with population .....	89
Figure 4.12: Simple linear and quadratic regression for manholes cost with area.....	89

Figure 4.13: Simple linear regression for stations cost with population .....	92
Figure 4.14: Simple quadratic regression for stations cost with population .....	93
Figure 4.15: Simple linear and quadratic regression for large stations cost with population.....	94
Figure 4.16: Simple linear and quadratic regression for simplified stations cost with population.....	94
Figure 4.17: Multiple linear regression model for (a) gravity system and (b) vacuum system annual investment cost .....	96
Figure 4.18: Multiple quadratic regression model for (a) gravity system and (b) vacuum system annual investment cost .....	96
Figure 4.19: Simple linear and quadratic regression for investment cost with area.....	97
Figure 4.20: Simple linear and quadratic regression for investment cost with population .....	97
Figure 4.21: Multiple linear regression model for (a) gravity system and (b) vacuum system O&M cost .....	99
Figure 4.22: Multiple quadratic regression model for (a) gravity system and (b) vacuum system O&M cost .....	99
Figure 4.23: Multiple linear regression model for (a) gravity system and (b) vacuum system total annual cost.....	100
Figure 4.24: Multiple quadratic regression model for (a) gravity system and (b) vacuum system total annual cost.....	101
Figure 4.25: Simple linear and quadratic regression for total cost with area .....	101
Figure 4.26: Simple linear and quadratic regression for total cost with population.....	102
Figure 4.27: Infrastructure project sustainability chain after construction.....	111

## **Abstract**

Egypt is considered one of the developing countries with rural sanitation service coverage about 10 % only. Conventional gravity sewers is the most commonly used rural sewerage system in Egypt. However, this system has some technical, economic, environmental, and social disadvantages. Vacuum sewers could serve as a good competitor as an alternative system to conventional gravity sewers. Sustainability analysis of both systems was made for economical, environmental and social aspects. For scientific economical analysis, a sample of 33 rural villages with population range up to 10,000 capita is selected from Egypt. A statistical analysis is done using SPSS and STATISTICA software where Population and Area variables have the most significant effect on the calculation of annual investment, operation, maintenance, the total annual costs and other different cost component. Investment costs for the vacuum system is less than the conventional one, while operational and maintenance costs play significant roles. Prediction models were obtained based on multiple linear and regression models. It was found that the vacuum system was economically competitive in case of population more than 3,500 to 10,000 capita and areas more than 30 up to 100 hectare taking into account the annual investment and operation and maintenance costs. Low population densities in this range are recommended. Environmentally and socially, the vacuum sewers proved to be better than gravity sewers. Institutional constrains were discussed in details with proposing the recommendations for applying this new system in Egypt.

# **Chapter 1 : Introduction**

## **1.1. General**

According to the UN, about 2.5 billion people around the world still do not have improved sanitation facilities. Despite the fact that sanitation coverage is increasing year after year, it is still below the UN's MDG target. One third of the global population will still be lacking improved sanitation facilities by 2015. For developing countries in Africa and Asia, most of the unserved population is located in the rural areas. Although urban sanitation coverage in these countries can be more than 80%, the coverage in rural areas is still incredibly low at 30-40% [69].

Egypt is an arid country covering an area of approximately 1 million km<sup>2</sup>, of which its population occupies only 5.5%. The availability of fresh water resources in the country is limited mainly to the Nile River, groundwater from both renewable and non-renewable aquifers, limited rainfalls along the northern coast and flash floods in the Sinai Peninsula. Egypt also practices the use of various types of marginal quality water, such as agricultural drainage water, treated domestic wastewater and desalinated brackish water [2].

Wastewater is originating from domestic, commercial, and industrial sources (often mixed with storm water) are collected, treated, and discharged back into the environment [58]. Discharge of untreated wastewater generated from residences, commercial, institutional, recreational and other facilities causes environmental, public health and other socio-economic problems. Wastewaters contain suspended solids, organics, nutrients, pathogenic microorganisms and toxic compounds. If untreated wastewater is allowed to accumulate, decomposition of organics produces malodorous gases, nutrients stimulate aquatic plant growth, and pathogenic microorganisms have the potential to transmit communicable diseases such as typhoid and paratyphoid fever, dysentery, diarrhea, and cholera, etc. Due to the infectious nature of these organisms, they are responsible for thousands of deaths each year in areas with poor sanitation, especially in the tropics. In addition, some heavy metals and bio-refractory organics found in wastewater are toxic and carcinogenic to human life. Wastewater discharged into rivers, lakes, and other water bodies without treatment can be a major source of water pollution, degrading water quality and restricting beneficial use of surface water. Wastewater discharged on the land can cause soil and groundwater contamination [47,63].

Despite the adverse effects of wastewater on the environment, it is roughly estimated that less than 5% of all the wastewater in developing countries receives some treatment before discharge to the environment [78].

Groundwater is an important source of fresh water in Egypt, both within the Nile system and in the desert. The renewable groundwater aquifer of the Nile system is recharged from excess irrigation water as well as leakages from the Nile and the distribution network [2]. Leakage from sewerage system can cause groundwater and soil contamination, especially in area with a high groundwater table. Damaged sewers can cause groundwater and soil contamination with sulphate, chloride, and nitrogen compounds. This is a serious problem in small villages in developing countries, where rely on ground water as a source for irrigation and drinking purposes.