

Evaluation of Impression Accuracy for Implants at Various Angulations

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,
Ain Shams University, in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for Master's degree
in Removable Prosthodontics

Submitted By

Reham Alaa EL-Sharabasy

B.D.S 2004, Ain Shams University

Supervised By

Dr. Tamer Omar Ibrahim

Associate Professor, Prosthodontic Department
Faculty of Dentistry - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Salah Abd EL-Aziz Nasef

Associate Professor, Dental Biomaterials Department
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University



Dedication

This work is dedicated to:

My parents,

My husband,

And my sweet little kids

For all their patience, love, and support
which made this work possible

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost I fell always indebted to **ALLAH**,
the most kind and most merciful.*

*I would like to express my extreme gratitude and deepest appreciation to **Dr. Tamer Omar Ibrahim**, Associate Professor in Prosthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his remarkable help, valuable advice, constant support and encouragement during the course of this study.*

*My deepest thanks and special regards to **Dr. Mohammed Salah Abdel-Aziz**, Associate Professor in Dental Biomaterials Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his help and offering me a lot of time and experience to achieve this work.*

I would like to express my thanks to all the staff members of Prosthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for their support.

Last but not least, I owe a great deal to my family for their patience, constant support and love which made this work possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page No.
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
A. Classification of root form implant.....	3
I. According to design.....	3
II. According to material.....	5
III. According to surface characteristics.....	6
IV. According to time of implant insertion.....	6
V. According to the manner of implant insertion.....	7
VI. According to the surgical stage.....	8
VII. According to the mode of attachment between the implant and the bone surface.....	8
B. Requirements for achieving proper osseointegration.....	9
C. Implant Components.....	11
D. Criteria for success of dental implants.....	17
E. Impression materials and techniques.....	21
F. Splinting procedure.....	27
G. Methods used for measuring the dimensional changes of different dental materials.....	30
Aim of the study	33
Materials and methods	34
Results	51
Discussion	63

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page No.
Summary	74
Conclusions	76
Recommendations	77
References	78
Arabic summary	_____

LIST OF TABLES

Tab. No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 90 degree	51
Table 2:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 90 degree	52
Table 3:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 80 degree	53
Table 4:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 80 degree	54
Table 5:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 75 degree	55
Table 6:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 75 degree	56
Table 7:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 65 degree	57
Table 8:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 65 degree	58
Table 9:	One way ANOVA analysis of mean values of implant angulation at different angulation with different splint	60
Table 10:	One way ANOVA analysis of mean absolute percent error value of implant angulation at various angulation degrees with different splints.....	61
Table 11:	Total mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splints	62

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	Metal model used with four implants inserted at different angulations (front view).....	36
Figure 2:	Metal model used with four implants (top view).....	36
Figure 3:	The acrylic tray used in making impression.....	37
Figure 4:	Connection of transfer caps by dental floss (front view).	39
Figure 5:	Connection of transfer caps by dental floss (top view).	39
Figure 6:	Self-cured acrylic resin used for splinting.	40
Figure 7:	Application of resin to the scaffolding of dental floss by incremental technique.....	40
Figure 8:	Addition silicon used for splinting the caps.	41
Figure 9:	Proportioning of equal amounts of base and catalyst.	42
Figure 10:	Scratching of silicone surface provides mechanical retention for impression material.	42
Figure 11:	The 3M ESPE polyether adhesive.....	45
Figure 12:	Application of polyether adhesive to the fitting surface of the tray.	45
Figure 13:	The 3M ESPE Impregum impression material.	46

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 14:	Elastomeric syringe used to introduce the impression material close to the implants as possible.....	46
Figure 15:	Removal of tray with impression and transfer caps.....	47
Figure 16:	Positioning of analogues firmly over the caps.	47
Figure 17:	A sample of stone cast ready to be measured by image cytometric analysis.	48
Figure 18:	Implants inclination measurments.....	49
Figure 19:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 90 degree.	52
Figure 20:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 90 degree.....	53
Figure 21:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 80 degree.	54
Figure 22:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 80 degree.....	55
Figure 23:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 75 degree.	56
Figure 24:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 75 degree.....	57
Figure 25:	Mean values of implant angulation with different splints at 65 degree.	58
Figure 26:	Mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint at 65 degree.....	59

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 27:	Total mean absolute percent error of implant angulation with different splint.	62

INTRODUCTION

An important factor for success with implant-supported restorations is the passive fit between the superstructure and the abutments. However, literature reported that it was difficult to achieve a passive and precise fit routinely. Misfit generates potentially detrimental tensile, bending or compressive forces into the prosthesis-implant assembly, which may lead to complications and mechanical failures more than to biological complications. ^[1,2]

Investigations studied the parameters of superstructure accuracy showed impression and master cast accuracy as one of the major determinants. Furthermore, machining tolerances of the components provided by the manufacturer and the accuracy of laboratory process were identified as additional factors. ^[1-3]

It seems prudent to use a stiff elastomeric impression material such as polyether, since it maintains impression coping positions accurately and is dimensionally stable. In addition, it presents good resistance to permanent deformation, low strain compression, and high initial shear strength. ^[1,2]

An accurate impression is one of the crucial steps to produce a well-fitting prosthesis. Impression transfer techniques would affect master cast accuracy. Both direct and indirect transfer techniques are commonly used in dental practice. The design of transfer coping and the tray are the main differences between both techniques. Squared transfer copings and open

Introduction

tray are applied for direct transfer technique, whereas indirect technique was performed with tapered transfer copings and closed tray. ^[2-4]

The indirect technique may be less difficult clinically; however, it has been shown to have greater instability in transferring the implant position. On the other hand, the direct transfer technique with splinted impression copings exhibits greater transfer precision, although splinting advantages have not been established. ^[1,4]

Distortion associated with splinted transfer techniques can be related to residual polymerization contraction of the acrylic resin used for splinting. Different techniques for splinting impression copings with acrylic resin have been tested, such as a scaffold of dental floss, prefabricated acrylic resin bars, and stainless steel burs. ^[4]

In this study, the accuracy of impression through studying the changes in the poured master casts containing implants with different angulations aided by different splinting materials was evaluated.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Implant definition

Dental implant was defined as a prosthodontic device of alloplastic material, implanted into the oral tissues beneath the mucosal and/or periosteal layers and /or within bone to provide retention and support for fixed and removable prosthesis.^[5]

Root form implants

They are preferred over other types of endosseous implants, due to better stress distribution, abutment designs, faster healing and better esthetics.^[6]

Root form implant, was defined as an “Endosteal implant, shaped in the approximate form of a tooth’s root.”^[5]

A. Classification of root form implants:

I. According to design:

1. Screw shaped:

Threaded screw shaped implants, the most commonly used today, they include:

Br (Nobel biocare)

Branemark first introduced his root form implant in 1978. Two-stage titanium threaded screwed type bone tapped with

Review of Literature

machined surface, or conical threaded type self-tapped with sandblasted surface.^[6]

Screw vent

It was first introduced in 1986. It was either made of pure titanium or titanium alloy in a solid screw design, the implant has vertical cutting grooves and threaded to the apex with an apical vent which makes it self-tapped.^[7]

Denar (Steri-oss)

Two stage implant made of pure titanium coated with a uniform layer of hydroxyl-apatite with tapered apex threaded design and a smooth periodontal neck.^[8]

2. Hollow cylinders:

Straumann ITI:

The ITI system was a one stage system of pure titanium with plasma sprayed surface of a hollow cylinder design. Its main indication was in a single tooth replacement, in anterior maxilla.^[9]

Core vent:

Two stage titanium alloy with sandblasted surface introduced in 1985. It has a hollow vented basket design in its