



# Intensive Insulin Therapy in Acute coronary syndromes

Title

Thesis for Partial Fulfillment Of Master Degree In Critical Care Medicine

**Investigator** 

Reham Ali El Shenawy MB.B.CH

**Supervisors** 

# Prof .Dr. Tarek Samir El Gohary, MD

Professor Of Critical Care Medicine, Critical Care Department, Cairo University.

### Asst. Prof .Mohammed Fahmi El Noamany, MD

Assistant Professor Of Cardiology, Cardiology Department, El Menoufia University.

# Dr. Ayman Nehad Moharram, MD

Lecturer Of Critical Care Medicine, Critical Care Department, Cairo University.

Cairo University
2012

# INTENSIVE INSULIN THERAPY IN ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROMES

Tarek El-Gohary PHD\*, Mohamed El-Noamany PHD\*\*,
Ayman Moharram MD\*. Reham El-Shenawy\*
Department of Critical Care, Cairo University.
Department of Cardiology El-Menofia University

### Abstract

#### Background

Elevated admission plasma glucose is associated with increased mortality in patients who are admitted with an acute coronary syndrome. This may be mediated by increased inflammation, apoptosis and coagulation, and by a disturbed endothelial function that can be found in hyperglycemic patients. Insulin has several characteristics that may potentially counteract these mechanisms.

#### **METHODS:**

A fifty selected critically ill adult patients admitted with acute coronary syndrome within 6 hours of presentation with admission hyperglycemia "RBS > 140 mg/dl" with or without previously known diabetes mellitus were enrolled in this study and the effect of intensive insulin therapy on decreasing infarct size, the incidence of complications as re-infarction, heart failure, arrhythmias, hemodynamic instability & death was monitored during ICU admission and 30 days follow up.

#### Results

Of 50 patients who were enrolled in the study 25 patients received insulin infusion, while the other 25 received insulin via S.C route if R.B.S exceeded 180 mg/dl. Each group composed of 25 cases of which 8 cases were diagnosed as having: Non ST-segment elevation—acute coronary syndrome "Unstable angina + Non STEMI" "32%", 10 cases were diagnosed as having inf. STEMI." 40%", 7 cases were diagnosed as having anterior STEMI "28%". Mean age was 55.12±8.03 for Group A and 59.68±11 for Group B. The aim of tight glycemic control in the intensive insulin therapy arm group A was successfully achieved. The range of glycemic control during the 1<sup>st</sup> 24hs as well as during the rest of ICU stay was significantly lower in group A.

Heart failure at 7 and 30 days was 4% and 12%, respectively, for those receiving insulin infusion and 20% and 24%, respectively, for those who did not. Hemodynamic instability was 8% in group A vs. 28% in group B during the ICU stay. Mortality at 7 and 30 days was 0% and 0%, respectively, for those receiving insulin infusion and 4% and 12%, respectively, for those who did not.

#### Conclusion

Tight glycemic control in patients with acute coronary syndrome presenting with hyperglycemia at admission whether known or not known to be diabetic is

# Key words

**Intensive insulin therapy**: Insulin actrapid infusion aiming at glycemic control between 80 - 130 mg/dl.

**Acute coronary syndromes include** patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction, patients with non ST elevation myocardial infarction & patients with unstable angina.

### **Contents**

Introduction	1
Aim of the work	3
Review of Literature	4
Patients And Methods	38
Results	45
Discussion	85
<i>Summary</i>	101
Conclusion	103
Recommendations	104
Reference	106
الملخص العربي	1

# List of Figures

Figure (1): Pathogenesis of acute coronary syndromes.	5
Figure 2 Microanatomy of coronary arterial thrombosis and	6
acute occlusion	
Fig. (3): Modified 2-dimensional echocardiogram of a large	27
apical septum ventricular septal defect	
Fig. (4): Parasternal long-axis view of the left ventricle	28
demonstrates a large inferobasal aneurysm.	
Figure (5): Relationship between admission glucose levels and	30
1 year mortality in patients with AMI.	
Figure (6): Relationship between average glucose levels	31
during hospitalization and in-hospital mortality in patients	
with AMI.	
Figure (7): Association between glucose normalization and	32
risk of death during AMI hospitalization.	

# List of Diagrams

demographic data.  Figure 2A shows percentage of different diagnoses in group A.  Figure 2B shows percentage of different diagnoses in group B.  Figure 3: Comparison between studied groups regarding the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24 hours glycemic control  Figure 4: Comparison between studied groups regarding the range of the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.		
Figure 2A shows percentage of different diagnoses in group A.  Figure 2B shows percentage of different diagnoses in group B.  Figure 3: Comparison between studied groups regarding the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24 hours glycemic control  Figure 4: Comparison between studied groups regarding the range of the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 1: Comparison between studied groups regarding	46
Figure 2B shows percentage of different diagnoses in group B.  Figure 3: Comparison between studied groups regarding the 1st 24 hours glycemic control  Figure 4: Comparison between studied groups regarding the range of the 1st 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	demographic data.	
Figure 3: Comparison between studied groups regarding the 1st 24 hours glycemic control  Figure 4: Comparison between studied groups regarding the range of the 1st 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 2A shows percentage of different diagnoses in group A.	48
hours glycemic control  Figure 4: Comparison between studied groups regarding the range of the 1st 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 2B shows percentage of different diagnoses in group B.	48
Figure 4: Comparison between studied groups regarding the range of the 1st 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 3: Comparison between studied groups regarding the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24	52
of the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	hours glycemic control	
during the rest of ICU stay.  Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 4: Comparison between studied groups regarding the range	54
Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	of the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24 hours glycemic control & range of glycemic control	
admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	during the rest of ICU stay.	
Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 5: Comparison between studied groups regarding Ck-MB on	59
on admission and after 12 hours.  Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	admission and after 12 hours.	
Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 6: Comparison between studied groups regarding Troponin	59
rate and QRS duration on admission.  Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65 groups 8 C: Comparison between studied groups 8 C:	on admission and after 12 hours.	
Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	Figure 7 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart	62
of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	rate and QRS duration on admission.	
Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	Figure 7 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number	62
ECG variables on admission.  Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart 65 rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	of ECG variables on admission.	
Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart 65 rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	Figure 7 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of	62
rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	ECG variables on admission.	
Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	Figure 8 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart	65
of ECG variables 24hs after admission.  Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	rate and QRS duration 24hs after admission.	
Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of 65	Figure 8 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number	65
	of ECG variables 24hs after admission.	
ECG variables 24hs after admission.	Figure 8 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of	65
	ECG variables 24hs after admission.	

Figure 9 A: Comparison between studied groups regarding the heart	68
rate and QRS duration upon discharge.	
Figure 9 B: Comparison between studied groups regarding number	68
of ECG variables upon discharge.	
Figure 9 C: Comparison between studied groups regarding sum of	68
ECG variables upon discharge.	
Figure 10: Comparison between studied groups regarding Echo.	79
Figure 11 A: The incidence of arrhythmias during ICU stay.	81
Figure 11 B: The incidence of arrhythmias and other complications	81
during ICU stay.	
Figure 12: The incidence of out of hospital complications.	82

# List of Tables

Table (1): Comparison between studied groups regarding	46
demographic data	
Table (2): Comparison between studied groups regarding Diagnosis	47
Table (3): Comparison between studied groups regarding medical	50
history	
Table (4): Comparison between studied groups regarding R B S:	51
Table (5): Comparison between studied groups regarding range of	53
24h glycemic control:	
Table (6): Comparison between range of glycemic control during	55
the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24hs & during the rest of ICU stay among GA	
Table (7): Comparison between range of glycemic control during	56
the 1 <sup>st</sup> 24hs & during the rest of ICU stay among GB	
Table (8): Comparison between studied groups regarding laboratory	57
measurements	
Table (9): Comparison between studied groups regarding troponin	58
& Ck-MB	
Table (10): Comparison between studied groups regarding ECG on	61
admission	
Table (11): Comparison between studied groups regarding ECG	64
24hs after admission:	
Table (12): Comparison between studied groups regarding ECG on	67
discharge:	
Table (13): Comparison between ECG on admission and after 24 h	71
among group A:	
Table (14): Comparison between ECG on admission and after 24 h	73
among group B:	

Table (15): Comparison between ECG changes on admission and	75
upon discharge among group A	
Table (16): Comparison between ECG changes on admission and	77
upon discharge among group B	
Table (17): Comparison between studied groups regarding Echo:	78
Table (18): Comparison between studied groups regarding in	80
hospital complications:	
Table (19): Comparison between studied groups regarding out of	83
hospital complications:	

### List of abbreviations

**ACC** American College of Cardiology

**ACS** Acute coronary syndromes

**AHA** American Heart Association

**AV** Atrio ventricular

**CAD** Coronary artery disease

**CK** Creatine kinase

**CMR** Cardiac magnetic resonance

**DM** Diabetes mellitus

**DSA** Digital substraction angiography

**ED** Emergency department

**EDWT** End diastolic wall thickening

**EF** Ejection fraction

ESC the European Society of Cardiology

**GIK** Glucose-insulin -Potassium

**GP** Glycoprotein IIb/ IIIa

IIb/IIIa

**IGC** Intensive glycemic control

**LBBB** Left bundle branch block

**LMWH** Low molecular weight heparin

**LVA** Left Ventricular Aneurysm

**LVMT** Left Ventricular mural thrombus

MBG Myocardial blush grade

MCE Myocardial contrast echo

MI Myocardial infarction

**NSTEMI** Non ST elevation myocardial infarction

**PCI** Percutaneous coronary intervention

**PET** Positron emission tomography

PKB/ Akt Protein kinase B

**PVCs** Premature ventricular contractions

**RBBB** Right bundle branch block

**RWMA** Regional wall motion abnormalities

Sign 93 Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network

**SPECT** Sestamibi single photon emission computed

tomography

**STE** ST segment elevation

**STD** ST segment depression

**STEMI** ST elevation myocardial infarction

**SWT** Systolic wall thickening

**TIMI** Thrombolysis in myocardial infarction grading

flow

**TWI** T wave inversion

**UA** Unstable angina

**UFH** Unfractionated heparin

**URL** Upper Reference limit

**VFWR** Ventricular free wall rupture

**VSR** Ventricular septal rupture

**WHF** World Heart Federation

#### Introduction

Approximately 85% of patients who present with ACS have some degree of dysglycemia. (*Mathew et al 2010*). An increase of 1 mmol/L above normal blood glucose is associated with an increase in mortality of 4% in non diabetic patients and 5% in known diabetic patients (**Standers et al 2004**).

Acute hyperglycemia is associated with endothelial dysfunction, platelet hyper-reactivity, impaired microcirculatory function, increased cytokine activation, increased free fatty acid levels, and increased oxidative stress, all of which adversely affect outcome in AMI (**Zarich et al 2007**). The oxidative stress induced by increasing levels of intramuscular nuclear factor  $\beta$  and by activation of proinflammatory transcription factors is also associated with hyperglycemia. Furthermore in patients with AMI, acute hyperglycemia is associated with decreased microvascual perfusion, as demonstrated by reduced thrombolysis in myocardial infarction flow and myocardial blush grades (*Timemr et al 2005*). Also reduced left ventricular function and cardiac arrhythmia has been described with hyperglycemia (*Ishihara et al 2003*).

The ACC-AHA guidelines on management of unstable angina/NSTEMI have aggressive glycemic management in accordance with current standards of diabetes care and this has been endorsed by the American Diabetes Association and the American College of Endocrinology. Their pre-prandial glucose target is <110 mg/dl (<6.1 mmol/L), a maximum blood glucose target of <180 mg/dL (10 mmol/L). European society of cardiology guidelines on management of AMI

suggests achieving glycated hemoglobin A1c < 6.5% in diabetic patients. None of these guidelines recommends a best method to achieve these glycemic targets (*Mathew et al 2010*).

Cardio metabolic regulation in acute myocardial infarction has largely taken 2 forms. One approach is to deliver a metabolic "Cocktail" such as glucose – insulin-potassium "GIK") to all patients without regard to the level of glycemia, and the other is to specifically target only these patients with hyperglycemia (with a "physiological" continuous infusion of insulin). (*Diaz et al 2007*).

Insulin has been shown to increase microvascular blood flow through the release of nitric oxide by the endothelium and to suppress the expression of inflammatory mediators such as intra cellular adhesion molecule-1, monocytes chemotactic protein-1, and nuclear factor-κβ binding. Overall, insulin promotes beneficial effects in endothelial and platelet function, which can ultimately be potentially pharmacologic tools beyond glycemic control to improve cardiovascular outcome in the setting of AMI. (*Opie 2008*). The delivery of intravenous insulin to normalize glucose levels has been shown to improve outcomes in STEMI and in patients in intensive care unit settings. (*Chung et al 2006*). For every 6 mmol/L reduction in glucose post admission, there is an 8% reduction in mortality in patients with AMI. (*Goyal et al 2006*).

### Aim of the work

Our aim is to test the hypothesis that insulin is cardioprotective in patients with acute coronary syndrome and hyperglycemia because of its anti-inflammatory, profibrinolytic, antioxidant, antiapoptotic, vasodilatory and anti-aggregatory actions and that these effects are enhanced by lowering glucose into the normoglycemic range using intensive insulin therapy.

### ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROMES

### **Definition:**

Patients with ACS include those whose clinical presentations cover the following range of diagnoses: unstable angina, non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), and ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). This ACS spectrum concept is a useful framework for developing therapeutic strategies. (*Fenton 2010*).

**Patho-physiology:** Supply-demand mismatch, Plaque disruption or rupture, Thrombosis, Vasoconstriction, Cyclical flow. (*Topol et al 2000*).

### **Supply-demand mismatch**

The incidence of unstable angina, like all tissue ischemia, results from excessive demand or inadequate supply of oxygen, glucose, and free fatty acids. (*Murphy et al 2003*).

### Plaque disruption

Accumulation of lipid-laden macrophages and smooth muscle cells; foam cells, occurs within atherosclerotic plaques. The oxidized low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in foam cells is cytotoxic, procoagulant, and chemotactic as shown in figure 1. (*Peters et al 2003*).