



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

MECHATRONICS ENGINEERING

***Design and Control of a Multi-grasping Bionic
Transradial Prosthesis***

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the

M.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering

By

Mohamed Hamed ElSaied Zarzoura

B.Sc. of Mechanical Engineering, Mechatronics Engineering Department. Ain Shams
University, 2006

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Farid A. Tolbah

Prof. Dr. Magdy M. Abdelhameed

Dr. Mohammed I. Awad

Cairo – (2018)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

***Design and Control of a Multi-grasping Bionic
Transradial Prosthesis***

By

Mohamed Hamed ElSaied Zarzoura

B.Sc. of Mechanical Engineering, Mechatronics Engineering Department. Ain Shams
University, 2006

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Name

Signature

Prof. Dr. Mohamed ElAdawy

Professor of biomedical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Helwan University

.....

Prof. Dr. Taher Gamal Abu Elyazied

Design and Production Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Farid Abdel Aziz Tolbah

Professor of Design and Production Engineering, Ain
Shams University

.....

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of M.Sc. degree in Mechanical engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other scientific entity.

Mohamed Hamed Zarzoura

Signature

.....

Researcher Data

Name:	Mohamed Hamed ElSaied Zarzoura
Date of birth:	11/06/1984
Place of birth:	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Academic Degree:	B.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering, Mechatronics Section
University issued the degree:	Ain Sahms University
Date of issued degree:	2006
Current Job:	Mechanical Engineer

Abstract

The high number of degrees of freedom (DoFs) of human hand introduces difficulty to design an effective prosthetic hand that enables its user to perform the regular activities of daily living. In that sense, the aim of this research is to investigate the minimum number of actuators required to design and control prosthetic hand. Such problems involve dimensionality reduction of human hand kinematics. Recent studies have used quantitative or qualitative techniques either (a) to reduce the number of hand DoFs only or (b) to suggest actuating strategies for prosthetic hand. However, movements coordination that involving several hand joints have not been investigated yet. In that sense, this study tries to group hand joints by investigating each joint movement coordination during performing different grasp tasks. To accomplish this, multi linear regression (MLR) models are constructed to describe the movement coordination for each hand joint during performing each grasp task. Then, hand joints are clustered into different groups by analyzing the similarities between joints' movement coordination across grasp tasks using hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) technique. Finally, the reduced number of DoFs are assigned to each group. The hand dimensionality is reduced by using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The results suggest that (a) hand joints can be either grouped into six groups that can be derived by thirteen actuators or all joints are grouped into one group and be driven by eight actuators , (b) prosthetic hand may need to utilize fingers abduction/adduction movements, (c) coupling of joints strategy need to be based on joints topology, and (d) insights on hand joint movements' coordination.

Key words: Kinematic Reduction, Degrees of Freedom, Prosthetic Hand.

Acknowledgment

Praise to Allah, who has guided me to this; and I would never have been guided if Allah had not guided us. I would like to thank God Almighty for bestowing upon me the strength and ability to complete this work. All praise is due to Allah, Most Merciful, the Lord of the Worlds, Who taught man what he knew not.

Abu Huraira reported: The Prophet, peace and blessings be upon him, said, He has not thanked Allah who has not thanked people. It is my pleasure to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Professor. Farid Tolba and Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim for their continued effort, encouragement and useful discussions. I will be ever grateful for my deceased supervisor Professor. Magdy Abdelhameed (may Allah bless him) for his guidance and assistance. May Allah accept it in his good deeds. Also, I would like to thank Pablo Del Moral for his advises and support to complete this research.

I would like to thank my colleges Magd-ElDeen, Ahmed Ala'a and Mostafa Arafa and my Brother AbdAllah Zarzoura for helping me in the admission affairs and for the motivating discussions.

At last but not least, I give my dearest gratitude to my father Dr. Hamed Zarzoura who gave me all the patience and backing to fulfill this work. I can not find words to thank my mother Zaineb Yousef and my wife Nesma Rezk who had supported and encouraged me. Definitely without their supports, after God Almighty support and will, I would not able to complete this work.

Contents

Statement.....	iii
Researcher Data.....	iv
Abstract	v
Acknowledgment	vi
Contents.....	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables.....	xiii
List of Abbreviations.....	xiv
List of Symbols	xvi
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Research Motivation	2
1.3. Research Problem.....	3
1.4. Research Scope	3
1.5. Thesis Contribution	3
1.6. Thesis Structure.....	3
Chapter 2: Literature Review	5
2.1. Introduction	5
2.2. Human Hand Biomechanical Characteristics.....	6
2.2.1. The Functional Structure of the Hand	6
2.2.2. Human Hand Movements.....	7
2.2.3. Human Hand Kinematic Model	9
2.3. Kinematic Synergies	10
2.4. Prosthetic Hand Actuating Strategy	13
Chapter 3: Material and Method	16
3.1. Human Hand Kinematics Data.....	16
3.2. Kinematics Data Analysis	21
3.2.1. Constructing Multiple Linear Regression Model	21
3.2.2. Hand Joints Classification	31
3.2.3. Hand Dimensionality Reduction	32
Chapter 4: Results and Discussion	35
4.1. Joint Movements Coordination	35

4.2. Joint Grouping.....	40
4.2.1. Pair-wise Coupling	40
4.2.2. Joint Grouping and Group Couplings	42
4.3. Determining The Minimum Number of DoAs	45
4.3.1. Reducing of joint groups dimensional space.....	45
4.3.2. Reducing of hand joints dimensional space	53
4.4. Grasp Tasks Analysis	54
Chapter 5: Conclusions	60
Chapter 6: Future Works	62
Appendix A. Figures	63
Bibliography.....	90

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Human Hand Bones and Joints. (modified from [22]).	6
Figure 2-2: Human Hand Sagittal and Coronal planes. (modified from [22]).	8
Figure 2-3: Finger Movements. (Modified from [24])	9
Figure 2-4: Human Hand Kinematics Model. (Modified from [25])	10
Figure 2-5: James et al., study results. (a Reconstructed hand movements using Principle components (PC) 1:2); (b) Correlations between fingers articulated joints; (c) Correlations for fingers articulated joints; and (d) Correlations for fingers articulated joints with distances.[0]:immediate neighbors, [1]:one fingers removed, [2]:two fingers removed [3].	12
Figure 2-6: Reconstructed hand movements using PC 1:2 [32]	13
Figure 2-7: Actuating strategy proposes by Yuan Liu, <i>et al.</i> [15]. Seven actuators are used. Coupled joints are highlighted by the same color. Two actuators are assigned to the thumb, one for the tMCP-tIP coupling and the other for tCMC. The other five actuators actuate the four fingers, actuated joints are crossed. (Modified from [32])	15
Figure 3-1: CyberGlove II sensors placement. (Modified from [36])	18
Figure 3-2: Joints under study. (Modified from [32])	19
Figure 3-3: Samples of Joints Measurements data.	20
Figure 3-4: An illustration of the coefficient of determination [37].	22
Figure 3-5: Demonstration of high coefficient of determination.	23
Figure 3-6: Demonstration of high coefficient of determination.	24
Figure 3-7: Constructing multiple linear model algorithm	27
Figure 3-8: Constructing multiple linear model algorithm	28
Figure 3-9: Constructing multiple linear model algorithm	29
Figure 3-10: Choosing the best model algorithm	30
Figure 3-11: Demonstration of complete-link HCA.	32
Figure 3-12: Demonstration of complete-link HCA	33
Figure 4-1: Coefficients of determination R_M^2 for selected grasp tasks	36
Figure 4-2: Maximum values of Coefficients of determination for all grasp tasks	37

Figure 4-3: Mean of maximum coefficients of determination. Bars represents standard deviation across grasp tasks.....	38
Figure 4-4: Mean of coefficients of determination R_{mean}^2 versus standard deviation across all grasp tasks	39
Figure 4-5: Coordination movements variation across grasp tasks. Representation for hand joints diversity across grasp tasks. (Modified from [32]).	40
Figure 4-6: Representation for hand joints pair wise couplings that exist in all grasp tasks. Modified from [32].	41
Figure 4-7: Mean of similarity matrices across all grasp tasks	43
Figure 4-8: Hand Joint hierarchical classification.....	44
Figure 4-9: Representation for hand joints grouping. (Modified from [32]).....	45
Figure 4-10: PCA of joint groups: Group-1	46
Figure 4-11: PCA of joint groups: Group-2	47
Figure 4-12: PCA of joint groups: Group-3	48
Figure 4-13: PCA of joint groups: Group-4	49
Figure 4-14: tABD signal reconstruction.....	50
Figure 4-15: tABD signal reconstruction.....	51
Figure 4-16: tABD signal reconstruction.....	52
Figure 4-17: PCA of hand joints. RMSEs for all joints reconstructed signals for all subjects and grasp tasks	53
Figure 4-18: PCA of hand joints. Variance explained by PCs for all joints, all subjects and all grasp tasks.....	54
Figure 4-19: Grasp tasks number individual analysis. Mean of coefficients of determination R_g^2 versus standard deviation across all subjects in Grasp 2....	55
Figure 4-20: Grasp tasks number individual analysis. Mean of coefficients of determination R_g^2 versus standard deviation across all subjects in Grasp 3....	56
Figure 4-21: Grasp tasks number individual analysis. Mean of coefficients of determination R_g^2 versus standard deviation across all subjects in Grasp 8....	56
Figure 4-22: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in Grasp 2	57
Figure 4-23: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in Grasp 3	58
Figure 4-24: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in Grasp 8	59
Figure A-1-1: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-1	63
Figure A-1-2: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-2	63

Figure A-1-3: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-3	64
Figure A-1-4: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-4	64
Figure A-1-5: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-5	65
Figure A-1-6: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-6	65
Figure A-1-7: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-7	66
Figure A-1-8: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-8	66
Figure A-1-9: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-9	67
Figure A-1-10: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-10.....	67
Figure A-1-11: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-11.....	68
Figure A-1-12: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-12.....	68
Figure A-1-13: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-13.....	69
Figure A-1-14: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-14.....	69
Figure A-1-15: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-15.....	70
Figure A-1-16: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-16.....	70
Figure A-1-17: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-17.....	71
Figure A-1-18: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-18.....	71
Figure A-1-19: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-19.....	72
Figure A-1-20s: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-20	72
Figure A-1-21: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-21.....	73
Figure A-1-22: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-22.....	73
Figure A-1-23: R_M^2 versus number of predictors for Grasp-23.....	74
Figure A-2-1: Similarity matrices (DoC) for tCMC	75
Figure A-2-2: Similarity matrices (DoC) for tMCP.....	75
Figure A-2-3: Similarity matrices (DoC) for tIP	76
Figure A-2-4: Similarity matrices (DoC) for tABD	76
Figure A-2-5: Similarity matrices (DoC) for iMCP	77
Figure A-2-6: Similarity matrices (DoC) for iPIP	77
Figure A-2-7: Similarity matrices (DoC) for iDIP	78
Figure A-2-8: Similarity matrices (DoC) for mMCP.....	78
Figure A-2-9: Similarity matrices (DoC) for mPIP	79
Figure A-2-10: Similarity matrices (DoC) for mDIP	79
Figure A-2-11: Similarity matrices (DoC) for imABD	80
Figure A-2-12: Similarity matrices (DoC) for rMCP	80
Figure A-2-13: Similarity matrices (DoC) for rPIP	81
Figure A-2-14: Similarity matrices (DoC) for rDIP	81
Figure A-2-15: Similarity matrices (DoC) for mrABD	82
Figure A-2-16: Similarity matrices (DoC) for lMCP	82

Figure A-2-17: Similarity matrices (DoC) for IPIP	83
Figure A-2-18: Similarity matrices (DoC) for IDIP	83
Figure A-2-19: Similarity matrices (DoC) for rlABD.....	84
Figure A-3-1: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in grasp tasks 1, 4, 5 & 6.....	85
Figure A-3-2: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in grasp tasks 7, 9, 10 & 11.....	86
Figure A-3-3: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in grasp tasks 12:15.....	87
Figure A-3-4: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in grasp tasks 16:19.....	88
Figure A-3-5: Individual grasp analysis. Hand joint classification in grasp tasks 20:23.....	89

List of Tables

Table 1-1: PROSTHETIC HAND DOFS AND DOAS.....2

Table 3-1: GRASP TASKS UNDER STUDY.....17

Table 3-2: FORWARD STEPWISE SELECTION21

List of Abbreviations

ABD	abduction/adduction
ADLs	Activities of Daily Living
DIP	Distal Interphalangeal Joints
DoAs	Degrees of Actuation
DoC	Degrees of Coupling
DoFs	Degrees of Freedoms
HCA	Hierarchical Cluster Analysis
iDIP	Distal interphalangeal of index finger
iMCP	Metacarpal phalangeal Joint of index finger
iPIP	Proximal interphalangeall Joint of index finger
lDIP	Distal interphalangeal Joint of little finger
lMCP	Metacarpal phalangeal Joint of little finger
lPIP	Proximal interphalangeall Joint of little finger
MCP	Metacarpal phalangeal Joints
mDIP	Distal interphalangeal Joint of middle finger
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression
mMCP	Metacarpal phalangeal Joint of middle finger

mPIP	Proximal interphalangeall Joint of middle finger
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PCs	Principal Components
PIP	Proximal interphalangeall Joints
rDIP	Distal interphalangeal Joint of ring finger
rMCP	Metacarpal phalangeal Joint of ring finger
rPIP	Proximal interphalangeall Joint of ring finger
SVD	Singular value decomposition
tCMC	metacarpal joint of the thumb
tIP	Interphalangeal joint of the thumb
tMCP	Metacarpal phalangeal Joint of thumb