Significance of Rapid Screening Methods as Rule-out Tests for Urinary Tract Infections in Catheterized Patients

Thesis

Submitted for partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Basic Medical Science (Microbiology & Immunology)

Presented by

Amr Mohamed Mahmoud

M.B.B.CH

Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University 2004

Under supervision of

Prof. Maha Muhammad Fathy

Professor of Microbiology & Immunology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Soha Abd El-Rahman El-Hady

Assistant Professor of Microbiology & Immunology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Raafat Zaher Abd El-Rahman

MD, Microbiology & Immunology Military Medical Academy

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2012

إمكانية إستخدام طرق الفحص السريعة كدليل على عدم وجود عدوى المجارى البولية فى المرضى المقتطرين

رسالة توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير فى العلوم الطبية الأساسية (الكائنات الدقيقة والمناعة)

مقدمة من

الطبيب/ عمرو محمد محمود بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة 2004 كلية الطب- جامعة الزقازيق

تحت إشراف الاكتور/ مها محمد فتحى أستاذ الميكروبيولوجي والمناعة كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس

دكتور/ سها عبد الرحمن الهادى أستاذ مساعد الميكروبيولوجى والمناعة كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

دكتور/ رأفت زاهر عبد الرحمن دكتوراه الميكروبيولوجي والمناعة محاضر بالأكاديمية الطبية العسكرية

كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس 2012



First and foremost thanks to "ALLAH" who is the most beneficial and the most merciful.

I would like to express my deep sincere appreciations and thanks to my great teacher **Prof. Maha Muhammad Fathy** Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, who was been kind enough to spare no effort and no time to advice, to supervise, to teach and to give willingly and lavishly from her inexhaustible treasure of knowledge.

I wish to thank **Dr. Soha Abd El-Rahman El-Hady** Ass. Prof. of Medical Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her kind supervision, tremendous assistance and valuable criticism.

I am greatly honored to express my deep gratitude to Brigadier-General **Dr. Raafat Zaher Abd El-Rahman**, Consultant of Microbiology and Immunology, Military Central Labs, Military Medical Academy. He gave me much of his time, experience, meticulous advice, kind support and mastery teaching, without his care this work could never be within hands.

I also want to thank all my colleagues in Military Central Labs for their kind help and encouragement especially Major **Dr. Ahmed Gad Taha**.

CONTENTS

| * | List of Abbreviations | <i>I</i> |
|----|---|----------|
| ** | List of Tables | IV |
| | List of Figures | |
| | Introduction and Aim of the Work | |
| | Review of Literature | |
| | Urinary tract infection | |
| | Defense mechanisms | |
| | • Criteria for classification and definitions | 6 |
| | Risk Factors | 8 |
| | Causative organisms | 11 |
| | Differential Diagnosis | 12 |
| | • Complications | |
| | ♣ Catheter associated UTI | 16 |
| | Criteria for definitions of CAUTI | 17 |
| | Risk factors | 21 |
| | • Pathogenesis | 22 |
| | Biofilm formation | 23 |
| | Microbiology | 28 |
| | ♣ Diagnosis of CAUTI | |
| | ♣ Prevention of CAUTI | |
| | ♣ Treatment of CAUTI | |
| | ♣ UTI and Multi drug resistant organisms (MDROs) | 52 |
| | Extended Spectrum beta lactamases (ESβLs) | |
| | producing Gram negative bacilli | |
| | • Carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE). | |
| ** | Subjects and Methods | 62 |
| ** | Results | 75 |
| ** | Discussion | 94 |
| * | Summary and conclusion | 102 |
| | Recommendations | |
| | References | |
| | Arabic Summary | .100 |

List of Abbreviations

| ABUTI | Asymptomatic Bacteremic Urinary Tract Infection |
|--------|---|
| AUC | Area under curve |
| CA-ASB | Catheter associated asymptomatic bacteruria |
| CAPD | Continuous ambulant peritoneal dialysis |
| CAUTI | Catheter associated urinary tract infection |
| CDC | The Center for Disease Control and Prevention |
| CFU | Colony forming units |
| CLED | Cystine-Lactose-Electrolyte-Deficient |
| CNS | Coagulase-negative staphylococci |
| CORUR | Corynebacterium urealyticum |
| COS | Corynebacterium species unspecified |
| CRE | Carbapenem- Resistant Enterobacteriaceae |
| CRKP | Carbapenem- Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae |
| CSU | Catheter specimen of urine |
| CTX-M | Cefotaxime-M |
| ECDC | European Centre for Disease Prevention and |
| | Control |
| ESBLs | Extended spectrum \(\mathcal{B}\)-lactamases |
| GAG | Glycosaminoglycan |
| HAIs | Healthcare-acquired infections |
| HPF | High power field |
| ICU | Intensive Care Unit |
| IVP | Intravenous pyelogram |

| LE | Leucocyte esterase |
|--------|---|
| LTC | Long-term care |
| LTCF | Long-term care facilities |
| LUTI | Lower urinary tract infection |
| MBLs | Metallo beta lactamases |
| MRI | Magnetic resonance imaging |
| MDR | Multiple drug resistance |
| NDM-1 | New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase-1 |
| NDM-2 | New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase-2 |
| NPV | Negative predictive value |
| OIF | Oil immersion field |
| OUTI | Other Urinary Tract Infection |
| OXA-48 | Oxacillinases-48 |
| PEARLS | Pan European Antimicrobial Resistance using |
| | Local Surveillance |
| PPV | Positive predictive value |
| ROC | Receiver Operating Curve |
| SHV-1 | Sulfhydryl variable-1 |
| SHV-2 | Sulfhydryl variable-2 |
| SUTI | Symptomatic Urinary Tract Infection |
| TEM-1 | Temoniera-1 |
| TEM-2 | Temoniera-2 |
| TEM-3 | Temoniera-3 |
| UTI | Urinary tract infection |
| UUTI | Upper urinary tract infection |

| TSST-1 | Toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 |
|--------|---|
| UA | Urinalysis |
| VIM | Verona Integron-Encoded Metallo- β -Lactamase |
| VUR | Vesicouretheral reflux |
| WBC | White blood cell |

List of Tables

| Page |
|--|
| <u>Table (1):</u> Criteria for definitions of UTI17 |
| <u>Table (2):</u> Indications for appropriate and inappropriate urinary catheterization |
| Table (3): The characteristics of the growing colonies on CLED agar with Andrade's indicator |
| Table (4): Phenotypic confirmatory test for ESβLs production |
| Table (5): Clinical data of the study subjects |
| Table (6): Culture results of samples with significant growth |
| <u>Table (7):</u> Comparison between results of microscopic examination of Gram stained films and culture results78 |
| <u>Table (8):</u> Comparison between results of microscopic examination of Uncentrifuged urine and culture results78 |
| <u>Table (9):</u> Comparison between results of microscopic examination of Centrifuged urine and culture results79 |
| Table (10): Comparison between results of Nitrite detection and culture results |
| <u>Table (11):</u> Comparison between results of Leukocyte esterase detection and culture results80 |
| <u>Table (12):</u> Performance characteristics of individual rapid tests in relation to urine culture results80 |

| Table (13): Comparison between combined results of nitrite and leukocyte esterase detection in relation to culture results |
|---|
| Table (14): Comparison between combined results of Dipstick testing and microscopy of Gram stained films in relation to culture results |
| Table (15): Comparison between combined results of microscopy of Gram stained films for bacteriuria and microscopy of wet preparations of uncentrifuged and centrifuged urine for pyuria in relation to culture results |
| <u>Table (16):</u> Comparison between combined results of Dipstick testing and microscopy of uncentrifuged urine for pyuria in relation to culture results85 |
| Table (17): Comparison between results for Dipstick testing and/or microscopy of centrifuged urine in relation to culture results |
| <u>Table (18):</u> Performance characteristics of different test combinations in relation to urine culture results86 |
| Table (19):Frequency and Rate of extended spectrum $β$ lactamase (ES $β$ L) producing isolates among isolated Gramnegative bacilli |

List of Figures

| Page |
|--|
| Figure (1): Anatomy of male and female urinary tract5 |
| Figure(2): Routes of entry of uropathogens to catheterized urinary tract |
| Figure (3): Catheterisation of female and male urethera |
| Figure (4): A strip of urine dipstick with Nitrite and Leukocyte esterase marked |
| Figure (5): Unused dipstick strip above and positive one for nitrite and leukocyte esterase below |
| Figure (6): Different growth on CLED agar with Andrade's indicator |
| Figure (7): API strip for <i>E-coli</i> 70 |
| Figure (8): Muller-Hinton agar plate showing ESβLs production |
| Figure (9): Catheter urine sample culture results of the study cases |
| Figure (10): Rate of detection of different pathogens among the significant culture results |
| Figure (11): ROC diagram with three ROC curves representing performance quality of microscopic ex of Gram stained films and wet preparations made from centrifuged and non centrifuged urine |

| Figure (12): ROC diagram with two ROC curves |
|--|
| representing different levels of performance quality |
| showing high specificity for nitrite and high sensitivity for |
| leukocyte esterase82 |
| Figure (13): ROC diagram with three ROC curves representing different levels of performance quality87 |
| Figure (14): Antimicrobial susceptibility of the 19 <i>E. coli</i> isolates |
| Figure (15): Results of different antimicrobial sensitivity tests of <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> isolates89 |
| Figure (16): Results of different antimicrobial sensitivity tests of <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> isolates90 |
| Figure (17): Results of different antimicrobial sensitivity tests of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> isolates91 |
| Figure (18): Results of different antimicrobial sensitivity tests of <i>Eterococci</i> isolates92 |



Introduction and Aim of the Work



Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the most common nosocomial infection and constitutes about (30% - 40%) of all hospital acquired infection. Most of these infections follow urinary catheterization. Some studies found higher risk of hospital mortality in patients with catheter-associated UTI (*Rosenthal et al.*, 2003). Many risk factors have been demonstrated to increase the risk of catheter associated UTI. These include prolonged catheterization, other infections, diabetes, malnutrition, renal failure, placement of the drainage tube above the level of the bladder, female gender and old age (*Tambyah 2004*).

As antimicrobial resistance rates increase, there is growing concern about inappropriate and unnecessary antimicrobial use (*Fahey et al.*, 2003). Suspected UTI is one of the most common indications for antimicrobial use, with much prescribing of antimicrobials based on clinical symptoms and signs without confirmation by culture (*Andrew et al.*, 2006). The most common organisms infecting the urinary tract include *E.coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus species*, *Staphylococcus species and Pseudomonas* (*Wagenlehner*, *et al.*, 2006). The emergence of multidrug-resistant extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Gram negative organisms emphasizes the increasing risks associated with unnecessary antimicrobial use (*Colodner et al.*, 2004).

The frequency of UTI generates a significant workload for the laboratory. Most specimens sent to a laboratory will show no evidence of infection when tested, and consequently, there has been considerable interest in ways to screen out specimens before processing them for culture by a rule-out test strategy as microscopic examination of urine and dipstick urinalysis testes (*Smith et al.*, 2003).

Aim of the Work

This work aimed at evaluating the performance of simple screening methods as microscopic urine analysis and dipstick tests for urine leukocyte esterase and nitrite in order to use the negative results of these methods as rule out tests to exclude catheter associated urinary tract infections in hospitalized patients in Kobry Elkobah Military Hospital. Moreover, the antimicrobial profile of the isolated pathogens was determined to help guiding empirical antimicrobial therapy.



Review of Literature

