



Faculty of Science-Geology Department

Structural evolution of a segment of the West Sitra area (Abu Gharadig Basin) and its impact on hydrocarbon exploration

By: Shaimaa Mahmoud Hassan Mohammed

Bc.S. /2004

A THESIS

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for
the degree of Master of Science in Geology**

Under supervision of

Prof . Adel R. Moustafa

(professor of structural geology, Ain Shams University)

Mr / Mohamed El-Tonbary

(Onshore manager-Shell Egypt)

2010

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to Dr. Adel Ramadan Moustafa, Professor of Structural Geology, Ain Shams University for supervising the thesis, reviewing the manuscript, helpful scientific discussion and encouragement during this study.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks fullness to Mr. Mohamed El-Tonbary (onshore manger of Shell Egypt) for his supervision of the thesis and editing the manuscript.

I would like to thank Mr. Ali Abdel El-Halim (Senior Seismic Interpreter, Shell Egypt) for helping me during the progress of the study.

I would like to thank the managers and members of the Shell Egypt Exploration team for helping me during the work in the office.

I am grateful to Shell Egypt N.V. and the Egyptian General Petroleum Exploration for releasing the subsurface data used for this study.

Shell Egypt N.V. is also acknowledged for allowing me to use the hardware and software to do the research work of this study.

Qualification

Name : Shaimaa Mahmoud Hassan Mohammed El-Hadidy

Scientific Degree: Master

Department : Geology

Faculty : Science

University : Ain Shams

Graduation Year : 2011

Note

This thesis submitted to the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the Master of Science in Geology. Besides the research work materialized in this thesis, the author did attend eleven post-graduate courses for one academic year in the following topics:

1. Field Geology.
2. Geostatistics.
3. Advance Structural Geology.
4. Geotectonics.
5. Geomorphology.
6. Photogeology.
7. Sedimentary Petrology.
8. Sedimentation.
9. Advanced Lithostratigraphy.
10. English Language.
11. Biostratigraphy.

She successfully passed the final examination in these courses held in September, 2005.

Prof.Dr. Ahmed Esmail

Head of Geology Department

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1. Location of the study area	1
1.2. Area history and seismic data acquisition	2
1.3. Previous work	3
1.4. Aims and Objectives	11
1.5. Methodology	13
1.6. Depth Conversion	15

CHAPTER 2: STRATIGRAPHY

2.1. Stratigraphy of the Abu Gharadig basin, (Regional Stratigraphy)	16
2.1.1. Paleozoic rocks	19
2.1.2. Mesozoic Sequences	19
2.1.2.1. Triassic Erosion	19
2.1.2.2. Jurassic rocks	19

• Bahrein Formation	20
• Masajid Formation	20
• Khatatba Formation	20
2.1.2.3. Cretaceous rocks	20
2.1.2.3.1. Lower Cretaceous Rocks	21
2.1.2.3.1.1. Alam el Bueib Formation	21
2.1.2.3.1.2. Alamein Dolomite	22
2.1.2.3.1.3. Kharita Formation	22
2.1.2.3.2. Upper Cretaceous rocks	22
2.1.2.3.2.1. Bahariya Formation	23
2.1.2.3.2.2. The Abu Roash Formation	25
2.1.2.3.2.3. Khoman Formation	31
2.1.3. Cenozoic Rocks	32
2.1.3.1. Apollonian Formation	32
2.1.3. 2. Dabaa Formation	34
2.1.3. 3.Moghra Formation	34
CHAPTER 3: STRUCTURAL SEETING	
3.1. Structural setting of the studied Area	37
3.1.1. General structural setting	37

3.2. Detailed description of the faults	55
3.2.1. F1 fault	56
3.2.2. F3 fault	58
3.2.3. F4 fault	58
3.2.4. F5 fault	59
3.2.5. F6 fault	59
3.2.6. F7 fault	60
3.2.7. F8 fault	61
3.2.8. F9 fault	61
3.2.9. F12 fault	61
3.2.10. F13 fault	62
3.2.11. F15 fault	62
3.2.12. F16 and F14 faults	63
3.2.13. F17 and F17a fault	63
3.2.14. F18 fault	63
3.2.15. FB-7 fault	64
3.2.16. F19 fault	64
3.3. Analysis of Fault Geometry	66

3.3.1. F1	66
3.3.2. F1a	67
3.3.3. F12	67

CHAPTER 4: STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

4.1. Phases of deformation in the study area	71
a) Cretaceous rifting phase	71
b) Late Cretaceous-Early Tertiary shortening phase	72
c) Post-Middle Eocene extension phase	72
4.2. Extensional fault-propagation folds	77
4.2.1. Previous work	77
4.2.2. Development of extensional fault-propagation fold	78
4.3. Trishear deformation	87
4.3.1. Previous work	87
4.3.2. Folding by trishear deformation	88

4.3.3. The influence and importance of the parameters that controlled fold development and trishear modeling	98
4.4. Modeling of growth strata geometries associated with extensional fault-propagation folds	111
4.4.1. Footwall deformation	112
4.4.2. Hanging wall deformation	113
4.4.3. Meso-scale deformation	113
CHAPTER 5: FAULT SEAL ANALYSIS	
5.1. 3D structure modeling	118
5.2. Fault seal analysis	119
5.3. Results of fault seal analysis in the study area	125
5.4. Fault seal analysis of the main faults	126
CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	146
REFERENCE	150

List of figures

Figure 1: Location of the study area (area from west Sitra (After Dolson et al.2001).

Figure 2: Structural framework of the Abu Gharadig Basin and the Western Desert (After El Sisi et al., 2002).

Figure 3: N-S structural cross-section through the Abu Gharadig Basin (Abd Aal and Moustafa, 1988).

Figure 4: location of wells in the Abu Gharadig Basin. (After Dolson et al. 2001)

Figure 5: Part of Abu Gharadig Basin stratigraphic section showed and the eight mapped horizons and their ages.

Figure 6: Western desert stratigraphic column (from Shell Egypt N.V.)

Figure 7: Isochore map of Kharita and Bahariya Formations constructed from seismic data. Contour interval = 100 m.

Figure 8: Isochore map of Abu Roash "F" and "G" Members constructed from seismic data. Contour interval = 20 m.

Figure 9: Isochore map of Abu Roash "E" and "D" Members constructed from seismic data. Contour interval = 20 m.

Figure 10: Isochore map of Abu Roash "C" Member constructed from seismic data. Contour interval = 20 m.

Figure 11: Isochore map of Abu Roash “B” and “A” Members constructed from seismic data. Contour interval = 25 m.

Figure 12: Isochore map of Khoman “A” and Apollonia “D” Members constructed from seismic data (contour interval = 60 m).

Figure 13: Structure depth map of top Alamein Dolomite of the study area, contour interval = 80 m.

Figure 14: Rose diagrams of the faults affecting the study area.

Figure 15: Seismic section showing the structural style of the study area (horst and graben) and fault timing.

Figure 16: Structure depth map of near top Bahariya Formation, contour interval = 50 m.

Figure 17: Structure depth map of top Abu Roash ‘F’ Member, contour interval = 50 m.

Figure 18: Structure depth map of near top Abu Roash’ E’ Member, contour interval = 60 m.

Figure 19: Structure depth map of near top Abu Roash ‘C’ Member, contour interval = 60 m.

Figure 20: Structure depth map of top Abu Roash ‘A’ Member, contour interval = 50 m.

Figure 21: Structure depth map of (the intra-Khoman unconformity surface) contour interval = 50 m.

Figure 22: Structure depth map of Apollonia 'D' Member, contour interval = 20 m.

Figure 23: Seismic section showing the angular unconformity (dotted line) at the top of the Abu Roash Formation. Note the onlap of the Khoman Formation onto the folded Abu Roash Formation.

Figure 24: **A)** seismic section showing the asymmetry of the El-Kheit anticline (steep SE limb). **B)** Structure depth map at the top Alamein Dolomite showing the NE-SW and its NE trend of anticline fold (NE-SW), and direction of plunge.

Figure 25: Seismic time slice at 1132 ms showing horizontal displacement at the El-Kheit fold axis by F12 fault indicating oblique slip displacement (normal and right- lateral strike- slip components).

Figure 26: 3D structural model at near top Bahariya Formation showing the extensional fault-propagation folding which was formed during reactivation of the NW-SE oriented deep-seated normal faults in the study area.

Figure 27: Seismic section showing the structural styles formed by the faults in the study area (step faults, horsts, and grabens).

Figure 28: Top Alamein Dolomite TWT map showing the main fault trends in the study area, the direction of basin extension and resulting NW-SE normal faults, and fault-parallel footwall

anticlines and hanging wall synclines developed adjacent to the master faults.

Figure 29: Seismic section showing the structural style of some faults which form horst and graben structure and step fault pattern.

Figure 30: Seismic section showing the main faults in the area.

Figure 31: Seismic section showing the rollover anticline formed on the hanging wall of the listric normal F17a fault.

Figure 32: Seismic section showing the SW-facing monocline formed at the tip of the F12 fault.

Figure 33: A) Polygon of F1 fault at Top Alamein Dolomite.

B) Displacement profile of F1 fault.

C) Plotting of the throw/Length value of F1 fault on Schultz and Fossen (2002) diagram, $R=D/L$ of present work.

D) 3D display of the surface of F1 fault at top Alamein Dolomite.

Figure 34: A) Polygon of F1a fault at Top Alamein Dolomite.

B) Displacement profile of F1a fault.

C) Plotting of the throw/Length value of F1a fault on Schultz and Fossen (2002) diagram, $R=D/L$ of present work.

D) 3D display of the surface of F1a fault at top Alamein Dolomite.

Figure 35: A) Polygon of F12 fault at Top Alamein Dolomite.

B) Displacement profile of F12 fault

C) Plotting of the throw/Length value of F12 fault on Schultz and Fossen (2002) diagram, $R=D/L$ of present work.

D) 3D display of the surface of F12 fault at top Alamein Dolomite.

Figure 36: Structural cross section showing regional dip direction in the study Area.

Figure 37: Seismic section showing El-Kheit fold, Intra-Khoman unconformity surface and truncation at the crest of the anticline.

Figure 38: Seismic section showing the onlap of the Khoman Formation on the flanks of El-Kheit anticline.

Figure 39: 3D structural model for the different mapped horizons illustrating the timing of compressive stress that formed the anticlinal fold.

Figure 40: Seismic section illustrating the asymmetric hangingwall synclines, with moderate to very steep southwestward dipping limbs.

Figure 41: Summary diagram of physical analogue model by Withjack et al. (1990) illustrating the influence of layer-parallel

detachment (black arrows) in the cover stratigraphy on the evolution of an extensional fault-propagation fold.

Figure 42: Structural cross sections showing the extensional fault-propagation folds associated with some main faults in the area. NTOP=Near top.

Figure 43: Seismic section showing a transverse anticline at fault tip separating two synclines in the hangingwall.

Figure 44: Bahariya and Kharita thickness map showing larger thickness in the hangingwalls (troughs of synclines).

Figure 45: Seismic section illustrating syn-folding sedimentation where thicker units exist in the troughs of the synclines compared to thinner units at the crests of anticlines.

Figure 46: Trishear numerical model of an extensional fault-propagation fold (Allmendinger, 1998). Shaded spheres are deformed during folding and lines within spheres are lines-of-no-finite-elongation (LNFE) used to infer the orientation of secondary faults and fractures. Inset shows attitude of secondary structures in the footwall and hanging wall inferred from LNFE.

Figure 47: Schematic diagram illustrating the parameters needed to model an extensional fault-propagation fold in trishear, adapted from Allmendinger (1998).

Figure 48: Schematic diagram illustrating the trishear parameters for extensional fault-propagation folds in the study area at the different mapped horizons and progressive