

الشد القصى لنوعين مختلفين من  
اللاصقات الراتنجية القاعدة على سبيكة  
المعدن الخزفية الغير نفيسة

رسالة  
مقدمة إلى كلية طب الفم والأسنان – جامعة عين شمس  
توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير  
فى طب الأسنان التحفظي  
(تيجان وجسور)

مقدمة من  
الطبيب/ عمرو على إسماعيل  
بكالوريوس طب الفم والأسنان – 2000  
كلية طب الفم والأسنان  
جامعة عين شمس

2009

## المشرفون

د. أمينة محمد محمد حمدي

أستاذ مساعد التركيبات الثابتة  
ورئيس قسم التيجان والجسور  
كلية طب الفم والأسنان  
جامعة عين شمس

د. محمد عادل الدملوى

مدرس التركيبات الثابتة  
قسم التيجان والجسور  
كلية طب الفم والأسنان  
جامعة عين شمس

# **Shear Bond Strength of Two Different Resin Based Adhesive Cements to Non Precious Metal Ceramic Alloy**

**Thesis**

**Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry  
and Oral Medicine Ain Shams University**

*In partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Master Degree in  
Conservative Dentistry (Crown and Bridge)*

**By**

**Amr Ali Ismail**

*B.D.S Ain Shams University 2000*

**2009**

## **Under Supervision**

**Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy**

*Assistant Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics*

*Crown and Bridge Department*

*Faculty of Dentistry and Oral Medicine, Ain-Shams University*

**Dr. Mohamed Adel El Demellawy**

*Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics,*

*Crown and Bridge Department*

*Faculty of Dentistry and Oral Medicine, Ain-Shams University*

# ***Dedication***

***To my family for their endless support and love***

***To my wife for her care and everlasting love***

***To my adorable daughter, Lilly***

***To the sole of our friend Mohamed Soliman.***

## ***Acknowledgement***

*I would like to express my deepest appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy**, Assistance Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics Crown and Bridge Department, Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University, for her help, support, great effort and meticulous supervision throughout this work and through years of my dental study.*

*A great gratitude is owed to **Dr. Mohamed Adel Eldemellawy**, Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics Crown and Bridge Department, Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University, From whom I have learned a lot and will always be grateful to his respected personnel, sincere support and tremendous encouragement. It was a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.*

*I would like to cordially thank all the staff members and colleagues at the Department of Crown and Bridge Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University for their support and help.*

# *List of Contents*

<i>List of Tables</i> .....	ii
<i>List of Figures</i> .....	iii
<i>Introduction</i> .....	1
<i>Review of Literature</i> .....	4
<i>Aim of the Study</i> .....	28
<i>Materials and Methods</i> .....	29
<i>Results</i> .....	44
<i>Discussion</i> .....	69
<i>Summary</i> .....	80
<i>Conclusions</i> .....	83
<i>References</i> .....	85
<i>Arabic Summary</i> .....	1

## *List of Tables*

<b>Table (1):</b>	<i>The materials used in the study.....</i>	<b>29</b>
<b>Table (2):</b>	<i>Metal samples grouping.....</i>	<b>35</b>
<b>Table (3):</b>	<i>Mean shear bond strength (Mpa) of different groups cemented with Resin modified glass ionomer cement.....</i>	<b>46</b>
<b>Table (4):</b>	<i>Mean shear bond strength (Mpa) of different groups cemented with adhesive resin cement.....</i>	<b>48</b>
<b>Table (5):</b>	<i>The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for comparison between the two cements.....</i>	<b>49</b>



## *List of Figures*

<b>Figure (1a):</b>	<i>Assembled copper mold.....</i>	<b>32</b>
<b>Figure (1b):</b>	<i>Disassembled copper mold.....</i>	<b>32</b>
<b>Figure (2):</b>	<i>Top view of cast metal samples .....</i>	<b>33</b>
<b>Figure (3):</b>	<i>Alignment cementation jig.....</i>	<b>38</b>
<b>Figure (4):</b>	<i>Cemented paired metal samples.....</i>	<b>40</b>
<b>Figure (5a):</b>	<i>Assembled test holding device.....</i>	<b>42</b>
<b>Figure (5b):</b>	<i>Disassembled test holding device.....</i>	<b>42</b>
<b>Figure (6):</b>	<i>The holding device attached to the Instron machine.....</i>	<b>43</b>
<b>Figure (7):</b>	<i>Mean shear bond strength of RMGIC with different surface treatments.....</i>	<b>46</b>
<b>Figure (8):</b>	<i>Mean shear bond strength of resin cement with different surface treatments.....</i>	<b>48</b>
<b>Figure (9):</b>	<i>Mean shear bond strength of the two cements with different surface treatments....</i>	<b>49</b>
<b>Figure (10):</b>	<i>Scanning electron microscope photograph of control groups (AI,BI untreated, polished Ni-Cr) magnification (X 1000).....</i>	<b>51</b>

<b>Figure (11):</b>	<i>Scanning electron microscope photograph of acid etched groups AII, BII magnification (X1000).....</i>	<b>52</b>
<b>Figure (12):</b>	<i>Scanning electron microscope of groups AII, BII magnification (X 3500).....</i>	<b>52</b>
<b>Figure (13):</b>	<i>Scanning electron microscope photograph of sandblasted Groups AIII, BIII magnification (X 1000).....</i>	<b>53</b>
<b>Figure (14):</b>	<i>Scanning electron microscope photograph of alloy primed samples magnification (X 1000).....</i>	<b>54</b>
<b>Figure (15):</b>	<i>Scanning electron microscope photograph of alloy primed Samples magnification (X 3500).....</i>	<b>54</b>
<b>Figure (16):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of (Gr AI) after debonding (X 100).....</i>	<b>55</b>
<b>Figure (17):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of (Gr AI) after debonding (X 500).....</i>	<b>56</b>
<b>Figure (18):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of (Gr AII) after debonding (X 100).....</i>	<b>57</b>

<b>Figure (19):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of (Gr AII) after debonding (X 500).....</i>	<b>57</b>
<b>Figure (20):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of (Gr AIII) after debonding (X 100).....</i>	<b>58</b>
<b>Figure (21):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of (Gr AIII) (X500).....</i>	<b>58</b>
<b>Figure (22):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr AIV) after debonding (X 100).....</i>	<b>59</b>
<b>Figure (23):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr AIV) after debonding (X 500).....</i>	<b>59</b>
<b>Figure (24):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph group (Gr AV) (X 100).....</i>	<b>60</b>
<b>Figure (25):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph group (Gr AV) (X 500).....</i>	<b>60</b>
<b>Figure (26):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr AVI) (X 100).....</i>	<b>61</b>
<b>Figure (27):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr AVI) (X 500).....</i>	<b>61</b>
<b>Figure (28):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BI) (X 100).....</i>	<b>62</b>

<b>Figure (29):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BI) (X 500).....</i>	<b>62</b>
<b>Figure (30):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BIII) (X 100).....</i>	<b>63</b>
<b>Figure (31):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BIII) (X 500).....</i>	<b>63</b>
<b>Figure (32):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BII) (X 100).....</i>	<b>64</b>
<b>Figure (33):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BII) (X500).....</i>	<b>64</b>
<b>Figure (34):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BIV) (X 100).....</i>	<b>65</b>
<b>Figure (35):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BIV) (X 500).....</i>	<b>65</b>
<b>Figure (36):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BV) (X 100).....</i>	<b>66</b>
<b>Figure (37):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BV) (X 500).....</i>	<b>66</b>
<b>Figure (38):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BVI) (X 100).....</i>	<b>67</b>
<b>Figure (39):</b>	<i>Scanning electron micrograph of group (Gr BVI) (X 500).....</i>	<b>67</b>

A strong durable bond between dental restoration and tooth substrate is essential not only from the mechanical point of view but also from biological and esthetic perspective. There are numerous factors that affect the shear bond strength such as the type of cement to be used. Many types of cementing agents are used when placing fixed partial denture, traditionally zinc phosphate is most popular despite it's well documented disadvantage of high acidity, lack of adhesion and absence of chemical bond to substrate.

Conventional glass ionomer cements have a cariostatic potential owing to fluoride release, coefficient of thermal expansion and contraction similar to the tooth structure and their ability to chemically adhere to dentine and enamel, all these properties made glass ionomer more popular than traditional cements. Unfortunately, these types of cement materials have low tensile strength, fracture resistance and are susceptible to moisture during early stage of setting process.<sup>(6)</sup>

In early 90s resin modified glass ionomer cements were introduced, they offer advantages of both resin cements and conventional glass ionomer cements, these products are described as a hybrid of both cements.

Resin modified glass ionomer cements are very promising materials as they have dimensional tensile strength, improved working time, esthetics, decreased water sensitivity, adhesion to tooth structure better than zinc phosphate and conventional glass ionomer cements and the advantage of fluoride release more than composite resin cement.<sup>(46)</sup>

Several studies have indicated that RMGICs have high bond strength to dentine attributed to its enhanced wetting ability by containing (HEMA), and possibility of micro-mechanical bonding arising from acid conditioning and resin tags, forming resin rich layers and hybrid layer zone between dentine and RMGICs.<sup>(42,52)</sup>

Resin cements have been used for luting not only indirect esthetic restorations but also sealing root canal filling materials, luting intraradicular posts and cast metal restorations as an alternative to conventional cements.

Owing to superiority of certain properties as high fracture strength, optimal bonding to dental structure and alloys, no solubility in oral fluids. Some studies concerned with marginal infiltration of restorations luted by these materials.<sup>(43)</sup>

The performance of dual polymerization resin cements relies partially on photochemical activation to attain a high degree of polymerization, this may vary according to material composition in terms of quantity and effectiveness of the chemical

and photochemical components of activation, as well as type and ratio of monomers.<sup>(18)</sup>

Another important factor is the metal surface treatment and the surface retentive features. Base metal alloys surface treatments from etching, whether chemical, electrolytic or newly introduced laser etching, airborne particle abrasion have been used for resin bonded fixed partial dentures. Studies had compared the bond strength of resin cements, glass ionomer cements and resin modified glass ionomer cements with high noble alloys and base metal alloys as metal ceramic alloys.<sup>(3,35)</sup> Do these surface treatments improve the shear bond strength to resin based luting cements and which surface treatment is the best?, Which resin based cement show higher shear bond strength to non precious base metal alloy, resin modified glass ionomer, or adhesive resin cement?, that what our study aims to solve.