# Multiple markers screening to predict fetal chromosomal abnormalities and pregnancy complications

Thesis
Submitted for fulfillment of the M.Sc. Degree in Obstetric and Gynecology
Cairo University

Presented by

Mahmoud Khairy Hassan Ahmed

M.B.B., Ch.

Prenatal Diagnosis and Fetal Medicine Department

National Research Centre

*Under supervision of* 

#### Prof. Basma Makin Abd Elaziem

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

#### Prof. Khaled Ramzy Hassan Gaber

Professor of Prenatal Diagnosis
Prenatal Diagnosis and Fetal Medicine Department
National Research Centre

#### Dr. Ashraf Ahmed Lotfy El Daly

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم (وما أوتيتم من العلم إلا قليلا) صدق الله العظيم

إلاسراء ٥٨

To study the phenomenon of disease without books is to sail an uncharted sea,

While to study books without patients is not to go to sea at all.

Sir William Osler Professor of Medicine (1849-1919)

#### **ENGLISH ABSTRACT**

#### **Key Words:**

(Pregnancy complication, First and second trimester of pregnancy, Multiple marker screening)

#### The aim

To assess and compare the cost effectiveness of the different strategies for prenatal screening of fetal and pregnancy complication, and to determine the most useful protocol for. And to introduce antenatal rapid aneuplidies detection (ARAD) by QF-PCR test into routine practice to our community.

#### **Methods:**

200 pregnant females were included; they were subjected to ultrasound scan for nuchal translucency (NT) nasal bone (NB) and b-hCG at 11-14weeks gestation AND for ultrasound scan for soft markers, major anomaly with maternal serum alpha feto protein (MSAFP) at18 -22weeks and at 26-28weeks

**Results:** we divided cases into two groups, **group** A who is subjected to NT, NB and  $\beta$ -hCG at 11-14weeks gestation and was 99 cases. **Group** B who is subjected to ultrasound scans for soft markers, major anomaly with maternal serum alpha feto protein (MSAFP) at 18 - 22weeks and at 26-28weeks and was 193 cases. There are 5 cases with increased NT, two cases with decreased free  $\beta$ -hCG, there are 9 cases with decreased MSAFP, amniocentesis was offered to all of them but three cases refused.

**Conclusion:** The combination of ultrasound and biochemical screening works better than either one alone either in 1<sup>st</sup> or in 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester. 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester ultrasound screening can detect most of the structural abnormalities as NTD, Renal anomalies. QF-PCR is a rapid technique in the prenatal diagnosis of chromosomal aneuploidies. It allows the detection of the most **common** fetal numerical abnormalities with specificity 100% and sensitivity 100%.



#### **Acknowledgments**

I would like to express my sincerest gratitude and great honor of working under the supervision of **Prof. Basmah Makin** professor of obstetrics& gynecology, faculty of medicine, Cairo University. The unique as a professor; she has given me guide-ness and advice in every way she can during the course of this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Khaled Ramzy Gaber**, Professor and Head of Prenatal Diagnosis and Fetal Medicine Department, National Research Center, for his support, giving me the facilities for a proper research work, as well as helping me collecting the samples and feeding me the scientific and clinical knowledge

Words fail to express my deepest appreciation and gratitude to **Assist**. **Prof. Mona Kamal Farag** Assistant Professor in Prenatal Diagnosis and Fetal Medicine Department, National Research Center for her patience through the process of this study, her sincere remarks made this work more accurate and scientific and for that I am deeply thankful.

I would like to express my deep appreciation for **Assist. Prof. Sanaa Mohamed Hussein Helmy**, Assistant Professor of Human Genetics, and National Research Center, guiding me through the practical procedures and helping me making my first steps in molecular biology.

I feel deeply grateful for **Dr. Ashraf Ahmed El Daly**, Lecturer of obstetrics& gynecology, Cairo University, for his big efforts which was not hindered by the great distance that sometimes separated us, always doing his best to help me with this work.

I would like to thank my colleagues in the Department of Prenatal Diagnosis and Fetal Medicine for their co-operation and encouragement, may **GOD** bless them all with his Mercy and provide them with health and prosperity.

Finally, I am praying **Allah** for the patients who were included in this study. I hope this work may helpful to solve their complaint.

This work is dedicated to my parents, my wife and my beloved sons *Mohammed* and *Al-Hussein* for their endless support. GOD blesses them.

# Table of contents Page

	Page
Introduction	13
Aim of work	17
Chapter 1:	
Prenatal screening	19
Chapter2:	
Ultrasound markers	24
Chapter3:	
Biochemical markers	40
Chapter4:	
Protocol of screening	51
Chapter5:	
Invasive methods for diagnosis	56
Chapter6:	
Genetics	63
Patient and methods	75
Results	88
Discussion	101
Conclusion	110
Recommendations	114
English summary	116
Arabic summary	120
References	124

# **List of tables**

Table	Table title	Page
No.		
1	Deletion between model to be deletion of the least of the	No.
1	Relation between nuchal translucency thickness and prevalence of chromosomal defects	13
2	Major fetal structural abnormalities in aneuploidy	18
3	Screening test VS Diagnostic tests	26
4	Median concentration of five serum markers in women whose fetuses had Down syndrome	28
5	Pregnancy Stages/Conditions with Abnormal Levels (High/Low) of HAFP	33
6	Detection Rate Percent (%) Produced by Increasing the Number of Analyte Screening Components	34
7	Different screening protocols for fetal aneuploidy	41
8	Screening performance of the combined test by the SURUSS studies and FASTER Trial	41
9	INDICATIONS FOR AMNIOCENTESIS AND RISK OF A	43
	POSITIVE RESULT	
10	markers used in the Aneufast kit for chromosomal aneuploidy detection	70
11	show the markers included in each of the six sets	71
12	Main indications for referral	73
13	Secondary indication for referral	73
14	comparison between measurements of NT at different gestational age	
15	Positive markers of cases with increased NT	74
16	The outcome of cases with decreased free b hCG	76
		77
17	Cytogenetic result of cases with increased free b hCG and associated finding	77
18	shows U/S findings associated with decreased MSAFP	78
19	The U/S finding and outcome with high MSAFP	79
20	Ultrasound abnormalities with normal MSAFP	81
21	Results of Amniotic Fluid sampling	83

# **List of Figures**

Fig.	Title	Page
No.		No.
1	Nuchal translucency	11
2	Nasal bone and nasal ridge	14
3	Absent nasal bone	15
4	Ultrasound picture of a 12-weeks fetus demonstrating measurement of maxillary length	16
5	Nasal bone in Down syndrome and normal fetus	20
6	Sagittal image of fetal abdomen shows echogenic bowel	21
7	A single echogenic intracardiac focus on left side	23
8	View of the cord insertion on the fetus, a small bowel-containing omphalocele	24
9	Showing G-banding	53
10	Nor-banding	54

# List of abbreviation

AC	Abdominal circumference
AMXY	Amelogenin locus on X and Y chromosomes
ARAD	Antenatal Rapid Detection
β-hCG	Beta subunit-human chorionic gonadotropin
BPD	Biparietal diameter
CVS	Chorionic villous sampling
CPC	Choroid plexus cyst
CRL	Crown rump length
CI	Cephalic index
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DR	Detection rate
DS	Down syndrome
EDD	Expectd Date of Delivery
EIF	Echogenic intracardiac foci
EMR	Eastern Mediterranean Region
FASTER	First And Second Trimester screening
FL	Femur length
FISH	Fluorescent in situ hybridization
FOD	Fronto occipital diameter
FPR	False positive rate
G banding	Giemsa banding
GH	Gestational hypertension
hCG	Human chorionic gonadotropin
H hCG	Hyperglycosylated Human chorionic gonadotropin
НС	Head circumference
HL	Humerus length
IUGR	Intra uterine growth retardation

IUFD	Intra uterine fetal death
MLPA	Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification
MCC	Maternal cell contamination
mmhg	Millimeter mercury
MoM	Multiples of median
MSAFP	Maternal Serum Alfa-fetoprotein
NAD	No abnormality detected
NB	Nasal bone
NOR- staining	Silver staining for nucleolar organizer regions
NTD	Neural tube defect
NT	Nuchal translucency
PAPP-A	Pregnancy associated plasma protein A
PE	Pre-eclmpsia
PI	Pulsatility index
PP13	Placental protein 13
PSG-1	Pregnancy specific Beta1 glycoprotein 1
QF-PCR	Quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction assay
S1	Markers Multiplex set 1
S2	Markers Multiplex set 2
STR	Short tandem repeat
SURRUS	Serum, Urine and Ultrasound Screening Study
ТОР	Termination of pregnancy
uE3	Unconjugated estriol
U/S	Ultrasound
WHO	World Health Organization

#### **Introduction**

Prenatal screening is the systematic application of a non invasive test to identify fetuses at risk for a disease or a condition before birth to warrant further invasive investigation or direct intervention action. Screening can only evaluate risk of a condition but it cannot determine 100% if the fetus has such condition (Wald et al., 2004).

The international population risk of having a child with congenital abnormality, whether genetically and/or environmentally determined, varies between 3 and 5 % (Bozzette, 2002).

Congenital anomalies involving the brain are the largest group at 10 per 1000 live births, compared to heart at 8 per 1000, kidneys at 4 per 1000, and limbs at 1 per 1000. All other somatic anomalies have a combined incidence of 6 per 1000 live births (**Bezerra et al., 2000**).

The genetic sonogram examination represents a specialized evaluation of the fetus in which the fetus is examined in a detailed manner like a newborn including structural malformations (**Flood and Martin, 2008**).

First-trimester screening is typically conducted between the 11<sup>th</sup> and 13thwks 6 days of gestation. At this time nuchal translucency (NT), nasal bone (NB) and free beta subunit of human chorionic gonadotrophin (f?-hCG) are powerful markers for detection of many maternal and fetal conditions (**Snijders et al., 1998; Cicero et al 2003**).

Intrauterine growth restriction, unexplained stillbirth, sudden infant death syndrome and placental insufficiency associated with poor obstetric and neonatal outcomes, can be screened and predicted prenatally via f?-hCG

in the 1st trimester (Sahota et al., 2009; Wortelboer et al., 2009).

The advantage of this test is the availability of the results in the late first trimester with early surgical termination of pregnancy when this is indicated. Thus the American College of Obstetrician and Gynecologist suggested that 1st trimester testing should be routine for general population screening as a method of detecting genetic disorders. (ACOG, 2007).

Second trimester biochemical markers represent part of physiological biochemical changes during pregnancy that couldbe affected by pathological pregnancies and may be monitored for diagnosis or prediction, and management of pregnancy complications (**Fernando et al., 2002**; **Peter et al., 2002**).

Alpha feto protein (AFP) is the best and most effective marker for detection of open neural tube defect. It is a simple test that achieves high detection rate with low cost (**Platt et al., 2004**).

AFP serum levels have been used in the screening of chromosomal abnormalities and also as an aid in the diagnosis of placental abnormalities and disorders like fetal death and growth restriction (Bartha et al., 1999).

In a study carried by **Kang and his colleagues** (2008); they found that Down syndrome biochemical markers like maternal serum alpha feto protein (MS-AFP); (free ?-hCG) levels were altered in patients who subsequently developed pre-eclampsia and may be a useful screening test for pre-eclampsia.

Ultrasound exam with serial free ?-hCG testing can predict miscarriage. Prospective studies using very sensitive early pregnancy tests have found that 25% of pregnancies are miscarried by the sixth week since the woman's Last Menstrual Period LMP (Wilcox et al., 1999).

Clinical miscarriages (after the sixth week LMP) occur in 8% of pregnancies (Wang et al., 2003). The risk of miscarriage decreases sharply after the 10th week LMP, i.e. when the fetal stage begins. The loss rate between 8.5 weeks from LMP and birth is about 2% and this test should be offered to every female with low cost and high prediction rate (Rodeck and Whittle, 1999).

The value of prenatal screening in predicting fetal and maternal complications has been proved as an important part of routine antenatal care (Filkins and koos, 2005).

Prenatal diagnosis of pregnancies at increased risk of a chromosomal abnormalities are carried out by karyotype analysis of cultured fetal cells by amniotic fluid sampling, with reporting time (12-21days) for completion, which make more anxiety on the parents. Quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction (QF-PCR) analysis is now recognized as a viable complimentary to cytogenetic analysis for the rapid detection of aneuploides. Usually within 48 – 72 hours (**Shaffer and Bui, 2007**).

QF-PCR has recently entered the field of prenatal diagnosis to overcome the need to culture fetal cells, hence to allow rapid diagnosis of some selected chromosomal anomalies. The detection rate of aneuploidies of the selected numerical chromosomes (13, 18 and 21, and X and Y) is 98.6 (Umberto et al., 2004).