

The Effect of Smear Layer Removal on the Penetrability and Sealing Ability of A New Root Canal Sealer

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental
Medicine, Cairo

University, in partial fulfillment of requirements for Master
Degree in
Endodontics

By

Rania Sayed Hassan Taha

B.D.S (2005). Ain Shams University

Department of Endodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

2014

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم
سورة البقرة الآية (32)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. Abdel Azim El-Bayoumi

Professor of Endodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Ass. Prof. Dr. Suzan Abdul Wanees Amin

Assistant Professor of Endodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Acknowledgment

First of all I would like to thank "*ALLAH*" who paved the way and only by his will everything can be achieved.

Words are not enough to express how deeply I am grateful to ***Dr. Mohamed M. Abdel Azim El-Bayoumi*** for his immeasurable help, cooperative assistance, and his generosity with his time for this work to be done. I will remain indebted to him and always remember his Cooperation and effort.

I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to ***Dr. Suzan Abdul Wanees Amin*** for her immense support and her encouragements for making it possible for this work to be done.

Dedication

To my great family and to my lovely parents for their love, care, and support, where they sacrifice everything for my sake and success.

*Especially to my father **Sayed Taha** whom professional advises helped me a lot throughout this work.*

*To my mother **Shadia** my sister **Shaimaa** and brother **Mohamed** who I can never find the right words to thank them enough.*

*For my lovely husband **Mahmoud Al Wardany** who was never late to help me in each step all the way.*

*For my **Relatives and Friends** for their support, help and motivation.*

List of Contents

	Page No.
List of Contents	vi
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xi
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	4
• Pretreatment of Dentin.....	4
• Penetration of AH26, AH Plus and IRoot root canal sealers.....	30
• Sealing ability of AH26, AH Plus and IRoot root canal sealers.....	36
• Methods of Evaluation.....	41
The aim of the study	52
Materials and Methods	53
1. Teeth selection.....	53
2. Preparation of samples.....	53
3. Preparation of the root canals.....	54
4. Grouping of samples.....	56
5. Final flush irrigation protocols.....	64
6. Obturation.....	65

7. Assessment of microleakage.....	67
8. Assessment of penetration.....	72
9. Statistical analysis.....	75
Results.....	76
Discussion.....	117
Summary and Conclusions.....	130
References.....	134
Arabic Summary.....	153

List of Figures

Fig. #	Fig. Title	Page #
1	Specimens before preparation	55
2	Specimens after decoronation.....	55
3	An illustration showing the grouping of all specimens.....	59
4	An illustration showing the grouping of sealing specimens.....	60
5	An illustration showing the grouping of Penetration specimens.....	61
6	Sealers used in the present study; (A) AH26; (B) AH Plus and (C) IRootSP.....	63
7	Samples in 2% buffered methylene blue.....	69
8	(A) Experimental teeth stored in glass vials containing 1000µl of concentrated(65 wt %) nitric acid each (day 1). (B) Vials after teeth dissolution in the nitric acid (day 3).....	69
9	The glass vial content centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min	70
10	One hundred microliters of the supernatant were taken from each eppendorf tube using micropipette and transferred to a 96-well	

plate.....	70
11 Microplate spectrophotometer.....	71
12 Scanning electron microscope.....	73
13 Sputter coater.....	73
14 Gold sputtering of samples.....	74
15 Column chart of mean values of optical density for different sealers as function of Smear layer removing protocol and Smear layer keeping protocol.....	79
16 Column chart of mean values of optical density for different sealers as function of Smear layer removing protocol, Smear layer keeping protocol	81
17 Column chart of mean values of optical density Smear layer removing protocol and Smear layer keeping protocol.....	83
18 Column chart of mean values of three experimental sealers over Microleakage.....	85
19 Chart of OD mean values for all sealers with all smear layer protocols.....	87
20 Column chart of mean values of penetration depth for different sealers and negative control group as function of <i>Smear layer protocol</i> along the three thirds of the root canal.....	91
21 Column chart of mean values of penetration	98

depth for different sealers as function of Smear layer removing protocol, Smear layer keeping protocol along the three thirds of the root canal.....

22	Column chart of mean values of penetration depth for different sealers and smear layer protocol.....	100
-----------	--	------------

23	Column chart of mean values of penetration depth as function of <i>Smear layer-removing protocol, Smear layer-keeping protocol regardless to sealer type</i>	103
-----------	--	------------

24	Column chart of mean values of penetration depth as function of sealer type and root canal third regardless to smear layer protocol	106
-----------	---	------------

25	Column chart of mean values of penetration depth as function of sealer type regardless to canal third and smear layer protocol.....	108
-----------	---	------------

26	Column chart of mean values of penetration depth as function of smear layer protocol.regardless to root canal third and sealer type.....	110
-----------	--	------------

27	Column chart of mean values of penetration depth as function of position of penetration along the root canal on penetration depth regardless to smear layer protocol and sealer type.....	111
-----------	---	------------

28	Chart of penetration depth mean values for all different variables [Sealer type, Smear layer protocol and Canal Thirds] on the penetration	
-----------	--	--

	depth measurements.....	114
29	SEM image of experimental group specimen obturated using AH26 with smear layer removed, good penetration of the sealer into the dentinal tubules.....	115
30	SEM image of experimental group specimen obturated using AH26 with smear layer intact, minimal penetration of the sealer into the dentinal tubules.....	115
31	SEM image of experimental group specimen obturated using AHPlus with smear layer removed, very good penetration of the sealer into the dentinal tubules.....	116
32	SEM image of experimental group specimen obturated using AHPlus with smear layer intact, minimal penetration of the sealer into the dentinal tubules.....	116
33	SEM image of experimental group specimen obturated using IRoot SP with smear layer removed, no penetration of the sealer into the dentinal tubules.....	117
34	SEM image of experimental group specimen obturated using IRoot SP with smear layer intact, no penetration of the sealer into the dentinal tubules.....	117

List of Tables

Table #	Table Title	Page #
1	Manufacturers and chemical composition of sealers tested....	62
2	Mean and standard deviation values of the optical density (OD) measurements of the six experimental groups and the control groups.....	78
3	Mean and standard deviation values of the optical density (OD) of the six experimental groups.....	81
4	Correlation between the Smear layer-removal and Smear layer-keeping experimental groups.....	83
5	Correlation between the three experimental sealers on optical density.....	85
6	Effect of different variables [Sealer type and Smear layer protocol] on the OD measurements.....	87
7	Mean and standard deviation values of the penetration depth of the six experimental groups and the negative control group.....	90
8	Mean and standard deviation values of the penetration depth of the experimental groups.....	97
9	Correlation between smear layer and sealer type on penetration depth regardless to the canal third.....	100
10	Correlation between smear layer protocol and canal third on penetration depth regardless to the type of tested sealers.....	103

11	Correlation between sealer type and canal third regardless to smear layer protocol.....	105
12	Correlation between sealer type regardless to root canal third and smear layer protocol.....	108
13	Correlation between smear layer protocol.regardless to root canal third and sealer type.....	110
14	Correlation between canal thirds on penetration depth regardless to smear layer protocol and sealer type	111
15	Effect of different variables [<i>Sealer type, Smear layer protocol</i> and <i>Canal Thirds</i>] on the penetration depth measurements.....	114



Introduction

Introduction

It is currently accepted that the major goal of root canal filling is to prevent any interchange between the root canal system and the peri-radicular tissues, providing a barrier to canal infection and reinfection, which is commonly obtained by associating solid or semi-solid hard nucleus, usually gutta-percha, with a root canal sealer. Despite ongoing research and recent developments in endodontic materials, complete sealing of the root-canal system with currently-accepted materials and obturation techniques is not yet fluid tight.

Sealers are used to attain an impervious seal between the core material and root canal walls. They are usually grouped according to their basic component, e.g. zinc oxide and eugenol, calcium hydroxide, resin, glass ionomer, iodoform or silicon. Ideally, these materials should seal the canal laterally and apically, have good adaptation to root canal dentin and penetrate deeply into the dentinal tubules.

Pretreatment of the root dentin is sometimes necessary to obtain better bonding between dentin and different filling materials, which can be done by various chemicals e.g. diluted hydrofluoric acid, EDTA, citric acid etc. Leading to changes in dentin surface characteristics e.g. permeability and removal of smear layer.