

**HIGH DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF BRUSHLESS  
PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR  
UNDER EFFECT OF FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL**

By

Hamdi Mohamed Soliman Hegazy

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of  
Engineering at Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**In**

**Electrical Power and Machines Engineering**

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT**

**2016**

**HIGH DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF BRUSHLESS  
PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR  
UNDER EFFECT OF FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL**

By

Hamdi Mohamed Soliman Hegazy

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of

Engineering at Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**In**

**Electrical Power and Machines Engineering**

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. S. M. EL. Hakim**

**Dr. Abd. EL. Kader Habash**

Electrical Power & Machines

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING,

CAIRO UNIVERSITY

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT**

**2016**

**HIGH DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF BRUSHLESS  
PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR  
UNDER EFFECT OF FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL**

By  
Hamdy Mohamed Soliman Hegazy  
A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of  
Engineering at Cairo University  
In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
**Doctor of Philosophy**  
In  
**Electrical Power and Machines Engineering**

**Approved by the  
Examining Committee:**

---

<b>Prof. Dr. Samia. M. EL. Hakim</b>	Thesis Main Advisor
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University	.....

---

<b>Prof. Dr. Mahmoud. M. M. Abd EL. Hakim</b>	Member
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University	.....

---

<b>Prof. Dr. Mohsen. Z. EL. Sherif</b>	Member
Faculty of Engineering (Shoubra), Benha University	.....

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2016**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author is indebted to prof. Dr. S. M. EL. HAKIM for her patience and continued effort in supervising this work. He wishes to express his sincere gratitude for her indispensable help, valuable guidance, advice and kindness.

I would like to thank Dr. Abd El Kader Habash for his constant support, motivation, and valuable advice.

Also I would like to express all my sincere and appreciated thanks to the soul of my father and to my wife and my daughters for their sincere support.

Signature

Hamdi mohamed soliman

## ABSTRACT

With inventing the motor, engineers work on development it. In the past, they are important by development the materials which used in it to improve the performance. Recently, they are improve the performance characteristics by using the semiconductor devices and software programs. In this thesis, the permanent magnet synchronous (PMSM) is chosen due to have some advantages as; high torque to current ratio, high torque to inertia, high efficiency, quick acceleration and deceleration and high long life. Also the PMSM has some disadvantages as; demagnetizing at high temperature and very large opposing magnetomotive force. Field oriented control (FOC) is used to making the PMSM emulates separately excited DC motor to improve the dynamic performance. With using the FOC, the PMSM still suffered from ripples and noise in the motor torque, motor current and harmonics. Two current control methods are used to trying improvement the performance of PMSM. The two methods of control are hysteresis current control and ramp comparison current control. Proportional integrator current controller is used with these methods of control to improve the performance characteristics of permanent magnet synchronous motor. These PI's are used in different situation of control i.e. in the field orientation block or in the current controller blocks. The first model used two PI current controllers in field orientation control region one is for the torque and the other is for the flux. The first PI controller is feeding from the torque error between the reference and estimated torques to get new q-axis current component representing modifier current arises from uncertain things. This current will add to reference q-axis current to get robust new q-axis current to satisfy the drive requirement and solve the

torque problem. In the other PI controller, the d-axis flux is compared to rotor permanent magnet flux. The output of the PI controller is introduced to the reference d-axis current. The new d-axis current will improve the total harmonic distortion.

The second model used three PI current controller i.e. one PI introduced in each phase after comparing between the actual and reference currents. The occurring improvement can be seen through the torque ripple and total harmonic distortion. These proposed models are compared to classical model to show the effectiveness of the proposed models.

Also simple model used to reduce the effect of sudden applied or removal the load to increase the high dynamic performance.

These methods of control are simulated where found that, the performance of the motor under study is improved.

In the laboratory, proto type drive system is constructed to control the PMSM. This proto type consists of power inverter, control circuit of inverter, encoder circuit, power circuit, start stop control circuit, manual and automatic circuit to apply or remove the load, protection circuit against over current, resistive load circuit, signal conditioning circuits for current feedback, motor speed and PWM. DSP from texas instruments (TI) is used to control the PMSM overall. The experimental results are compared to simulate results where found that, the experimental and analytical are in good agreement.

In this thesis the performance of a PMSM drive under a stator winding fault and sensor current fault is studied. This thesis is suggested two models for solving these faults. The control in these models depends upon controlling each phase separately. The first model doesn't contain any special tools to improve the torque ripple and THD. The second model contains 2PI current controllers to reduce the performance at fault and remedial operation. The simulation shows that, the performance of the

two model are accepted but model two is the best due has more performance in reducing the ripples in the current and torque.

# CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS -----	i
ABSTRACT -----	ii
CONTENTS -----	v
FIGURES LIST and TABLES-----	x
TABLES -----	xix
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION-----	xx
CHAPTER (1) INTRODUCTION -----	1
1.1 Research Motivation -----	2
1.2 Previous Work -----	2
1.3 Main Contribution -----	4
1.4 List of the Publications-----	5
1.5 Outline of the Thesis -----	6
CHAPTER (2) PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR--	8
2.1 Classification of the PMSM -----	9
2.1.1 Permanent magnet radial machines-----	10
2.1.1.a Surface-mounted PMSM -----	10
2.1.1.b Inset magnets -----	11
2.1.1.c Interior (buried) magnets with radial magnetization -----	11
2.1.1.d Interior (buried) magnets with circumferential magnetization -----	11
2.1.2 Axial flux PM machines -----	12
2.1.3 Trapezoidal permanent magnet synchronous motor----	13
2.1.4 Sinusoidal permanent magnet synchronous motor-----	13
2.2 Industrial applications of PMSM-----	14
CHAPTER (3) MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR-----	15
3.1 Three Phase Mathematical Model (a-b-c- model)-----	15
3.2 Two Phase Mathematical Model of non-Salient Pole (d-q- model)-----	19



	Page
CHAPTER (4) FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL OF PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR (PMSM)-----	23
4.1 Field Oriented Control-----	24
4.2 Study the Steady State Performance of PMSM -----	31
4.2.1 Effect of varying load at rated speed on the performance of PMSM -----	31
4.2.2 Effect of varying frequency at rated load on the performance of PMSM in constant flux region-----	34
CHAPTER (5) PI CONTROLLER TO REDUCE THE TORQUE RIPPLE AND SUPPRESS HARMONICS OF PMSM USING HYSTERESIS CURRENT CONTROLLER-----	38
5.1 The First Proposed Method (First Modified Model) to Reduce the Harmonics and Improve the Performance of the PMSM Drives-----	38
5.1. 1 The drive system -----	38
5.2 The second Proposed Method (Second Modified Model) to Reduce the Harmonics and Improve the Performance of PMSM Drives-----	42
5.3 PI controller-----	43
5.4 Results of the Proposed Methods Through the Simulation-----	44
5.4.1 Results of the proposed methods when motor starting at full load -----	44
5.4.2 Results of the proposed methods when motor starting at dynamic load (slow variation of balanced load) -----	48
5.4.3 Results of the proposed methods at sudden rated load applied or removed-----	52
5.5 Conclusions -----	56
CHAPTER (6) RAMP COMPARISON CURRENT CONTROLLER TO IMPROVE THE PERFORMANCE OF PMSM-----	57
6.1. Ramp Comparison Current Control-----	57
6.2 Results of Simulation Studying -----	58

	Page
6.2.1 Simulation results of ramp modulation current controller---	59
6.2.1.a Study the simulation results of the modified methods when motor starting at full load-----	59
6.2.1.b Study the simulation results of the modified methods when the dynamic load is applied on PMSM-----	63
6.2.1.c Study the simulation results of the modified methods when the load is suddenly applied or removed on PMSM-----	67
6.3 Conclusions -----	70
 <b>CHAPTER (7) REMEDIAL OPERATION OF BRUSHLESS PM SYNCHRONOUS MOTORS DERIVED BY FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL UNDER FAULT CONDITIONS-----</b>	
	71
7. 1 Mathematical Model of PMSM-----	72
7.1.1 Mathematical model of PMSM under turn to turn fault-----	72
7.2 H-Bridge and Current Control-----	74
7.3 The Control Structure-----	75
7.4 Simulation Results-----	76
7.4.1 One phase open circuit-----	78
7.4.2 One phase short circuit-----	82
7.4.3 Turn to turn short circuit (25% inter turn fault)-----	86
7.4.4 Current sensor fault-----	90
7.5 Conclusions-----	93
 <b>CHAPTER (8) HARDWARE CONFIGURATION AND SOFTWARE PROGRAM -----</b>	
	96
8.1 Digital Signal Processing (DSP) -----	96
8.2 Architecture of TMS320F28335 DSP-----	98
8.2.1 Analog to Digital Converter (ADC) -----	99
8.2.2 Encoder module -----	100
8.2.3 Enhanced pulse width modulation (ePWM) module-----	101
8.2.3.1 Time base submodule -----	101

	Page
8.2.3.2 Logic counter submodule -----	102
8.2.3.3 Action qualifier submodule -----	102
8.2.3.4 Dead band submodule -----	103
8.2.3.5 Event trigger submodule-----	104
8.3 Inverter -----	104
8.4 Interface Board and Signal Conditioning Circuits -----	107
8.4.1 Encoder interface signaling conditioning -----	108
8.4.2 Current interface signaling conditioning -----	110
8.5 Current Protection Circuit -----	111
8.6 Power Supply -----	111
8.7 Overall System Control -----	112
8.8 DSP Programing -----	114
8.8.1 Embedded IDE link CC -----	115
8.8.2 Support package TC2 -----	115
8.9 Software -----	116
8.9.1 DSP configuration -----	116
8.9.2 Input output configuration -----	118
8.9.3 Variable scaling -----	119
8.9.4 Startup module -----	122
8.9.5 Speed controller -----	123
8.9.6 Current controller module -----	123
8.9.7 Communication module -----	123
<b>CHAPTER (9) EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF LABORATORY</b>	
<b>FOR HYSTERESIS CURRENT CONTROLLER -----</b>	<b>126</b>
9.1 Experimental Results -----	126
9.2 Experimental results for hysteresis current controller when motor starting without load -----	126
9.3 Experimental results for hysteresis current controller when motor starting with load -----	133

	Page
9.4 Experimental results for hysteresis current controller when sudden applied and removal the load -----	139
9.5 Effect of adding estimated model to decrease the under and over shooting speed of PMSM with hysteresis current controller experimentally -----	143
9.6 Experimental results for hysteresis current controller when motor speed is varying-----	146
<b>CHAPTER (10) EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF LABORATORY FOR RAMP COMPARISON CURRENT CONTROLLER</b>	<b>150</b>
10.1 Experimental Results -----	150
10.2 Experimental results for ramp comparison current controller when motor starting without load-----	150
10.3 Experimental results for ramp comparison current controller when motor starting with load-----	157
10.4 Experimental results for ramp comparison current controller when sudden applied and removal the load-----	163
10.5 Effect of adding estimated model to decrease the under and over shooting speed of PMSM with ramp comparison current controller-----	167
10.6 Experimental results for ramp comparison current controller when motor speed is varying-----	170
<b>CHAPTER (11) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS-----</b>	<b>174</b>
11.1 Hysteresis Current Controller with the Modified Methods under Effect of FOC -----	174
11.2 Ramp Comparison Current Controller with the Modified Methods under Effect of FOC-----	175
11. 3 Remedial Operation of PMSM with fault under Effect of FOC -----	175
11. 4 Hysteresis and ramp current controllers tested in laboratory	176
11.5 Recommendation for the future work -----	177
References -----	178
Appendices -----	187

## FIGURES LIST and TABLES

	Page
Fig. 2.1. Magnetic energy density of PM materials-----	9
Fig. 2.2. RFPMSM with flux and current flows -----	10
Fig. 2.3 Surface-mounted PMSM-----	10
Fig. 2.4 Inset magnets of the PMSM-----	11
Fig. 2.5 Interior (buried) magnets of the PMSM-----	11
Fig. 2.6 Interior (buried) magnets with circumferential of the PMSM---	12
Fig. 2.7 AFPM machine with flux and current flows-----	12
Fig. 2.8 Back EMF waveforms as a function of rotor position-----	14
Fig.3.1. Permanent magnet synchronous motor with non-salient pole----	17
Fig. 4.1 Equivalent circuit of DC motor -----	25
Fig. 4.2 Space angle for DC motor and permanent magnet synchronous motor between rotor and stator fluxes -----	26
Fig. 4.3 Variation of armature current with load power in case permanent magnet synchronous motor and DC motor-----	27
Fig. 4.4 Basic permanent magnets synchronous motor field orientation system using a CRPWM-----	28
Fig. 4.5 Block diagram of permanent magnet synchronous motor in field coordinates -----	30
Fig. 4.6 Stator current versus load torque-----	32
Fig. 4.7 Output power versus load torque-----	32
Fig. 4.8 Total losses versus load torque-----	33
Fig. 4.9 Input power versus load torque-----	33
Fig. 4.10 Efficiency versus load torque-----	34
Fig. 4.11 Load angle versus load torque-----	34
Fig. 4.12 Stator current versus motor speed-----	35
Fig. 4.13 Total losses versus motor speed-----	35
Fig. 4.14 Output power versus motor speed-----	36
Fig. 4.15 Input power versus motor speed-----	36
Fig. 4.16 Efficiency versus motor speed-----	37
Fig. 4.17 Load angle versus motor speed-----	37

	Page
Fig. 4.18 Load torque versus motor speed-----	37
Fig. 5.1 Block diagram of PMSM drive system-----	40
Fig. 5. 2 FOC details for classical and modified methods -----	41
Fig. 5.3 Hysteresis PWM current control and switching logic-----	42
Fig. 5.4 Block diagram of PMSM drive system-----	43
Fig. 5.5 Proposed hysteresis current controller-----	43
Fig. 5.6 Torque with conventional method-----	45
Fig. 5.7 Torque with first modified method-----	45
Fig. 5.8 Torque with second modified method-----	45
Fig. 5.9 Speed with conventional method-----	46
Fig. 5.10 Speed with first modified method-----	46
Fig. 5.11 Speed with second modified method -----	46
Fig. 5.12 Stator currents with conventional method-----	47
Fig. 5.13 Stator currents with first modified method-----	47
Fig. 5.14 Stator currents with second modified method-----	47
Fig. 5.15 Torque with conventional method-----	49
Fig. 5.16 Torque with first modified method-----	49
Fig. 5.17 Torque with second modified method-----	49
Fig. 5.18 Speed with conventional method-----	50
Fig. 5.19 Speed with first modified method-----	50
Fig. 5.20 Speed with second modified method-----	50
Fig. 5.21 Stator currents with conventional method-----	51
Fig. 5.22 Stator currents with first modified method-----	51
Fig. 5.23 Stator currents with second modified method-----	52
Fig. 5.24 Torque with conventional method-----	53
Fig. 5.25 Torque with first modified method-----	53
Fig. 5.26 Torque with second modified method-----	53
Fig. 5.27 Speed with conventional method-----	54
Fig. 5.28 Speed with first modified method-----	54
Fig. 5.29 Speed with second modified method-----	54
Fig. 5.30 Stator currents with conventional method-----	55
Fig. 5.31 Stator currents with first modified method-----	55

	Page
Fig. 5.32 Stator currents with second modified method-----	55
Fig. 6.1 Classical ramp comparison current controller-----	58
Fig. 6.2 Modified ramp current controller-----	58
Fig. 6.3 Proposed ramp current controller-----	59
Fig. 6.4 Torque with conventional method-----	60
Fig. 6.5 Torque with first modified method-----	60
Fig. 6.6 Torque with second modified method-----	60
Fig. 6.7 Speed with conventional method-----	61
Fig. 6.8 Speed with first modified method-----	61
Fig. 6.9 Speed with second modified method-----	61
Fig. 6.10 Stator currents with conventional method-----	62
Fig. 6.11 Stator currents with first modified method-----	62
Fig. 6.12 Stator currents with second modified method-----	62
Fig. 6.13 Torque with conventional method-----	64
Fig. 6.14 Torque with first modified method-----	64
Fig. 6.15 Torque with second modified method-----	64
Fig. 6.16 Speed with conventional method-----	65
Fig. 6.17 Speed with first modified method-----	65
Fig. 6.18 Speed with second modified method-----	65
Fig. 6.19 Stator currents with conventional method-----	66
Fig. 6.20 Stator currents with first modified method-----	66
Fig. 6.21 Stator currents with second modified method -----	66
Fig. 6.22 Torque with conventional method-----	67
Fig. 6.23 Torque with first modified method-----	68
Fig. 6.24 Torque with second modified method-----	68
Fig. 6.25 Speed with conventional method-----	68
Fig. 6.26 Speed with first modified method-----	69
Fig. 6.27 Speed with second modified method-----	69
Fig. 6.28 Stator currents with conventional method-----	69