EFFECT OF MAGNETIC FIELD TREATMENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF POTATO UNDER MICROPROPAGATION AND FIELD CONDITIONS

By

IBRAHIM HUSSEIN OSMAN AMER

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2005
M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural and Food Production in Arid Lands -Biotechnology in Arid Lands), Ain Shams University, 2010

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

Of

the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in Agricultural Sciences (Vegetable Crops)

Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

EFFECT OF MAGNETIC FIELD TREATMENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF POTATO UNDER MICROPROPAGATION AND FIELD CONDITIONS

By

IBRAHIM HUSSEIN OSMAN AMER

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2005
M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural and Food Production in Arid Lands -Biotechnology in Arid Lands), Ain Shams University, 2010

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:						
Dr. Shaban Desuki Aboı	ı-Hussie	en		•••••	•••••	••••
Researcher Prof. of Research Division, Na	U			U	d Bio	ological
Dr. Ahmed Abou El-Yazied Abd El-Hafiz						
Prof. of Vegetable University.	Crops,	Faculty	of	Agriculture,	Ain	Shams
Dr. Mohamed Emam Ragab						
Prof. of Vegetable University.	Crops,	Faculty	of	Agriculture,	Ain	Shams

Date of Examination: 3 / 9 / 2016

EFFECT OF MAGNETIC FIELD TREATMENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF POTATO UNDER MICROPROPAGATION AND FIELD CONDITIONS

By

IBRAHIM HUSSEIN OSMAN AMER

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2005
M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural and Food Production in Arid Lands -Biotechnology in Arid Lands), Ain Shams University, 2010

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud El-Gizawy (Late)

Prof. Emeritus of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Mohamed Emam Ragab

Prof. of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Nesreen Ahmed Sabry Helal

Lecturer of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

ABSTRACT

Ibrahim Hussein Osman Amer: Effect of Magnetic Field Treatments on Growth and Yield of Potato under Micropropagation and Field Conditions. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.

This study was carried out at Strawberry and Non Traditional Horticulture Crops Center, The Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons. Three separated experiments were carried out to study the effect of magnetic field treatments on: (a) establishment stage of potato micro-propagation at tissue culture laboratory, (b) germination of true potato seeds, seedlings growth and potato production under low plastic tunnel and (c) Potato (cv. Spunta) production under open field conditions in relation to magnetized water. The first experiment was carried out in the tissue culture laboratory, the shoot tips of potato tubers were exposed to three magnetic field strengths (20- 30- 40 mT) for three periods (5, 10, 15 min). Results showed that the highest significant values were obtained with treatment of 20 mT for 15 min for survival percentage (%)/propagule, internods number of potato/propagule and plantlet length of potato/propagule. The second exeriment was carried out under low plastic tunnel, the true seeds of potato (Solanum tuberosum) cv. "Spunta" were exposed to different magnetic field strengths (20- 30- 40 mT) for different treatment periods (5, 10, 15 min). Data showed that the best results were achieved by the treatment which gave 30 mT with 10 min for values of germination percentage, plant length, number of leaves per plant, yield components (number of tubers, fresh weight of tubers per plant and potato tuber diameter) as well as, chemical contents of potato tuber (N, P, K and starch content). The third experiment was carried out at open field by planting certified virus free potato seeds (Solanum tuberosum) cv. "Spunta" at the area being divided in two parts. One of them was irrigated by magnetized water and another part with untreated water. Nile water was

treated by passing the water through the device (Magnetic Water Processor, Nefertari Biomagnetic Company) at magnetic field strength (150 mT) with 50 cm length. The volume rate of water flow was 50 L/min by Calpeda motor (1 hp). The plants irrigated with magnetized water produced the highest significant values of plant length, number of leaves, and stem diameter of potato plant. The applying of magnetized water caused increasing number of tuber, fresh weight, diameter of potato tuber. As well as, the average tuber size, specific gravity, nitrate, potassium and phosphorus content of potato tuber were increased by using magnetized water.

Key words: Potato, *in vitro*, magnetic field, low plastic tunnel, magnetized water, open field.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise and thanks to **Allah**, who guided and helped us to achieve this work.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to Late **Prof. Dr. Ahmad Mahmoud El-Gizawy**, Professor of vegetable crops, Horticulture Department, The Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, valuable help and continuous support during preparing this work.

My deepest and sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamad Emam Ragab**, Professor of vegetable crops, Horticulture Department, The Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for suggesting the current study, his supervision and greet help during carrying out and preparing of this work.

My deepest and sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Adel Abd El-Sattar**, Professor of physics, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his much appreciated supervision and invaluable help & support throughout this work. He showed me how to be a respectable researcher and provided for the opportunity and encouragement to start this work.

My deepest thanks to **Dr. Nesreen Ahmed Sabry Helal**, Lecturer of Vegetable Crops, Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for her kind care and continuous encouragement.

My sincere thanks to all staff members of Horticulture Department.

Finally, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my beloved parents, my wife and my sons **Hussein**, **Nasr El-Din** and **Ayaa** for their encouragement, patience and sincere inspiration.

CONTENTS

		Page
	LIST OF TABLES	III
	LIST OF FIGUERS	\mathbf{V}
	LIST OF PHOTOES	VII
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	VIII
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1.	Effect of magnetic field	4
2.1.1.	First experiment: Effect of magnetic field treatments on shoot tip <i>in vitro</i>	4
2.1.2.	Second experiment: Effect of magnetic field treatments	
	on true potato seeds under low plastic tunnel	7
2.1.2.1.	Effect of magnetic field treatments on vegetative	
	growth of potato plants	7
2.1.2.2.	Effect of magnetic field treatments on chemical	
	contents of potato plants	17
2.1.2.3.	Effect of magnetic field treatments on yield and its	
	components of potato tubers	19
2.2.	Third experiment: Effect of irrigation by magnetized	
2.2.	water	21
2.2.1.	Effect of magnetized water on vegetative growth	21
2.2.2.	Effect of magnetized water on chemical contents	27
2.2.3.	Effect of magnetized water on yield and its components of potato tubers	30
3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
4.1.	First experiment: Effect of magnetic field treatments on	
	shoot tip of potato in vitro	42
4.1.1.	Survival percentage (%)/propagule	42
4.1.2.	Internods number of potato/propagule	43
4.1.3.	Plantlet length of potato/propagule	44

4.2.	Second experiment: Effect of magnetic field treatment	
	of true seeds under low plastic tunnel	46
4.2.1.	Germination percentage (%) of true potato seeds	46
4.2.2.	Plant length of potato	48
4.2.3.	Number of leaves/ plant	49
4.2.4.	Number of potato tubers/plant	50
4.2.5.	Average tuber weight per plant	52
4.2.6.	Average diameter of potato tubers	53
4.2.7.	Nitrate content of potato tubers	55
4.2.8.	Potassium content of potato tubers	56
4.2.9.	Phosphorus content of potato tubers	57
4.2.10.	Starch content of potato tubers	59
4.3.	Third experiment: Influence of irrigation by	
	magnetized water on potato production under open	
	field conditions	61
4.3.1.	Plant length	61
4.3.2.	Number of leaves	62
4.3.3.	Stem diameter of potato plant	63
4.3.4.	Number of tubers per plant	64
4.3.5.	Average tuber weight per plant	65
4.3.6.	Average diameter of potato tubers	66
4.3.7.	Average tuber size	67
4.3.8.	Specific gravity of potato tubers	68
4.3.9.	Nitrate content of potato tubers	69
4.3.10.	Potassium content of potato tubers	70
4.3.11.	Phosphorus content of potato tubers	71
5.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	74
6.	REFERENCES	77
	ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

 Water analyses before and after magnetized treatment Some chemical analysis of soil used befor planting	
planting	. 41
 Effect of the magnetic field treatments on survive percentage of potato/propagule	æ
 percentage of potato/propagule. Effect of the magnetic field treatments on internor number of potato/propagule. Effect of the magnetic field treatments on plantlet leng of potato/propagule. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment periods on germination percentage (%) of true potations seeds during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons. 	41
 4. Effect of the magnetic field treatments on internor number of potato/propagule. 5. Effect of the magnetic field treatments on plantlet leng of potato/propagule. 6. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme periods on germination percentage (%) of true pota seeds during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons. 	al
number of potato /propagule	. 42
 5. Effect of the magnetic field treatments on plantlet leng of potato/propagule 6. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment periods on germination percentage (%) of true potations seeds during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons 	ls
of potato/propagule	. 44
6. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme periods on germination percentage (%) of true pota seeds during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	h
periods on germination percentage (%) of true pota seeds during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	. 45
seeds during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	ıt
_	O
	47
7. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme	nt
periods on plant length of potato during 2012/2013 ar	
2013/2014 seasons	48
8. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme	
periods on number of leaves/ plant during 2012/2013 ar	
2013/2014 seasons	50
9. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme	
periods on number of potato tubers/plant during	_
2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	51
10. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme periods on average tuber weight per plant	
11. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme	
periods on average diameter of potato tubers durin	
2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	54
12. Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatme	
periods on nitrate content of potato tubers durin	

	2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	55
13.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on potassium content of potato tubers during	
	2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	57
14.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on phosphorus content of potato tubers during	
	2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	58
15.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on starch content of potato tubers during	
	2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	60
16.	Effect of the magnetized water on plant length per plant	
	during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	61
17.	Effect of the magnetized water on number of leaves per	
	plant during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	62
18.	Effect of the magnetized water on stem diameter of potato	
	plant during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	63
19.	Effect of the magnetized water on number of tubers per	
	plant during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	64
20.	Effect of the magnetized water on average tuber weight	
	per plant during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	66
21.	Effect of the magnetized water on average diameter of	
	potato tubers during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	67
22.	Effect of the magnetized water on average tuber size	68
	during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	
23.	Effect of the magnetized water on the specific gravity of	
	potato tubers during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	69
24.	Effect of the magnetized water on nitrate content of potato	70
	tubers during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	
25.	Effect of the magnetized water on potassium content of	
	potato tubers during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	71
26.	Effect of the magnetized water on phosphorus content of	
	potato tubers during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons	72

LIST OF FIGUERS

Figur	re	Page
1.	Effect of the magnetic field treatments on survival	
	percentage/propagule	43
2.	Effect of the magnetic field treatments on internods number	
	of potato/propagule	44
3.	Effect of the magnetic field treatments on plantlet length of	
	potato/propagule	46
4.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on germination percentage (%) of true potato seeds	47
5.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on plant length of potato	49
6.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on number of leaves/ plant	50
7.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on number of potato tubers/plant	51
8.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on average tuber weight per plant	53
9.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on average diameter of potato tubers	54
10.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on nitrate content of potato tubers	56
11.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on potassium content of potato tubers	57
12.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on phosphorus content of potato tubers	59
13.	Effect of the magnetic field strengths and the treatment	
	periods on starch content of potato tubers	60
14.	Effect of the magnetized water on plant length per plant	62

15.	Effect of the magnetized water on number of leaves per	
	plant	63
16.	Effect of the magnetized water on stem diameter of potato	
	plant	64
17.	Effect of the magnetized water on number of tubers per	
	plant	65
18.	Effect of the magnetized water on average tuber weight per	
	plant	66
19.	Effect of the magnetized water on average diameter of potato	
	tubers	67
20.	Effect of the magnetized water on average tuber size	68
21.	Effect of the magnetized water on the specific gravity of	
	potato tubers	69
22.	Effect of the magnetized water on nitrate content of potato	
	tubers	70
23.	Effect of the magnetized water on potassium content of	
	potato tubers	71
24.	Effect of the magnetized water on phosphorus content of	
	potato tubers	72

VII

LIST OF PHOTOES

Plate		Page
1.	Construction of Magnetic Water Processor	40
2.	Cross section of Magnetic Water Processor	40
3. 4.	Layout of experiment design under drip irrigation system	41 73
5.	Magnetic water processor (Nefertari Biomagnetic Company)	73
6.	Potato plants under open field conditions	73
7.	Comparison between plants irrigated by magnetized water and normal water	73
8.	Comparison between plants irrigated by magnetized water and normal water	73
9.	Potato plants under low plastic tunnel conditions	73
10.	Comparison between minitubers produced from plants treated by different magnetic fields for different periods.	73
11.	Comparison between plants treated by different magnetic fields for different periods	73

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Full name

% percent sign μT micro tesla ATM atmosphere

BAP 6-Benzylaminopurine

C° celsius degree cm Centimeter Cont. Control Cv. cultivar

EC electrical conductivity
EMF Electromagnetic field

Fed Feddan g gram

GA³ gibberellic acid GMF geomagnetic field

GST Glutathione S-transferase

h hour ha hectare

IBA Indole-3-butyric acid

Kg kilogram

MF magnetic field mg milligram min minutes mm millimeter mmol millimole

MS Murashige and skoog medium (1962)

m/s meter per second

mT milli tesla No. number nT nano tesla

ppm parts per million

s second

SMF static magnetic field

w/v weight to volume v/v Volume to volume

Var. variety