



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

**Optimum Site selection of
Concrete Batch Plants in Egypt**

By
Mahmoud Malek Olwan
B.Sc. 1999
M.Sc. 2005

A Dissertation
Submitted In Partial Fulfilment Of The Requirement
of the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In Civil Engineering (Structural)

Under Supervision of

**Professor
Ibrahim Abd el Rasheed
Nossir**

Professor of Construction Management

Ain Shams University

**Professor
Ahmed Sherif Essawy**

Professor of Concrete Structures

Ain Shams University

Cairo - 2013



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

APPROVAL SHEET

Thesis Doctor of philosophy in Civil Engineering (Structural)
Student Name Mahmoud Malek Muhammad Olwan
Thesis Title Optimum Site selection of Concrete Batch Plants in Egypt

Examiners Committee:

Signature

Professor: Sami Mohamed Ferieg

Professor of Construction Management
Program Director Conflict and Dispute Management
for Project and Contract
Waterloo University

Professor: Adel Abo Elyazed Elsmadony

Professor of Construction Management
Faculty of Engineering
Helwan University

Professor: Ahmed Sherif Essawy

Professor of Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Professor: Ibrahim Abd el Rasheed Nossir

Professor of Construction Management
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Date: 26-6-2013



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Thesis Doctor of philosophy in Civil Engineering (Structural)
Student Name Mahmoud Malek Muhammad Olwan
Thesis Title Optimum Site selection of Concrete Batch Plants in Egypt

Supervisors:

Professor: Ahmed Sherif Essawy
Professor of Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Signature

Professor: Ibrahim Abd el Rasheed Nossir
Professor of Construction Management
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Date: 26-6-2013

Statement

This dissertation is submitted as partial fulfillment of Ph.D. degree in Civil Engineering (Structural), Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Date:

Name: Mahmoud Malek Muhammad Olwan

Signature:

Researcher Data

Name	Mahmoud Malek Muhammad Olwan
Date of Birth	1 May 1977
Place of Birth	Belbais, Sharqia, Egypt
1 st Academic Degree	Bachelor in Civil Engineering, Higher Technological Institute, 1999
Field of Specialization	Civil Engineering
2 nd Academic Degree	Master in Construction Management, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, 2005
Field of Specialization	Construction Management
Current Career	Teaching Assistant in Higher Technological Institute

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisors, Professor Ibrahim Abd el Rasheed, Professor Ahmed Sherif Essawy. Their tolerant, constructive comments and reviews guided me through this research project. This dissertation would not have been possible without their belief, encouragement and advice.

I am also grateful to Eng. Mdhet Syam, Eng Muhammad Darwesh, Dr. Aymen Othman, and Dr. Claude Karroum, for their technical insightful discussions, support and encouragement. There is no words exist grants my beloved and wonderful parents what they deserves for their encourage, patience, and full support throughout the whole life and research process.

I would like to dedicate this dissertation to my father, mother's soul, my wife and my daughter.

ABSTRACT

Ready mix concrete (RMC) has a potential role for generating income in the field of construction industry in Egypt. The standard of production and awareness of ready mix should be raised so that there are measures to be used in evaluating ready mix operations other than the result of the crushed cubes of the hardened concrete and the slump of the fresh concrete. Protection of the surrounding environment is one of the vital factors which should be considered. One of the methods is to improve the quality of fresh concrete and durability of hardened concrete and to enhance construction productivity. The global trend is pushing to use RMC produced in patch plants. The main aim in this study is to develop pilot framework criteria, which is useful for spreading Concrete Batch Plants (CBPs) as network all-over Egypt. This shall serve new and old urban communities and other habitations.

The pilot framework criteria needs an optimum location selection technique was devised in this study. To define the variables or factors that determine site suitability, a bibliographic database was created and aspects of interest were chosen. Then, the most comprehensive aspects were singled out, by eliminating redundant ones. Variables were grouped in a criteria based on the way they influence the project. Variables were classified as intrinsic environment, extrinsic environment, logistic, and socioeconomic criteria. Extensive interviews and questionnaires with experts working in RMC industry and municipalities, with more than 15 years of experience in site work dealing with RMC, were done. These structured interviews aim to evaluate the significance and rank of factors according to their suitability weight. Logistic criterion received the highest values, followed by environmental extrinsic issues.

A Geographic Information System (GIS) using a base map compiled from different types of maps was also developed. Thematic map was accomplished, for the administered the certain study area graphically for each alternative. Resultant compiled data in thematic maps were obtained, and the multi-criteria evaluation was used to combine the variables.

Finally, minimum variance based on operational research or Expert Choice based on Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) was used to obtain the optimum sites for CBP, through added values of polygons in the maps, for the criteria. The developed framework was verified and found yield results in good agreement with experts' opinions. This framework also establishes considerations adequate CBP

site selection process thru pilot framework criteria. This could be easily tolerated to be applied in different situations.

Keywords; Ready Mix Concrete, Optimization, Site Selection, Concrete Batch Plant, Geographic Information System

Contents

Acknowledgment	XI
Abstract	XI
List of Figures	XI
List of Tables.....	XIII
Abbreviations	XIV
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1. Overview	1
1.2. Problem statement.....	1
1.3. Objectives	2
1.4. Scope.....	2
1.5. Methodology	3
1.6. Thesis Organization:	3
Chapter 2 Literature Review	6
2.1. Introduction.....	6
2.2. Optimum Site selection.....	6
2.3. Geographical Information Systems:	8
2.4. GIS based Site Selection.....	14
2.5. GIS Data analysis.....	15
2.6. Cartographic Model	16
2.7. Decision Support Systems	16
2.7. Operational Research.....	17
2.8. The Analytical Hierarchical Process.....	19
Chapter 3 Site selection Criteria	21
3.1. Introduction.....	21
3.2. Factors Affecting Selection CBP Locations	21
3.3. Site Selection Criteria:	28
3.3.1 Concrete demand and proximity	28
3.3.2 Environmental Requirements	29
3.3.3 Infrastructure:	33
3.3.4 Emergency Ingress/Egress:	34
3.3.5 Others	34
Chapter 4 Relevant data sources, model, and integration	36
4.1 Introduction.....	36
4.2 Factors Affecting Batch Plant Location.....	36

4.3 GIS Model Mechanism.....	42
4.4 Model processing steps	44
Chapter 5 Development and Implementation	61
5.1. Introduction.....	61
5.2 Steps to developing the model:	62
5.2.1 Data base developed	62
5.2.2 Symbology and legend	77
5.2.3 Attribute	83
5.2.4 Results	88
Chapter 6 Results and verification	92
6.1. Introduction:.....	92
6.2. Mathematical optimum module	92
6.3. AHP Analysis	94
6.4. The Evaluation Report	96
6.5. Results and Discussions.....	97
Chapter 7 Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	102
7.1 Summary	102
7.2 Conclusion	102
7.3. Recommendations.....	104
7.3.1 Recommendations to governmental authorities and construction professionals	104
7.3.2 GIS Based Model	105
7.3.3 The Importance of CBP plan for municipalities	106
7.3.3.1 Advantage of Standard RMC against site-mix concrete	108
7.3.3.2 The challenges of ready mix concrete	109
7.3.3 Environmental Guidelines for CBP Operational phase	109
Bibliography.....	112

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Research Methodology	5
Figure 2-1: Methodology for Allocating Facility Sites	8
Figure 3-1: Suggested factors Affecting CBP Location Selection By Author	26
Figure 3-2: Demand Characteristics	28
Figure 3-3: Proximity of CBP.....	28
Figure 3-4: Environment.....	30
Figure 3-5: Infrastructure – Related Factors Affecting CBP site selection	33
Figure 3-6: Health Considerations	35
Figure 4-1: GIS Mechanism.....	42
Figure 4-2: Served Proposed zones	45
FIGURE 4-3: POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE STATIONS AND ITS ROAD PATHS	44
Figure 4-4: All Altrantive Station and Served Area	46
Figure 4-5: 1 st Altrantive Raster.....	47
Figure 4-6: 1 st Altrantive Vector	47
Figure 4-7: 2 nd Altrantive Raster	48
Figure 4-8: 2 nd Altrantive Vector	48
Figure 4-9: 3 rd Altrantive Raster	49
Figure 4-10: 3 rd Altrantive Vector.....	49
Figure 4-11: 4 th Altrantive Raster	50
Figure 4-12: 4 th Altrantive Vector.....	50
Figure 4-13: 5 th Altrantive Raster	51
Figure 4-14: 5 th Altrantive Vector.....	51
Figure 4-15: 6 th Altrantive Raster	52
Figure 4-16: 6 th Altrantive Raster	52
Figure 4-17: 7 th Altrantive Raster	53
Figure 4-18: 7 th Altrantive Raster	53
Figure 5-1: Drop-Down Menu for Selecting Arccatalog.....	62
Figure 5-2: Personal Geodatabase	63
Figure 5-3: Data Base Screen	64
Figure 5-4: Feature Dataset Screen.....	66
Figure 5-5: Layers Set Nomination Screen	67
Figure 5-6: Working Co-Ordinates Screen.....	68
Figure 5-7: Submit The Working Layers.....	69
Figure 5-8: Working Data Set Contain Working Layers.....	69
Figure 5-9: Generating Working Layer	70
Figure 5-10: Editing Layer Name And Its Feature Class	71
Figure 5-11: Editing Data Fields' Names (1/2)	72
Figure 5-12: Editing Data Fields' Names (2/2)	73
Figure 5-13: Data Fields Finale Shape After Naming And Classifying Its Type	74
Figure 5-14: Establishing Layers Accoutring to Its Type	74
Figure 5-15: The Final Working Set After Adding All Layers	75
Figure 5-16: Running Arc Gis Via Arcmap	75
Figure 5-17: The Final View of Model.....	76
Figure 5-18: Determining The Layers to be Added via Submit on Adding	76
Figure 5-19: The Final Layers Shape After Adding To Arcmap	77
Figure 5-20: Symbology Layers (1/3)	78

Figure 5-21: Symbology Layers (2/3)	78
Figure 5-22: Symbology Layers (3/3)	79
Figure 5-23: Final Layers Shape After Adjusting Colours and Symbology	80
Figure 5-24: Imaging From Arcmap.....	80
Figure 5-25: General Legend.....	81
Figure 5-26: Select Legend Item From Map Layers (1/3).....	81
Figure 5-27: Select Legend Item From Map Layers (2/3).....	82
Figure 5-28: Select Legend Item From Map Layers (3/3).....	82
Figure 5-29: Final Model Legend.....	83
Figure 5-30: General Attribute Table From Each Layer	84
Figure 5-31: Area Attributes.....	84
Figure 5-32: Calculate Proposed Area (1/5).....	85
Figure 5-33: Calculate Proposed Area (2/5).....	85
Figure 5-34: Calculate Proposed Area (3/5).....	86
Figure 5-35: Calculate Proposed Area (4/5).....	86
Figure 5-36: Calculate Proposed Area (5/5).....	88
Figure 5-37: Export Area Attributes.....	88
Figure 5-38: Saving Area Attributes.....	88
Figure 5-39: Area Table for Each Region	89
Figure 5-40: Final Area Region Summation	89
Figure 5-41: Road Attribute.....	90
Figure 5-42: Road Time Consumed Calculation Each Region	90
Figure 5-43: Calculation for Proposed Area and Road Time Consumption	91
Figure 5-44-: Alternatives Attributes.....	91
Figure 5-45: Value of Each Site Location	91
Figure 6-1: Factors Evaluation For Each Alternative.....	95
Figure 6-2: Alternatives Evaluation.....	96

List of Tables

Table 3-1: Preliminary Questionnaires For Factors Affecting Selection Cbp Locations...	22
Table 3-2: Criteria for CBP Location Selection	25
Table 4-1: Life Span of CBP	36
Table 4-2: Proximity to Pouring Sites	37
Table 4-3: Infrastructure Availability	37
Table 4-4: Environmental Conditions	38
Table 4-5: Emergency Ingress/Egress	38
Table 4-6: Security by Police Authotities.....	39
Table 4-7: Manpower Avaliability	39
Table 4-8: Health Considrations	39
Table 4-9: Land value	39
Table 4-10: Speed of license process.....	40
Table 4-11: Criteria Affecting Batch Plant Location weighing	41
Table 4-12: Available Area And Its Classification For Each City (1/4)	55
Table 4-13: Available Area And Its Classification For Each City (2/4)	56
Table 4-14: Available Area And Its Classification For Each City (3/4)	57
Table 4-15: Available Area And Its Classification For Each City (4/4)	58
Table 4-16: Dumping Paths And Its Avarge Speed (1/2).....	59
Table 4-17: Dumping Paths And Its Avarge Speed (2/2).....	60
Table 6-1: Values of Factors for Each Alternative.....	93
Table 6-2: Minimum Variance Process	94
Table 6-3: Score of Each Alternative Against Each Factor Description.....	100

Abbreviations

RMC	Ready Mixed Concrete
CBP	Concrete Batch Plant
SSCBP	Site Selection for CBP
GIS	Geographical Information System
DM	Drum Mixer
QC	Quality Control
AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
DSS	Decision support systems
OR	Operational Research
SD	Sustainable Development
BLOB	Binary Large Object
MCA	Multi-Criteria Analysis
SMCE	Spatial Multiple Criteria Evaluation
GPS	Global Position System
GI	Geographical Information

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Overview

There is a rapid growth in the use of ready mixed concrete (RMC) for construction in developing countries. In Egypt, the production and use of RMC is currently widespread, which improves the performance of concrete because efficient systematic external monitoring and self-inspection could be easily applicable.

Concrete Batch Plant (CBP) is an important element in any concrete construction process, whether it is working as a central mixing plant on project site or as offsite one producing RMC which are to be transported by transit mixers¹ to projects.

Site selection of CBP should be in a suitable location, as CBP costs a lot of funds, and it is not allowable to be located in an erroneous site. Erroneous location may result in spending a lot of money, which may prove later unsuitable to fulfil its function, or totally abandon feasibility of CBP or impact negatively on the surrounding environment. So, it is very important to study all relevant site elective criteria and develop advanced techniques that can support the optimum site selection process.

This research work consists of the compilation of the appropriate data followed by extensive literature review, experts' interviews, and questionnaires with expert opinions. It can be concluded that a mandatory quality study can be introduced successfully into the existing RMC industry in Egypt, with immediate benefits to the industry and its customers.

1.2 Problem statement

Most of middle and small contractors use the drum mixer (DM), which usually results in poor quality concrete. This could be attributed to a general disregard of the basics of good quality intentionally or un-intentionally by ordinary DM besides the waste of material during pouring. The employment of unskilled labours has also contributed to the same result of producing poor quality concrete. In addition to the huge storage areas on site, that is used. Engineers tend to specify unwarranted concrete strength in order to compensate for expected poor quality, quick deterioration, and high cost of maintenance due to performance defects.