# **EVALUATION OF NEBULIZED AERIAL DISINFECTANTS IN OPERATING ROOMS**

Thesis
Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.Sc. Degree in
Clinical and Chemical Pathology

#### By Maha Ahmad Anwar Hafez

M.B., B.Ch.
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Supervised by

#### **Professor/ Ghada Abd El-Wahed Ismail**

Professor of Clinical and Chemical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

### **Doctor/ Sherin Ahmed Samy El Masry**

Lecturer of Clinical and Chemical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

#### **Doctor/ Samar Saad Rashad**

Lecturer of Clinical and Chemical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

2011

# تقييم إستخدام رذاذ مطهرات الهواء في غرف العمليات

رسالة توطئة للحصول علي درجة الماجستير في الباثولوجيا الاكلينيكية والكيميائية

مقدمة من الطبيبة/ مها أحمد أنور حافظ بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة العامة - كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف الأستاذ الدكتور/ غادة عبد الواحد إسماعيل أستاذ الباثولوجيا الإكلينيكية والكيميائية كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ شيرين أحمد سامى المصرى مدرس الباثولوجيا الإكلينيكية والكيميائية كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ سمر سعد رشاد مدرس الباثولوجيا الاكلينيكية والكيميائية كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

> كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس

7.11

## Contents

	Page
List of abbreviations	i
List of tables	
List of figures	
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	5
Review of literature	6
Chapter 1: Air Quality In Operating Rooms	6
Chapter 2: Evaluation Of Air Quality In Operati	ng Rooms 19
Chapter 3: CDC Guidelines For Disinfection In Rooms	Operating 38
Chapter 4: Different Types Of Disinfectants Comm In Hospitals	nonly Used 43
Materials and Methods	61
Doculto	60

# Contents (Cont..)

		Page
Discussion	95	
Summary	105	
Conclusion	107	
Recommendations	108	
References	109	
Appendices	126	
Arabic summary		

## List of Tables

Table No.		Title		Page	_
1	Selected micr hospital rooms	C		requirements for 17	
2	ASPEC's guid CFU/plate) in o		· ·	d cleanliness (in 18	
3	Air sampling m	ethods and exa	-	•	
4	Levels of disinf	fection by type	of micro-or	ganism 41	
5	Summary of de	contamination	agents	48	
6	Antimicrobial bacteria, yeast	•	• • •	peroxide towards	
7	Sporicidal acti	,	<b>O</b> 1	le towards spore 51	

# List of Tables (Cont..)

Table No.	Title	Page
8	The difference between the isolated after routine terminal spraying of Bafry in the studie	cleaning and disinfection and
9	The difference between the isolated after routine terminal spraying of Hawa-San in the s	cleaning and disinfection and
10	The difference between the meanifer Nebulization of Bafry a studied groups	nd spraying of Bafry in the
11	The difference between the mean after Nebulization of Bafry a studied groups	nd spraying of Bafry in the
12	The difference between the isolated from air and surfaces in the studied groups	s after Nebulization of Bafry

# List of Tables (Cont..)

Table No.	Title	Page
13	The difference between the mean levels o isolated from air and surfaces after spraying of	Bafry in the
14	The difference between the mean levels o isolated from air and surfaces after spraying of in the studied groups	f organisms of Hawa-San

## List Of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
1	Source and routes of infection in the operating r	
2	Side view of the air flow in the vertical lamina a	
3	Top view of the air flow in the horizontal lami	nar air flow 11
4	Side view of the air flow in exponential lamin ventilation	nar air flow 12
5	Air samplers acting by impaction	25
6	Air samplers acting by Centrifugal Acceleration	25
7	Air sampler acting by electrostatic precipitation	26
8	Automatic fogging disinfection unit	46
9	Neburotor Europa	65
10	Automist Sprayer	66

# List Of Figures (Cont..)

Figure No.	Title	Page	
11	Comparison between the mean condorganisms (CFU/m³) isolated af cleaning and disinfection, nebulizate of Bafry and spraying of Huwa-San 3	ter routine terminal ion of Bafry, spraying among group 1, 2 and	
12	Comparison between the mean concisolated from surfaces (CFU/plate) terminal cleaning and disinfection, spraying of Bafry and spraying of H 1, 2 and 3	isolated after routine nebulization of Bafry, uwa-San among group	
13	Comparison between the mean concisolated from the air and surfaces Bafry among group 1, 2 and 3	after nebulization of	

# List Of Figures (Cont..)

Figure No.	Title	Page	
14	Comparison between the mean concisolated from the air and surfaces among group 1, 2 and 3	after spraying of Bafry	
15	Comparison between the mean concisolated from the air and surfaces a San among group 1, 2 and 3	after spraying of Huwa-	



### NTRODUCTION

perating rooms are a high risk area for both patients and medical staff; air-quality management is important so that such environments are ensured to be free of airborne infectious agents. Airborne bacteria have a considerable impact on infection during surgery. When the levels of airborne bacteria are reduced in operating rooms (OR), contamination of wounds is substantially reduced. The most frequently isolated microorganisms methicillin resistant were staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and Acinetobacter baumannii, suggesting that airborne viable particles in operating theatres can be a significant risk factor for the development of nosocomial infections. In order to reduce bio-aerosol loads in indoor environments, certain control measures can be followed (Padma et al., 2008).

infection **Environmental** control and ventilation measures for OR include maintenance of positive-pressure ventilation with respect to corridors and adjacent areas, maintenance of  $\geq 15$  air change per hour(ACH), of which  $\geq 3$ ACH should be fresh air, filtration of all recirculated and fresh air through the appropriate filters, providing 90% efficiency (dust-spot testing) at a minimum, in rooms not engineered for horizontal laminar airflow, introduction of air at the ceiling



and exhaust air near the floor, not to use ultraviolet (UV) lights to prevent surgical-site infections and keeping operating room doors closed except for the passage of equipment, personnel, and patients and limitation of entry to essential personnel (Sehulster and Raymond, 2003).

There is an increasing body of evidence that cleaning or disinfection of the environment can reduce transmission of healthcare-associated pathogens. Beca-use routine cleaning of equipment items and other high-touch surfaces does not always remove pathogens from contaminated surfaces, improved methods of disinfecting the hospital environment are needed (Boyce, 2007).

Unlike earlier fogging techniques that aero-solized of relatively large particles disinfectant, vapor decontamination methods deliver disinfectants in the form of a vapor (or gas) that is completely dispersed throughout the enclosed space. Formaldehyde and chlorine dioxide vapors are effective in eradicating microorganisms, but their use is associated with potentially toxic end products that require special disposal techniques. In contrast, vapor-phase hydrogen peroxide (i.e., hydrogen peroxide vapor) is catalytically converted to water and oxygen, leaving no harmful byproducts (Boyce, 2009).

Gaseous chlorine dioxide is not mutagenic or carcinogenic in humans. They have a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity, do not leave toxic residues, are unaffected by water hardness, are inexpensive and fast acting. The exact mechanism by which free chlorine destroys microorganisms has not been elucidated. Inactivation by chlorine can result from a number of factors: oxidation of sulfhydryl enzymes and amino acids; ring chlorination of amino acids; loss of intracellular contents; decreased uptake of nutrients; inhibition of protein synthesis; decreased oxygen uptake; oxidation of respiratory components; decreased adenosine triphosphate production; breaks in DNA and depressed DNA synthesis (Rutala and Weber, 2008).

Hydrogen peroxide vapor (HPV) is a sporicidal and mycobactericidal vapor-phase method for the decontamination of surfaces and medical equipment. HPV has been shown to inactive several nosocomial pathogens in situ, but no in vitro efficacy data are available for common nosocomial pathogens (Otter and French, 2009). Hydrogen peroxide acts as an oxidant hydroxyl free radicals kill a wide whose range microorganisms by attacking essential cell components, which include lipids, proteins, and DNA. This compound does have sporicidal activity at high concentrations and prolonged contact times and is widely used as a biocide. The value of stabilized hydrogen peroxide as an environmentally friendly cleaning agent

has been reported, although it can be corrosive to aluminum, copper, brass, or zinc (Alfa and Jackson, 2001).

Glutaraldehyde is a saturated dialdehyde that has gained wide acceptance as a high-level disinfectant and chemical sterilant. The biocidal activity of glutaraldehyde results from its alkylation of sulfhydryl, hydroxyl, carboxyl, and amino groups of microorganisms, which alters RNA, DNA, and protein synthesis. Vaporized Peracetic acid will inactivate gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and yeasts in <5min at <100ppm. Only limited information is available regarding the mechanism of action of peracetic acid, but it is thought to function as other oxidizing agents, i.e., it denatures proteins, disrupts cell wall permeability, and oxidizes sulfhydral and sulfur bonds in proteins, enzymes, and other metabolites (Rutala and Weber, 2008).

## **A**IM **O**F **T**HE **W**ORK

The aim of this work is to evaluate the most commercially used nebulized disinfectants available in the Egyptian market for their efficiency in OR environmental disinfection.