



**Ain Shams University  
Faculty of Science  
Chemistry Department**



# **UTILIZATION OF BIO-PRECIIPITATION TO IMPROVE THE PHYSICO-MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BUILDING MATERIALS**

**A thesis Presented by**

**Hamdy Abdel Aziz Ahmed Abdel Gawwad**

**(M.Sc. in Chemistry)**

**For**

**The fulfillment of the Degree of Ph.D. in Chemistry**

***Submitted to***

**Chemistry Department**

**Faculty of Science**

**Ain Shams University**

**2013**



**Ain Shams University**  
**Faculty of Science**  
**Chemistry Department**



# **UTILIZATION OF BIO-PRECIIPITATION TO IMPROVE THE PHYSICO-MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BUILDING MATERIALS**

**A thesis Presented by**

**Hamdy Abdel Aziz Ahmed Abdel Gawwad**

**(M.Sc. in Chemistry)**

**Thesis For**

**Ph.D Degree of Science in Physical and Inorganic  
Chemistry, under supervision of**

**Prof. Dr. Salah Abdel-Ghani Abo-El-Enein (D.Sc.).....**

Professor of Physical Chemistry and Building Materials,  
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Ali Hassan Ali Ahmed .....**

Professor of Physical Chemistry and Building Materials,  
Housing and Building National Research Center,

**Prof. Dr. Fatma Nabeeh Talkhan .....**

Professor of Applied Microbial Genetics,  
National Research Center

**Head of Department**

**Prof. Dr. Maged Shafik Antonious**

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly and foremost, my deep gratefulness, Thankful and indebtedness as to merciful “**ALLAH**” who give me everything I have in the ability and patience for accomplishing this work.

Thanks to our prophet, **MUHAMED (PBUH)**; teach me the good morality. My leader to the right way, and my exhorter to the excellence direction in our present life and in hereafter. Gives me the integrity instructions.

Foremost, I would like to express my deep and sincere thanking to **Prof. Dr. Salah. Abdel-Ghani Abo-El-Enein (D.Sc.)** Professor of Physical Chemistry and Building Materials, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the subject of this study, useful guidance, continuous supervision and his great help in the interpretation of the results.

Also I would like to thanks **Prof. Dr. Ali Hassan Ali Ahmed** Professor of physical Chemistry and Building Materials, Housing and Building National Research Center for his kind, help, guidance and continuous supervision during course of this investigation.

Special thanks and appreciation are extended to **Prof. Dr. Fatma Nabeeh Talkhan** Professor of Applied Microbial Genetics - National Research Center for supported me by bacterial strain, help, guidance and expert supervision.

Final thanks to express my deep and sincere to Professor **Ali Mohammed Sharara**. Head of Raw Research Institute, Housing and Building National Research center.

I send special thanks and dedicate this work to my Parents, my Wife and my Family for the gift of years of support, tolerance and patience; so I ask my God to aid me to restore even some of them efforts.

*Hamdy .A . Abdel Gawwad*

<b>REVIEW</b> .....	1
1.1 <b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
1.1.1 Mechanism of microbial induced calcite precipitation .....	3
1.1.1.1 Biologically controlled mineralization .....	3
1.1.1.2 Biologically induced mineralization ...	4
1.2 <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	8
1.2.1 Microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP).....	8
1.2.2 Bio-cementation (Consolidation of sand)	13
1.2.3 Remediation of cracks in concrete .....	22
1.2.4 Improvement physico-mechanical properties of cementitious material.....	25
1.2.5 Protect stone and cementitious material ...	29
1.2.6 Self-healing concrete .....	34
<b>OBJECT OF INVESTIGATION</b> .....	37
<b>2. MATERIALS AND METHODS OF INVESTIGATION</b> .....	40
2.1 <b>Materials</b> .....	40
2.2 Cultivation Condition .....	41
2.2.1 Consolidation of sand .....	41
2.2.2 Bio-mortar .....	42
2.3 Preparation of Cement Pastes .....	43
2.3.1 Biocementation (consolidation of sand)..	43
2.3.2 Preparation of bio-mortar .....	44
2.3.3 Mortar crack remediation .....	44
2.3.4 Surface protection .....	45
2.4 Curing .....	45
2.5 <b>Methods of Investigation</b> .....	46
2.5.1 Stopping of the hydration .....	46
2.5.2 Water absorption .....	47
2.5.2.1 Bio-mortar and bio-cement .....	47
2.5.2.2 Protected and unprotected cement pastes.....	47

2.5.3	Bulk density .....	48
2.5.4	Compressive strength measurements .....	49
2.5.5	X-Ray diffraction (XRD) .....	49
2.5.6	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) .....	50
2.5.7	Differential thermal analysis (DTA) .....	50
2.5.8	Petrographic technique .....	51
2.5.8	Scanning electron microscopy .....	51
<b>3.</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1	<b>Consolidation of Sand (Biocementation) ..</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1.1	Effect bacterial concentration and number of treatments on sand consolidation .....	54
3.1.1.1	Water absorption .....	54
3.1.1.2	Bulk density .....	58
3.1.1.3	Compressive strength .....	59
3.1.1.4	XRD analysis .....	63
3.1.1.5	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).....	64
3.1.1.6	Differential thermal analysis (DTA) ...	66
3.1.1.7	Petrographic microscopy .....	67
3.1.1.8	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) ..	69
3.1.2	Effect of urea and calcium chloride dosages.....	71
3.1.2.1	Water absorption .....	71
3.1.2.2	Bulk density .....	72
3.1.2.3	Compressive strength .....	75
3.1.3	Effect of sand particle size .....	78
3.1.3.1	Water absorption .....	79
3.1.3.2	Bulk density .....	81
3.1.3.3	Compressive strength .....	83
3.1.4	Effect of calcium source .....	85
3.1.4.1	Water absorption .....	86
3.1.4.2	Bulk density .....	88
3.1.4.3	Compressive strength .....	89
3.1.4.4	XRD analysis .....	92
3.1.4.5	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).....	94
3.1.4.6	Differential thermal analysis (DTA) ....	94
3.1.4.7	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)...	98

3.2	<b>Mortar Crack Remediation .....</b>	101
3.2.1	Compressive strength .....	103
3.3	<b>Improvement Physico-Mechanical Properties of Cement Mortar.....</b>	105
3.3.1	Water absorption .....	105
3.3.2	Compressive strength .....	108
3.3.3	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).....	112
3.4	<b>Durability of Cement Mortar Mixed with and without Bacterial Cells Against MgSO<sub>4</sub> Attack .....</b>	114
3.4.1	Compressive strength .....	116
3.4.2	Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA) .....	119
3.4.3	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) .....	124
3.5	<b>Surface Protection of Cement Pastes .....</b>	129
3.5.1	Water absorption and sorptivity coefficient.....	131
3.5.2	X-ray diffraction (XRD) .....	137
3.5.3	Differential thermal analysis (DTA).....	140
3.5.4	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) .....	142
3.6	<b>Durability of Surface Protected Cement Pastes by Microbial Calcite Precipitation Against MgCl<sub>2</sub> Attack.....</b>	146
3.6.1	Compressive strength .....	147
3.6.2	Differential thermal analysis (DTA) .....	150
3.6.3	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) .....	152
4.	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	154
	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	162
	<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## TABLES

<b>Table (1)</b>	Chemical composition of materials, wt %.....	41
<b>Table (2)</b>	Water absorption and reduction percentage of water absorption of control sand and sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cell concentrations (0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 OD <sub>600</sub> ) and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> from 1 <sup>st</sup> to 4 <sup>th</sup> treatments..	55
<b>Table (3)</b>	Bulk density of control sand and sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cell concentrations (0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 OD <sub>600</sub> ) and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> from 1 <sup>st</sup> to 4 <sup>th</sup> treatments .....	59
<b>Table (4)</b>	Compressive strength of sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cell concentrations (0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 OD <sub>600</sub> ) and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> from 1 <sup>st</sup> to 4 <sup>th</sup> treatments.....	61
<b>Table (5)</b>	Water absorption of sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cell concentrations of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 4 <sup>th</sup> treatment.....	72
<b>Table (6)</b>	Bulk density of sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1OD and 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 4 <sup>th</sup> treatment.....	74
<b>Table (7)</b>	Compressive strength of sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 4 <sup>th</sup> treatment.....	76

<b>Table (8)</b>	Water absorption of control sand with different grain sizes and consolidated sand by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> at 4 <sup>th</sup> treatment.....	79
<b>Table (9)</b>	Bulk density of control sand with different grain sizes and consolidated sand by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> after four treatments.....	81
<b>Table (10)</b>	Compressive strength of control sand with different grain sizes and consolidated sand by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> after four treatments.....	83
<b>Table (11)</b>	Water absorption of sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> , Ca(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub> or Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> after four treatments.....	86
<b>Table (12)</b>	Bulk density of sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> , Ca(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub> or Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> after four treatments .....	88
<b>Table (13)</b>	Compressive strength of sand consolidated by mixing with bacterial cells concentration of 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> and 1.0 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> , Ca(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub> or Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> after four treatments .....	90
<b>Table (14)</b>	Compressive strength of mortar sample	

	(C-0: control, C-1: untreated cracked mortar and C-2: treated cracked mortar.....	104
<b>Table (15)</b>	Water absorption of cement mortar with or without bacterial cells up to 28 days.....	106
<b>Table (16)</b>	Compressive strength of cement mortar with and without bacterial cells up to 28 days.....	109
<b>Table (17)</b>	Compressive strength of control cement mortar (CM) mixed with 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> of bacterial cells (CM + 1.0 OD <sub>600</sub> ) after immersion immersed in 5 % magnesium sulfate and tapwater up to 12 months.....	117
<b>Table (18)</b>	Water absorption of control and coated sample with bacterial cells and 0.17, 0.33 and 0.66 M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> .....	132
<b>Table (19)</b>	Water absorption of control and coated samples with bacterial cells and 0.17, 0.33 and 0.66 M equimolar of urea / Ca(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub> .....	134
<b>Table (20)</b>	Sorptivity coefficient (k) control and coated samples with bacterial cells and 0.33M equimolar of urea / CaCl <sub>2</sub> and urea / Ca(CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>2</sub> .....	136
<b>Table (21)</b>	Compressive strength values of control cement pastes (CCP) and protected surface of cement paste (PCP) by microbial calcite precipitation then immersed in 3 % magnesium chloride or tap water up to 12 months.....	148

## ABSTRACT

The microbes can hydrolyze urea by *urease enzyme* to produce ammonium as well as carbonate ions and in the presence of calcium ions. This will result in calcium carbonate precipitation; this process called "biocalcification" or microbial induced calcite precipitation (MICP). This technology is environmentally friendly. In this work, the phenomena of microbial induced calcite precipitation was applied for sand consolidation, mortar crack remediation, improvement physico-mechanical properties of cement mortars and its resistivity to 5% magnesium sulfate solution as well as the surface protection of cement pastes and its resistivity to 3 % magnesium chloride solution up to one year. It was found that, the compressive strength and bulk density of consolidated sand by bacterial cells and urea/calcium chloride increase with bacterial cells up to 1.5 OD<sub>600</sub>, urea / calcium chloride concentration up to 1.5 M, up to 4 treatments (4 days) and decreasing particle size of sand grains up to 150-300  $\mu\text{m}$ . Meanwhile, the water absorption values decrease. Calcium chloride was found to be the better calcium source which gives higher physico-mechanical properties than those of calcium acetate or calcium nitrate. The compressive strength of untreated

crack mortar was lower than that of the control sample by 43 % which improved after remediation leading to a lowering in strength of 10 % than that of the control sample. Also, the compressive strength of cement mortar mixed with bacterial cells increases with bacterial cell concentration up to 1.0 OD<sub>600</sub> then decreases at 1.5 OD<sub>600</sub>. Meanwhile, the water absorption values decrease with bacterial cells concentration up to 1.5 OD<sub>600</sub>. The water absorption values of protected cement pastes by microbial calcite precipitation were lower than those of the unprotected cement pastes. The cement mortar mixed with bacterial cells was more resistive against magnesium sulfate than the control. On the other hand, the protected cement pastes by microbial calcite precipitation were more resistive against magnesium chloride than the unprotected cement pastes. The distinct phases precipitated by bacterial cells were identified and characterized by XRD, DTA, TGA, petrography and SEM techniques.

## CHAPTER (1)

# INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

### 1.1. INTRODUCTION

Microbial communities and the mineral world are dancing a ‘geobiological tango’ (**Nealson & Ghiorse, 2001**). Dynamics of microbe–mineral interactions are important in carbonate production in both marine and non-marine environments. There is increasing evidence that many processes are traditionally considered as purely physico-chemical, such as carbonate mud production during whiting events (**Robbins & Blackwelder, 1992**).

Calcite is one of the most common and wide spread minerals on Earth constituting 4 wt% of the Earth's crust. It is naturally found in extensive sedimentary rock masses, as limestone, marble and calcareous sandstone in marine, fresh water and terrestrial environments (**Hammes and Vertraete, 2002, Klein and Hurlbut, 1999**).

Microorganisms and microbially mediated mineralization processes are active in almost every environment on earth and possibly in extraterrestrial

systems. In natural environments, chemical  $\text{CaCO}_3$  precipitation ( $\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 \downarrow$ ) is accompanied by biological processes, both of which often occur simultaneously or sequentially. Microbes from soils and aqueous media have been frequently reported to induce the precipitation of calcium carbonate mineral phases in both natural and laboratory settings. Because of this, microbial activity is regarded as an important player in the formation of carbonate sediments and soil carbonate deposits. A number of studies have investigated carbonate mineralization induced by microbes, including that by soil bacteria. An endospore-forming soil bacterium, *urease positive*, participates in calcite precipitation in the environment by producing the urease enzyme. Urease catalyzes urea to produce  $\text{CO}_2$  and ammonia, resulting in an increase of pH in the surroundings where mineral ions ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ) precipitate as  $\text{CaCO}_3$ .

The precipitation of calcium carbonate is governed by four parameters; (1) concentration of calcium ion, (2) concentration of carbonate ions, (3) the pH of the environment (which affects calcium carbonate solubility) and (4) the presence of nucleation sites (**Hammes and**

**Vertraete, 2002).** Calcium carbonate may theoretically occur in natural environment by increasing the concentration of calcium and/or carbonate in solution or by decreasing the solubility of calcium and/or carbonate. Calcite precipitation may come about abiotically by evaporation or shift temperature or pressure or biotically through the action of microorganisms. Bacteria have themselves been shown to be excellent nucleation sites for growing minerals during the formation of rock (**Ferris et al., 1986, Ferris et al., 1987**), with many studies confirming the precipitation of calcite on bacterial cell surface (**Fujita et al., 2000, Hammes et al., 2003c, Warren et al., 2001**). As there is no shortage of nucleation sites in bacterial culture, the first three parameters of calcium and carbonate concentration and pH are keys for microbial calcite precipitation (MCP).

### **1.1.1. Mechanism of microbial induced calcite precipitation:**

Like other biomineralization processes, calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) precipitation can occur by two different mechanisms: biologically controlled or induced (**Lowenstan and Weiner, 1988**).