



**Patient Safety Culture among Healthcare
Providers in an Accredited and Non-Accredited
Hospital in Cairo: A Comparative Study**

A thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Public Health*

By

Shaymaa Mohammed El-Bokl

(M.B.B.Ch)

*Demonstrator in the Department of Community, Environmental,
Occupational Medicine*

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Supervisors

Prof. Aisha Mohammed Aboul-Fotouh

Professor of Public Health

*Department of Community, Environmental, Occupational Medicine
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Asst. Prof. Ihab Shehad Habil

Assistant Professor of Public Health

*Department of Community, Environmental, Occupational Medicine
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Asst. Prof. Dina Nabih Kamel Boulos

Assistant Professor of Public Health

*Department of Community, Environmental, Occupational Medicine
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

2015



**ثقافة سلامة المريض بين مقدمي الخدمة
الصحية: دراسة مقارنة في مستشفى معتمدة
وأخرى غير معتمدة في القاهرة**
رسالة

**توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في
الصحة العامة**
مقدمة من

الطبيبة/ شيماء محمد عبد الحميد البكل

بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة جامعة عين شمس
معيدة بقسم طب المجتمع والبيئة وطب الصناعات
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف

أ.د. عائشة محمد أبو الفتوح

أستاذ بقسم طب المجتمع والبيئة وطب الصناعات
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د.م. إيهاب شهاد هابيل

أستاذ مساعد بقسم طب المجتمع والبيئة وطب الصناعات
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د.م. دينا نبيه كامل بولس

أستاذ مساعد بقسم طب المجتمع والبيئة وطب الصناعات
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب

جامعه عين شمس

٢٠١٥

Acknowledgement

It is with the graciousness and help of Allah almighty that this work has been accomplished. So, praises be to Allah in the beginning and in the end.

*Really I can hardly find words to express my gratitude to my mother and mentor **Prof. Dr. Mahi El Tehewy** whom without her sincere guidance and emotional support, this work would have never been completed.*

*For her outstanding support and valuable instructions, I wish to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Aisha Mohammed Aboul-Fotouh**, Professor of Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine. In addition to her academic support, I do appreciate her patience, kindness and generosity on the human level.*

*My deep gratitude is to **Asst. Prof. Dr. Ihab shehad habil**, Assistant Professor of Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine for his genuine interest, valuable instructions. I am deeply grateful to his guidance and encouragement.*

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Asst. Prof. Dr. Dina Nabih Kamel Boulos**, Assistant Professor of Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine for her meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and support from the start to the end.*

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family; especially, **My Father, My Dear Husband and My Mother in law** who provided all forms of support for me all through.*

*My deepest thanks to the managers of **Dar El Shefaa and Al Qahira Al Fatimya Hospitals** and to all the **staff of Quality units** in both hospitals who helped with data collection.*

Shaymaa Mohammed El-Bokf

Abstract

Good clinical practice means providing care that is safe and effective without doing harm. This requires proper identification and acceptance of errors through sound safety culture. Although accreditation is on the rise, little is known on its impact on patient safety culture. Our aim is to study the impact of accreditation on patient safety culture and identify factors affecting safety culture. The study was carried out in two matched hospitals; an accredited hospital and a non-accredited one. A total of 312 health care providers answered an Arabic version of the hospital survey on patient safety culture. It was found that accreditation had a significant positive effect on all aspects of patient safety culture except staffing and event reporting. Also it was the only independent factor to affect patient safety culture among the studied factors with the accredited hospital having odds of 3.83 higher than the non-accredited one to have 50% or more total score of safety culture (95% CI 2.1-6.9). More than 75% of respondents from the accredited hospital scored $\geq 50\%$ total safety culture versus only 43.8% from the non-accredited hospital, with difference of 32.2% ($p < 0.001$).

Contents

Subjects	Page
▪ List of Abbreviations	i
▪ List of tables	iii
▪ List of figures	iv
▪ Abstract.....	
▪ Protocol.....	
▪ Introduction.....	1
▪ Objectives	5
▪ Review of Literature	
- Chapter (1): Patient Safety	6
- Chapter (2): Medical Errors and Reporting Systems.....	30
- Chapter (3): Patient Safety Culture	52
- Chapter (4): Accreditation	75
▪ Subjects and Methods	90
▪ Results	97
▪ Discussion.....	117
▪ Conclusion	149
▪ Recommendations	151
▪ Summary	152
▪ References	157
▪ Appendix	
▪ Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

ACSNI	Advisory Committee on Safety of Nuclear Installations
AHRQ	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
APSA	Alexandria patient safety alliance
APSF	Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation
ASU	Ain Shams University
CARF	Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities
CHSRF	Canadian Health Service Research Foundation
ECA	Executive Committee of Accreditation
EMRO	Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GAIN	Global Aviation Safety Network
GD	General Directorate
H1N1	Swine Flu
HSOPSC	Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture.
ICU	Intensive Care Unit
IOM	Institute of Medicine
IPSG	International Patient Safety Goals
ISQUa	International Society for Quality in Health Care
JCI	Joint Commission International

List of Abbreviations

MOHP	Ministry of Health and Population
NPSF	National Patient Safety Foundation
PSFHI	Patient Safety Friendly Hospital Initiative
SOP	Standardized Operating Protocols
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
USA	United States of America
WHO	World Health organization

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Tables of Review		
Table (A)	Dimensions of Patient Safety Culture	67
Tables of Results		
Table (1)	Characteristics of the Study Group among the Two Hospitals	98
Table (2)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Teamwork within Units	100
Table (3)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Supervisor/Manager Expectations & Actions Promoting Patient Safety	101
Table (4)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Organizational Learning—Continuous Improvement	102
Table (5)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Management Support for Patient Safety	103
Table (6)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Overall Perceptions of Patient Safety	104

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (7)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Feedback and Communication about Error	105
Table (8)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Communication Openness	106
Table (9)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Frequency of Events Reported	107
Table (10)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Teamwork across Units	108
Table (11)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Staffing	109
Table (12)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Handoffs & Transitions	110
Table (13)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Non-punitive Response to Errors	111
Table (14)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Patient Safety Grade as reported by participants	112
Table (15)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding Number of Events Reported	113

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (16)	Comparison between the Two Hospitals Regarding the Staff Perception of the Impact of Accreditation	114
Table (17)	Factors Affecting Total Score Patient Safety Culture	115

List of figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (A)	Swiss Cheese Model	32

Introduction

Medical interventions are, by their nature, risky interventions. Recent researches suggests that levels of harm range from 3 – 25% in acute care (*Health Foundation, 2011 A*) and the number of patient deaths associated with hospital care is more than 400,000 a year in developed countries (*James, 2013*). The toll is estimated to be much higher in developing countries (*Wilson et al., 2012*). Patient safety is the cornerstone of high-quality health care. The World Health Organization defines patient safety as “the prevention of errors and adverse effects to patients associated with healthcare” (*WHO, 2014 A*). Many efforts were carried out to improve patient safety worldwide and many entities are dedicated to that purpose.

In seeking to improve safety, one of the most frustrating aspects for patients and professionals alike is the apparent failure of health-care systems to learn from their mistakes. As consequence, the same mistakes occur repeatedly in many settings and patients continue to be harmed by preventable errors. One solution to this problem is reporting of adverse events: Internally within the healthcare organization, or externally through a system-wide, regional, or national reporting system (*WHO, 2005*). Reporting can help to identify hazards and risks, and provide information as to where the system is breaking

down. This, in turn, helps in targeting improvement efforts and systems changes to reduce the likelihood of injury to future patients. However, reporting of errors is usually hindered by the lack of sound safety culture (*Canadian Patient Safety Institute, 2012*).

Too frequently, the current response to adverse events focuses on identifying, punishing and blaming health care providers (*Canadian Patient Safety Institute, 2012*). No blame culture is a phrase used to describe the tolerance of mistakes within an organization providing that people learn from these mistakes. It is usually associated with empowerment of learning within organizations (*Oxford Dictionary of Human Resources Management, 2013*). No blame culture is the corner stone to ensure patient safety and achieve patient safety culture in any health organization. However, recently it was replaced by the concept of just culture which balances between No blame and individual accountability (*AHRQ, 2014 D*).

The safety culture of an organization is defined as the product of individual and group values, attitudes, perceptions, competencies and patterns of behavior that determine the commitment to, and the style and proficiency of an organization's health and safety management (*ACSNI, 1993*). Safety culture has become a significant issue for healthcare organizations striving to improve

patient safety (*Kennedy, 2001*) and some safety investigations have indicated that organizations need to change their culture to make it ‘easy to do the right thing, and hard to do the wrong thing’ for patient care (*WHO, 2009 B*).

The concept of safety culture originated in risky industries where the large-scale technological disasters led to the development of the system approach for safer workplaces and safer cultures. James Reason took lessons from these industrial accidents and came up with the system approach through his famous Swiss Cheese Model to create a safer health care culture (*WHO, 2009 C*).

Reason, 1998 identified four components for safety culture, these are: just culture, reporting culture, flexibility culture, learning culture. Many surveys were designed to assess patient safety culture in healthcare organizations for example: Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) and Safety Attitudes Questionnaire (*Croner, 2012*).

Several studies conducted in Egypt highlighted the need to improve the patient safety culture among health-care providers (*WHO, 2008; Abbas et al., 2008; Ahmed et al., 2011; Aboul-Fotouh et al., 2012; Abdelhai et al., 2012; Zein El Din and Abd El Aal, 2013; Gadallah et al, 2014*).

Accreditation is defined by Joint Commission International (JCI) as “a process in which an entity, separate and distinct from the healthcare organization, usually non-governmental, assesses the healthcare organization to determine if it meets a set of standards requirements designed to improve quality of care” (*JCI, 2011*).

Accreditation is a voluntary approach used by many health care and social services organizations (*Accreditation Canada, 2013*). It is a learning and continuous quality improvement process, also accreditation program may play an educative, consultative and informative role and provides a platform for continued dialogue among various stakeholders (*Nandraj et al., 2001*).

Although accreditation is on the rise (*Cerqueira, 2006*), little is known on its impact on quality of patient care and patient safety (*Al Awa et al., 2011*). Does accreditation improve patient safety culture? Studies suggest that accreditation has appositive effect on safety culture (*Pomey et al., 2004; El Jardali et al, 2010; Greenfield et al., 2011; Hinchcliff et al., 2013*). However, none of these studies focused on the impact of accreditation on patient safety culture directly.