

**SINONASAL POLYPOSIS:
SIMPLE POLYPECTOMY VERSUS
FUNCTIONAL ENDOSCOPIC
SINUS SURGERY**

(THESIS)

Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of M.D. Degree in
Otorhinolaryngology

By

Wael Aly Mohamed Alzamil
M.B.B.Ch, MSc Otorhinolaryngology

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Taher Mohamed Soliman

Professor of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Kamal Labib Samy

Professor of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Mahmoud Fawzy Elbestar

Assistant Professor of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

٢٠٠٨

Table of contents

CONTENTS	PAGE
INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF WORK	١
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	.
- Basic considerations	٣
- Clinical Aspects	١٩
- Therapeutic Options	٢٤
MATERIAL AND METHODS	٤٤
RESULTS	٤٩
DISCUSSION	٧٩
SUMMARY	٩٤
REFERENCES	٩٨
ARABIC SUMMARY	١١٣

Abstract

We recommend functional endoscopic sinus surgery for patients with polyposis when surgery is indicated as a primary surgery or the ***first attack surgery***, to obtain effective, anatomical surgery, also better postoperative improvement and longer disease free interval. Simple polypectomy is recommended for revision surgery to obtain its advantages of rapid, safe, low cost, minimal postoperative pain, short postoperative period of care and trouble, no complications, capability on outpatient bases and easy idea of revision.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Prof. Dr. *Taher Mohamed Soliman*, Professor of Otorhinolaryngology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University for suggesting the subject of this thesis, I would thank him for his cheerful and generous help.

I am particularly obliged to Prof. Dr. *Kamal Labib Samy*, Professor of Otorhinolaryngology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University for his participation in preparing this thesis, sympathetic assistance and for his valuable honest guidance, through the whole work.

I am so grateful to Dr. *Mahmoud Fawzy El-Bestar*, Assistant Professor of Otorhinolaryngology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University for his fruitful suggestions, meticulous supervision, follow up and helpful discussion throughout this work.

Introduction:

Nasal polyposis is a common problem facing the otolaryngologist. Its incidence is estimated to be in the range of 0.5 to 4.5 per cent (**Larsen K and Tos M, 2002**). It may be associated with other conditions such as bronchial asthma (about 20%-30% of polyposis patients) and Aspirin sensitivity (12 %) (**Vancil ME, 1969**).

The main problem in management of nasal polyposis is its known high incidence of recurrence. Medical treatment is usually the first line of treatment, however, a considerable number of patients may not respond. Patients with associated asthma or ASA sensitivity are known to be more prone to lack of response. Non-responders generally will be candidates for surgical intervention (**Vento SI. Etal 2000**).

Surgical treatment of nasal polyposis is even associated with remarkable rate of recurrence. The extent of surgery ranges from simple polypectomy to radical removal of all polypoid mucosa using the classic endoscopic sinus surgery.

Aim of work:

The aim of this study is to evaluate and compare the treatment outcome of simple polypectomy versus functional endoscopic sinus

surgery in the management of patients with allergic sinonasal polyposis, as regards symptom improvement, recurrence rate and complications.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Basic considerations

A- Etiology:

The etiology remains unknown or unclear and it is possible that it is not the same in all patients or may be a multifactorial one. Nasal polyps may represent a relatively uniform response to different etiologic agents. Also others consider nasal polyps are a physical finding or local manifestation of a systemic disease rather than a disease (**Caplin I et al, ۱۹۷۱**).

Chronic Infection of the nose and paranasal sinuses is frequently present in patients with nasal polyps. As regards **Bacterial infection**, The most common pathogens are .-hemolytic streptococci, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Haemophilus influenzae*. Bacterial specific IgE has been detected in patients with nasal polyposis but not in patients with allergic rhinitis. Neutrophils and not eosinophils infiltrate the polyps in this setting. Recent investigations of experimental maxillary sinusitis in rabbits demonstrated both granulation-like polyps and edematous-like polyps. In these studies, the sinuses were inoculated with *S. pneumoniae* type ۳, *Bacteroides fragilis*, or *S. aureus*, after simple blocking of the sinus ostium. Granulation-like polyps were noted in regions of deep inflammatory trauma and edematous polyps were found in regions of superficial inflammatory trauma. Polyps were found in all sinusitis groups irrespective of an inducing agent and were not directly related to the presence of a certain microorganism (**Calenoff E. et al, ۱۹۹۳ and Stierna PLE, ۱۹۹۶**).

Recently, it was shown that the presence of IgE antibodies to *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins (SAEs) was related to the severity of eosinophilic inflammation in nasal polyp tissue. In a study, to determine, whether aspirin sensitivity was related to an immune response to SAEs, and how both criteria would be related to eosinophilic inflammation, however, a direct impact of *S. aureus* could not be established. It seems that aspirin sensitivity and immune reactions to SAEs are independently related to eosinophilic inflammation. (**Perez-Novo et al, 2004**).

Viral infections also have been mentioned as a possible cause of nasal polyps. However, a viral etiology has not been shown, despite investigation for adenovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, herpes simplex virus, and human papilloma virus. In a search for human papilloma virus, using polymerase chain reaction, the virus also did not detected in nasal polyps (**Weille FL. and Gohd RS. 1997**).

Fungal infection in allergic fungal sinusitis, the syndrome of nasal polyposis combined with positive *Aspergillus* cultures from the paranasal sinuses was recognized by Safirstein in 1976. Further clinical reports supported this finding and noted its similarities with allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (**Ponikau JU et al, 1999**).

After the recognition that species other than *Aspergillus* were associated with the disease the term 'allergic fungal sinusitis' was introduced. Diagnostic criteria for allergic fungal sinusitis have been proposed. The diagnosis relies on the confirmation of polypoid sinus disease, the identification of 'allergic mucin' with fungal elements and the absence of invasive fungal disease. Patients are typically

immunocompetent young adults with a mean age of presentation in the third decade (**Marple BF. ۲۰۰۱**).

The initiating event is thought to be an immunomodulated reaction to fungal antigens, although this is not a universal finding. Evidence of atopy and/or hypersensitivity to fungi is common, with elevated serum total IgE levels present in between ۶۹ and ۷۵ per cent, serum fungal specific IgE present in between ۶۶ and ۱۰۰ per cent and positive skin prick tests to fungal specific IgE present in between ۵۸ and ۱۰۰ per cent (**Cody DT. Etal ۱۹۹۴**).

The exact nature of the immune reaction is unclear but it is thought that it extends beyond a simple type I hypersensitivity reaction. The presence of fungal specific IgG would indicate that a type III reaction could be involved. This may result in a cycle of mucosal oedema, and further presentation of the antigen in sensitized individuals leading to chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyposis (**Feger TA, etal ۱۹۹۷**).

Recently the role for fungi in the pathogenesis of chronic rhinosinusitis has been questioned. However the ever-present nature of fungal spores in the paranasal sinuses of subjects with, and without, sinus disease makes proving causation difficult (**Mabry RL and Manning S, ۱۹۹۵**).

Asero and Bottazzi also found ۴۰ per cent of a series of polyp sufferers showed skin reaction to *Candida albicans*, a common commensal of the upper respiratory tract (**Park et al. ۱۹۹۷**).

As regards **allergy and atopy**, traditionally, allergy has been assumed to be the underlying cause of nasal polyps. Indeed polyps removed at surgery continue to be labelled as allergic type polyps' by histopathologists. The presence of history of allergy, eosinophilia, mast cell degranulation, and high levels of IgE suggest an allergic basis for nasal polyps. This has been challenged by a number of clinically based studies which found objective measures of atopy (i.e. skin prick testing) to be no more common in polyp patients than the general population. Nasal polyps are also no more common in atopic individuals. However polyps are observed to occur in patients with adult-onset asthma, where allergy does not feature, rather than childhood asthma where concurrent allergic conditions such as rhinitis and eczema are common (**Drake-Lee A. 1984**).

Recently a possible role for allergy in the pathogenesis of nasal polyps has again been suggested. Park *et al.* found allergen-induced *in vitro* release of granulocyte-macrophage - colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and IL-8 from polyp tissue in atopic individuals and this was associated with increased eosinophil survival. These authors suggested that therefore an allergic response may contribute to polyp eosinophilia. Although they did not include a control group, Asero and Bottazzi also found 40 per cent of a series of polyp sufferers showed skin reaction to *Candida albicans*, a common commensal of the upper respiratory tract. Also, bacterial specific IgE has been detected in patients with nasal polyposis but not in patients with allergic rhinitis (**Bachert C. et al 1997**).

In a study, nasal polyps were reported in 20.6% of patients with allergy compared to 3.9% of a control group without allergy. The

prevalence of allergy in patients with nasal polyps varies from 10% to 24% and 24%. There is a coincidence of asthma and nasal polyps, but the association between polyps and atopic allergy is not clear. Patients with nasal polyps have the same prevalence of positive skin prick tests to a series of allergens as the normal population. It was believed that most clinical evidence is against allergy as a causal factor. The mechanisms involved in eosinophilic infiltration of the nasal mucosa and the nasal polyp are not fully elucidated. Studies on tissue cultures of epithelial cells from nasal polyps and normal nasal mucosa, generating human epithelial cell-conditioned media, suggest that eosinophil infiltration into the nasal mucosa during allergic reaction and nasal polyposis may be modulated, at least in part, by epithelial cells. Epithelial cells from nasal polyps might have a more potent effect on inducing eosinophilic infiltration of the respiratory mucosa than epithelial cells from healthy nasal mucosa. Other studies showed that eosinophil migration into tissues is controlled, in part, by interactions between eosinophil adhesion receptors and counterstructures on the vascular epithelium. This p-selectin-dependent adhesion is important (**Jamal A and Maran AGD, 1987**).

A possible role for **Food allergy Food allergy** in the pathogenesis of nasal polyps has also been suggested although the evidence for this is limited. Pang *et al.* reported positive intradermal tests relating to food allergy in 81 per cent of polyp patients versus 11 per cent in controls and in a separate study 31 per cent patients with polyps gave a history of food or drug allergy. In this study patients with aspirin sensitivity were included, and the diagnosis of food sensitivity was made solely on the basis of the clinical history (**Pang YT, et al. 2000**).

About **local allergy**, The high level of IgE in polyp tissue, even in the absence of other markers of atopy (such as skin prick testing), may indicate local production. Recent work in idiopathic rhinitis has implicated local IgE production as a possible aetiologic factor (**Donovan R, et al. 1990**).

In vitro production of IgE from nasal polyp tissue in both atopic and non-atopic subjects, has been identified. Bachert et al. demonstrated that total and specific IgE in polyp tissue was unrelated to positive skin-prick tests but correlated with the degree of eosinophilia. It remains possible therefore, that local allergic mechanisms could play a role in the pathogenesis of polyps. This would be compatible with both the characteristic histological appearances and the previous clinical studies showing no link between polyps and generalized atopy (**Bachert C, et al 2001**).

Allergy versus infection

Prospective descriptive study to evaluate the relationship of nasal polyps to allergy, sinonasal infection and histopathological type. There was no statistically significant difference in prevalence of polyposis with eosinophil- and neutrophil-dominated inflammations. Or there was no statistical significant difference between allergy and infection. This may reflect some sort of interplay or interaction between them, in the form of causal relationship to each other. Allergy may be to bacterial allergens, or allergy may encourage local infection (**Kirtsreesakul V, 2004**).

Although there is some evidence for a **Genetic predisposition** to nasal polyps, the current state of knowledge in this area is limited. In

1992 Drake-Lee reported nasal polyposis in monozygotic twins and high rates of nasal polyposis (52.6 per cent) and asthma (43.6 per cent) have been reported in the family history of patients presenting with nasal polyps (**Drake-Lee A. 1992 and Rugina M et al, 2002**).

An association between HLA-A1/B8 has been described with severe polyposis and asthma and between HLA-A24 and nasal polyposis. In a case-controlled study Molnar-Gabor *et al.* showed an increased susceptibility for developing nasal polyposis in association with the HLA-DR1-DQAI*0201 and -DQBI*0202 haplotype (**Luxenburger W. et al. 2000**).

The intriguing possibility that mutations of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator (CFTR) gene in phenotypically non- cystic fibrosis individuals (individuals with no other evidence of the disease) are responsible for nasal polyps has been examined but no association has been found (**Irving RM. et al 1999**).

Other causes, like autonomic dysfunction, ciliary abnormalities, as found in primary ciliary dyskinesia (Kartagener's syndrome) can be associated with polyps as can the hyperviscous mucus in Young's syndrome (**Settipane GA et al, 1999**).

B- Structure:

Anatomically, the majority of nasal polyps enter the nasal cavity from the region of the middle meatus, although in conditions such as aspirin-sensitive asthma they may be widespread throughout the nasal cavity. It has been observed that polyps form at points of mucosa to

mucosa contact and one proposed explanation for this is that mucosal contact stimulation leads to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines from the epithelium. Other authors have observed that anatomical abnormalities causing mucosal contact occur as commonly in patients without rhinosinusitis as those with the disease. In addition, the increased use of the nasendoscope, which frequently reveals contact areas within the nasal cavity in the absence of polyps, would suggest that mucosal contact is not the key to polyp formation. The reason why polyps are most commonly localized to such a small area of the upper airway remains a mystery (**Larsen PL and Tos M. 1996**).

Autopsy Evaluation of the origin of nasal polyps was done in several cadaveric studies. In one study, nasal polyps were noted in 2% of specimens depending on conventional anterior rhinoscopy alone. The nasoethmoidal complexes were then removed transcranially in these six specimens, and polyps were documented photographically. In other study, the nasoethmoidal complex was removed transcranially first, and examined directly; anterior rhinoscopy was not performed. Polyps were found in 26%. In more recent study, specimens were evaluated with endoscopic dissection. Polyps were noted in 42% specimens. So with more tedious search for polyps their incidence increases. Important characteristics of nasal polyps were noted in these studies, Polyps were found mainly in the transition spaces between the nose and sinuses. 90% of polyps were related to ethmoidal recesses and clefts. Most of the polyps were unilateral (63%), and bilateral nasal polyps were found in 37% of the cadavers. The high frequency of nasal polyps seems to show that nasal polyps often are small and do not always reach a size that would produce symptoms. (**Larsen PL, and Tos M, 1994**.)

It is also possible that most small polyps may remain small or regress spontaneously as has been shown in the modified respiratory epithelium of the middle ear. Although too small for statistical calculations, the results suggest that nasal polyps start small and solitary in the narrow channels of the osteomeatal complex. It was postulated that nasal polyposis can be the result of a vicious cycle starting with infection or another etiologic factor, which leads to the formation of a single polyp. This polyp can cause reduced ventilation in the meatus and of the ethmoidal cells, thereby creating a milieu in which other polyps can form, causing further blockage of the sinus ostia, recesses, and clefts. This may lead to sinus infection and subsequent polyp formation within the ethmoidal cells (**Larsen PL, and Tos M, 1995**)

Stammberger evaluated nasal polyps in 200 consecutive patients undergoing functional endoscopic sinus surgery. He noted that 80% of polyps originated from the middle meatal mucosa, uncinate process, and infundibulum. In 60%, polyps originated from the ethmoidal bulla and hiatus semilunaris and from the frontal recess in 48%. Polyps were found inside the ethmoidal bulla in 30% (**Stammberger H. 1991**).

Nasal polyps vary in size, depending on growth rate, polyp age, degree of inflammation and edema, and several other factors. The polyp body or apex is connected by a stalk of various thickness and length to the base of the polyp, its site of origin on the nasal mucosa. Polyp shape varies and depends mainly on the anatomy of the meatus, the space available for its extension, and the number and size of neighboring polyps. A study was undertaken for further view about the natural history, their anatomical site of origin, etiology, and pathogenesis of nasal polyps.