

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON PRODUCTIVE
PERFORMANCE OF SOME BROILER
CHICK STRAINS FED DIFFERENT
LEVELS OF CRUDE PROTEIN
TO METABOLIZABLE
ENERGY**

BY

OSAMA ABD EL FATAH ABD EL WAHAB ALI

B.Sc. Agric. Co-operative Sc., High Institute for Agric. Co-operation, █████

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

**Agricultural Science
(Poultry Nutrition)**

**Department of Poultry Production
Faculty of Agriculture -Ain Shams University**

Approval Sheet

A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF SOME BROILER CHICK STRAINS FED DIFFERENT LEVELS OF CRUDE PROTEIN TO METABOLIZABLE ENERGY

BY

OSAMA ABD EL FATAH ABD EL WAHAB ALI

B.Sc. Agric. Co-operative Sc., High Institute for Agric. Co-operation, █████

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. Tarek Mohamed Younis

Prof. of Poultry Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar
University

Prof. Dr. Ali Zein El-Dein Hassan Farag

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Shaban Fatouh El Afifi

Associate Professor of Poultry Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Alaa El Din Abd El Salam Hemid

Associate Professor of Poultry Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University

Date of Examination ١٣ / ٠٢ / ٢٠٠٧

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON PRODUCTIVE
PERFORMANCE OF SOME BROILER
CHICK STRAINS FED DIFFERENT
LEVELS OF CRUDE PROTEIN
TO METABOLIZABLE
ENERGY**

BY

OSAMA ABD EL FATAH ABD EL WAHAB ALI

B.Sc. Agric. Co-operative Sc., High Institute for Agric. Co-operation, █████

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Alaa El Din Abd El Salam Hemid

Associate Professor of Poultry Nutrition, Department of Poultry
Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
(Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Shaban Fatouh El Afifi

Associate Professor of Poultry Nutrition, Department of Poultry
Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

ABSTRACT

Osama Abd El-Fatah Abd El-Wahab Ali, A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF SOME BROILER CHICK STRAINS FED DIFFERENT LEVELS OF CRUDE PROTEIN TO METABOLIZABLE ENERGY, Unpublished Master of Science thesis, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, ٢٠٠٧

An experiment was conducted to study the effect of strain and dietary protein level on productive performance and their yield of carcass parts of broiler chicks. Factorial experiment 2×3 was used with two dietary protein levels and three commercial broiler chick by birds (Hubbard, Isa- Hubbard and Arbor Acers). The experimental period lasted for ٤٢ days, feeding regime (program) as divided into three stages (starter, grower and finisher).

The results indicated that, the final body weight was affected by strain which recorded ١٥٢٦g/bird for Hubbard, ١٥١٧g/bird for Isa-Hubbard and ١٤٤٢g/bird for Arbor Acers. Body weight of chicks at low protein ١٥٠٢g/bird was slightly higher than those of high protein ١٤٨٨g/bird. The differences in feed consumption were significant ($P < ٠,٠٥$) at the final stage of growth. Hubbard broiler chicks fed high protein level recorded the best feed conversion ratios values at the three stages of growth (١,٦٧, ١, ٩٣ and ١,٩٩ for starter, grower and finisher respectively) compared with the other two strains that at high protein level. There are no clear differences in percentage of breast weight; thigh or drumstick due to variation in strain, sex or dietary protein. Edible organs of chicks did not affected by strain or dietary protein level.

The result indicated that the broiler fed on high protein diets was higher in economic efficiency compared with the broiler fed on low protein diet.

Key Words: Broiler, Strains, Protein levels, Performance, Carcass, Blood Trait, Economical Efficiency.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First, Foremost and before all, the praise and my sincere thanks are to Gad who gave me the strength and capability to work this study.

I have no doubt my success for achievement thesis is due to my **Dr. Alaa E.El-Salam**, Associate Prof. of Poultry Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his providing facilities, support, direct supervision, consider interest suggesting the problem and invaluable advice. I wish to express my sincere gratitude and my heartfelt thanks all praise to him.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude **Dr. Shaaban F. El-Afifi**, Associate Prof. of Poultry Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervising this work, correcting the manuscript. His valuable ideas have led me into researching this exciting area of poultry Nutrition. He was a constant source of judicious guidance and encouragement and her advice made this work possible to achieve. It is a great pleasure to express my sincere appreciation to **Dr. Fathi Abd El-Azim**, Associate Prof. of Poultry Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for helping and providing facilities during the experiment.

Deep thanks and sincere gratitude are to **Dr. Nemat Allah Gamal**, Associate Prof. of Poultry Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for helping.

Also I want to thank **Mr. Hany Ali Thabit** and **Mr. Marawan A.Aziz** Demonstrator, in Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for their continuous help.

Lastly but not the least, I would like to sincerely thank my family for giving me their wholehearted support specially **Mr. Sameh A. Fattah**, and for showing great patience during this work.

CONTENTS

Title	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	III
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Review of Literature.....	3
2.1. The effect of dietary protein levels on broiler performance and carcass yields.....	3
2.1.1. Body weight gain.....	3
2.1.2. Feed consumption.....	9
2.1.3. Feed conversion.....	10
2.1.4. Carcass part yields.....	13
2.2. The effect of dietary protein levels on internal organs.....	16
2.3. The effect of dietary protein levels on economic efficiency.....	17
2.4. Effect of strain on chicks performance and carcass yield.....	17
3. Materials and Methods.....	19
3.1. Experimental Design.....	19
3.2. Experimental birds	19
3.3. Experimental diets.....	19
3.4. Management and Housing	21
3.5. Measured traits	21
3.5.1. Body weight	21
3.5.2. Weight gain.....	21
3.5.3. Feed consumption.	21
3.5.4. Feed conversion.....	22
3.5.5. Economic efficiency (EE).....	22
3.5.6. Slaughter test.....	23
3.5.7. Statistical analysis.....	23
4. Results and Discussion.....	25
4.1. Effect on body weight and gain.....	25
4.2. Effect on feed consumption values.....	28
4.3. Effect on feed conversion ratio (g feed / g gain).....	28

II

٤,٤. Effect on carcass parts yields.....	٣١
٤,٥. Effect on edible organs (gizzard, liver, heart).....	٣١
٤,٦. Effect on Inedible parts (head, legs, blood, feather and neck %).	٣٣
٤,٧. Effect on fat deposition of muscle and abdominal fat.....	٣٤
٤,٨. Blood traits.....	٣٧
٤,٩. Effect of protein level on immunity organ weight.....	٣٨
٤,١٠. Effect on economic efficiency	٤٠
Summary and Conclusion.....	٤٢
References.....	٤٤
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table ١. Experimental diets	٢٠
Table ٢. Effect of protein levels on body weight at ٢١, ٣٢, and ٤٢ days	٢٦
Table ٣. Effect of protein levels on body weight gain at ٢١, ٣٢, and ٤٢ days	٢٧
Table ٤. Effect of protein levels on feed consumption at ٢١, ٣٢, and ٤٢ days	٢٩
Table ٥. Effect of protein levels on feed conversion at ٢١, ٣٢, and ٤٢ days.....	٣٠
Table ٦. Effect of protein levels on Thigh, Breast and Drumstick muscles%.....	٣٢
Table ٧. Effect of protein levels on edible parts % (gizzard, liver, and heart).....	٣٣
Table ٨. Effect of protein levels on Inedible parts% (head, legs, blood, feather and neck %).....	٣٤
Table ٩. Effect of protein levels on breast fat, thigh fat and drumstick fat %.....	٣٦
Table ١٠. Effect of protein levels on abdominal fat (viscera, pad fat, gizzard fat, and mesenteric fat %).....	٣٧
Table ١١. Effect of Strains and protein levels on blood (Total protein, Albumin, G.O.T, G.P.T, Creatinine, Uric Acid).....	٣٨
Table ١٢. Effect of protein levels on immunity organ weight/g (spleen, bursa and thymus).....	٣٩
Table ١٣. Effect of dietary protein levels on economic performance of different broiler chicks strain.....	٤١
Table ١٤. Overall means of economic efficiency.....	٤١

1. INTRODUCTION

Diet composition is considered the major factor affecting profitability of broiler production, because the production performance of broiler is highly controlled by dietary composition. Also the feeding cost depends upon the composition and nutrient density of the diets.

In Egypt, the observations drawn from broiler chicks farms showed that, similar diet contents of protein and energy have been received for broiler chicks. However the growth response of broilers to the diet composition is vary according to the strain and sex. **Le Clereq** (1988) and **Smith and Pesti** (1988) observed significant interaction between strains and dietary protein levels to induce body weight gain of broilers. **Veerapen and Driver** (1988) reported that, body weight gain of males (Ross strain) was higher than that of females at the same age. As well as, the yield of carcass parts are differing according to sex, strain and diet composition.

Rondellin et al., (1988) and **Sterling et al.**, (1988) found a difference in carcass yield, breast and breast fillet due to different strains or genotype. **Salmon et al.**, (1988) reported that differences in protein levels of starter diet had a higher effect on breast muscle yield than the differences in dietary protein level of finisher diet.

Dietary protein level, or energy to protein (E:P) ratio, beside its determinant effect on weight gain and feed efficiency of broiler chicks, has a marked effect on the quality of their carcasses, viz., yields of edible meat, and fat content **Bartov and Plavnik**, (1988). Diets lower in protein than recommended (**NRC**, 1988) reduced the yields of meat and increased fattening **Moran et al.**, (1988).

The latter approach can reduce performance when the nutrient content of the diets becomes inadequate and the farmer can substantially increase the total cost of the feed. However, increasing the dietary crude

protein (CP) level often improves feed efficiency even in the absence of a growth response **Jackson et al.**, (1998b).

High-energy broiler starter diets could result in extra deposition of fat **Holsheimer and Veerkamp**, (1998). This extra fat is not appreciated by the consumer. When it is removed from the carcasses in the slaughter house it is sold for a low market price **Holsheimer and Ruesink**, (1998).

Decreasing the dietary energy to protein ratio will also increase meat yield and decrease carcass fat content **Salmon et al.**, (1998).

Feed represents the major cost (between 60-70%) of producing poultry meat and eggs **Leeson and Summers**, (1998); and **Sainsbury**, (1998), thus much effort has been directed at reducing feed costs and increasing the feed conversion efficiency in which birds convert food into eggs and meat. Moreover, allowing birds an unlimited supply of food result in consumption in excess of the bird requirement for maintenance and in excess energy being converted into fat. This fact is of economical importance because fat adversely affect reproductive performance **Mench**, (1998).

In recent years, wide fluctuations in ingredient costs have led to greater emphasis on factors other than bird performance per se when considering the economics of broiler production **Jackson et al.**, (1998b).

Less expensive protein sources have been utilized effectively to increase the CP level of the diets for reducing abdominal fat deposition **Cabel et al.**, (1998).

The present study aimed to investigate the effect of strain and dietary protein levels on performance of broilers, yield of carcass parts, some blood traits, immunity organs and the economical efficiency.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1. The effect of dietary protein levels on broiler performance and carcass yields:

2.1.1. Body weight gain:

Increase dietary protein levels have a positive effect on body weight gain of broiler chicks. That has been reported in the most previous review. However some research workers especially those taking the economic efficiency in their consideration recommended by using the lower level of protein in broiler diets.

Twining and Bossard (1968) indicated that, feeding broiler chicks on high protein diet (21%) resulted in a significantly higher body weight compared with low protein diet (14%).

Babetunde et al., (1988) showed that body weight gain of broiler chicks fed 24% dietary protein level was significantly more than those fed a lower level of protein. They recommended by a level of 24 and 18% dietary protein level in starter and finisher period respectively.

Moran (1988a) noted a reduction in body weight gain as a result of decrease dietary protein level from 24% to 22%.

Moran (1988b) reported that body weight gain was not affected by reducing dietary protein level from 26 to 24% while the ration of 22% protein negatively affected body gain.

Treindad et al., (1988) found that body weight gain was higher by 4.5% in chicken fed diets containing 20% crude protein compared with those fed 16 or 18% dietary protein levels.

Hulan and Proudfoot (1988) observed that a dramatic reduction in body weight gain of chicks due to decrease dietary protein level from 24% to 16% in starter diet.

El Moghazy *et al.*, (2004) observed a linear in body weight gain due to gradually elevating of dietary protein level from 18 to 24%.

Jackson *et al.*, (2004a) reported that body weight gain increased with increasing dietary protein levels. They fed broiler chicks in a diets varying in crude protein levels 16, 20, 24, 28.

Pesti and Fletcher (2004) noticed that increasing dietary protein level from 17 to 21% significantly increased body weight gain of male broiler chickens during the growing and finishing phase of production.

Pesti and Fletcher (2004) demonstrated that, increasing dietary protein level from 17.5 to 22% with the constant level of M.E at finisher period (21 to 42 day of age), increased body weight gain.

Diambra and McCartney (2004) fed broiler chicks at the finisher phase (42 to 49 day of age) different dietary protein levels 9, 12, 15 and 18% with the constant level of energy. They reported that body weight gain was improved by increasing dietary protein level.

Summers and Leeson (2004) observed that CP level in finishing diet had a little influence on male broiler body weight gain except that chicks fed on 18% CP diet recorded significantly more weight gain during this period than those receiving 22% CP diet.

Omer and Musharafa (2004) compared standard NRC dietary protein recommendations for broiler diets to allow protein diet (A) 18.5 or 17.9% and a high protein diet (B) 24 or 23% in the starter and finisher periods, respectively. They showed no difference in performance between NRC diet and diet (A), while both were significantly lower than diet (B).

Fancher and Jensen (1968a) found that decreasing the dietary protein level from 18.3 to 15.9% significantly decreased body weight gain.

Fancher and Jensen (1968b) and **Pinchasov et al., (1968)** reported that lower body weight gains were occurred with lower of dietary CP.

Khajjarern et al., (1968) they fed broilers on diet differed in crude protein CP level in starter (0-4 weeks) and finisher (4-7 weeks) phases as follow: 22-19, 22-18, 22-17, 21-19, 21-18, 21-17, 20-18 and 20-17%. The results of this experiment indicated that the respectively optimal dietary protein level for starter and finisher was 21-17%. Lowering protein level in the diet did not affect chick growth.

Cabel and Waldroup (1968) fed broiler diet containing 23% CP at starter then given diet containing 15% CP in the finisher, there were no significant differences in final body weight when compared with those fed diet containing 18, 20, and 23% CP, they also found that the protein and amino acid requirement were decreased with increasing age, which explain why CP level affected of performance less during the finishing period.

Holsheimer and Veerkamp (1968) used two CP levels of (23, 1, 23, 6%), (21, 0, 29, 7) with 3200 and 2880 k cal. ME / kg diet, the diets were given until 8 weeks of age. They found that the normal CP diets gave significantly higher gains ($P < 0.05$) than the high CP diets at 6, 7, and 8 weeks of age.

Deschepper and Groote (1968) showed that it is possible to obtain the same performances with low protein diets supplemented with synthetic amino, using an ideal amino acid balance, however. **Gongnet et al., (1968)** found that growth was significantly better with 20 than 14% dietary CP, from 3-6 weeks, receptively.

Jacob *et al.*, (2000) reported that, broiler chicks fed 14% protein diet from 3 to 6 weeks of age showed insignificant differences in final body weight when compared to 20.5% protein level.

Agnihotri and Paghel (2000) recorded that chicks fed 24% dietary protein level performance more body weight gain than those fed 18% CP.

Ferguson *et al.*, (2000) showed that feeding low protein diets to broilers decrease growth performance.

Ehab and Atta (2000) used two levels of protein in starter diets which formulated to contain 22% & 20% CP; finisher diets contain 20% & 14% CP. He recommended to feed chicks diet containing 20% CP to 28 day followed by diet containing 14% CP to 49 day of age.

Sterling *et al.*, (2000) fed broiler chicks three dietary CP levels (14, 20, and 22%) they reported that dietary CP levels had significant ($p < 0.001$) effects on body weight gain (BWG).

Sterling *et al.*, (2000) they showed a significant linear and quadratic effects of dietary CP intake on body weight gain (BWG) when fed the broiler on (14, 20, 22, and 26%) CP in a diet containing (3200 kcal/ kg ME).

Tabeidian *et al.*, (2000) they study the effect of dietary protein levels and soybean oil supplementation on broiler performance they feed the broilers crude protein levels were **NRC**(2000) recommendation and 10% more than NRC recommendation (NRC x 1.1) they demonstrated that increasing diet protein up to 10% more than NRC recommendation could not improve body weight gain in broiler chickens except for 9-21d old chicks that fed a diet containing no soybean oil and high protein level that resulted to highest weight gain.

Matterson et al., (1966) reported that the productive energy to protein ratio decreased from 0.1 to 0.3 in isocaloric rations containing 20-28% protein, growth rate appeared to increase.

Preze et al., (1966) found that the level of 22% CP or more gave a better growth.

Lipstein et al., (1966) observed that no significant difference in body weight gains rates in female broilers from 26 to 63 days fed a 15.5% CP diet supplemented with additional methionine and lysine in comparison with controls receiving an 18.1% CP diet. Male a 15.5% CP diet supplemented with additional methionine and lysine exhibited weight gains values equal to those fed a 20.2% CP diet from 30 to 62 days of age.

Babetunde et al., (1966) showed that body weight gain for broiler chicks with 24% protein was significantly more than with any protein level. They recommended that cured protein level not less than 24% and 18% respectively, for broiler starter and finisher diets.

Twining et al., (1966) reported that birds reared for 0.9 days and fed low protein diets had lower body weight than those fed high protein diets.

Hargis and Creger (1966) observed that high dietary protein levels were detrimental to growth during the starting period (0-14 days), but beneficial in maximizing gain and feed efficiency during the finishing period (28 to 49 days).

Hulan et al., (1966) demonstrated that as the protein content of starters, growers, and finishers decreased, body weight decreased.

Moran (1966) fed broiler chicks on (24, 26, and 22%, respectively) and (3100 kcal ME/kg) during the first 2 weeks