Institute of Post Graduate Childhood Studies Medical Department

# Psychiatric Disorders in Children of Dysfunctional Families

Thesis
Submitted for Fulfilment of Ph.D. in Medical Childhood Studies

By Gman Kamel Shrahim (M.B.Ch, M.sc)

Under supervision of

Dr.Olweya Mohamed Abdel Baky

Prof. of child psychiatry-medical department Institute of post graduate childhood studies Ain Shams University Dr.Fouada Mohamed Hedeya Prof. of Psychology

Institute of post graduate childhood studies
Ain Shams University

Dr. Ehab Mohamed Eid

Prof. of Public Health Institute of post graduate childhood studies Ain Shams University

## **List of contents**

| List of Tables                                 | I  |
|--|----|
| List of figures                                | IJ |
| List of Abbreviations                          | II |
| Introduction & Aim of the Work                 | ١  |
| Review of Literature                           | ٤  |
| Functional Family                              | ٤  |
| Normal Psychological development               | ١  |
| Dysfunctional Family                           | ۲  |
| Dysfunctional Family & Psychiatric comorbidity | ٦  |
| Subjects and Methods                           | ٨  |
| Results  | ٨  |
| Discussion                                     | ١  |
| Summary & Conclusion                           | ١  |
| Recommendations                                | ١  |
| Structured Program                             | ١  |
| References                                     | ١  |
| Appendix                                       |    |
| Arabic summary                                 |    |

## **List of Tables**

| Table ('):                     | Theories of personality and psychopathology: Psychoanalysis.                      |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Table (۲):                     | Erikson's stages of psychosocial development                                      |
| Table(*):                      | Sullivan's life stages  |
| Table (٤):                     | Children's Reactions to Separation and Divorce                                    |
| Table (°):                     | Socioeconomic levels and total score for each level                               |
| Table (٦):                     | Comparison of sex between cases and controls                                      |
| Table (V):                     | Comparison of age between cases and controls                                      |
| <b>Table</b> (^):              | Comparison of birth order between cases and controls                              |
| Table (4):                     | Comparison of SES between cases and controls                                      |
| <b>Table</b> ( <b>' · )</b> :  | Comparison of behavior check list between cases and controls                      |
| <b>Table</b> ( ' '):           | Comparison of depression inventory test between cases and controls                |
| <b>Table</b> ( <b>' ' '</b> ): | Comparison of child anxiety scale between cases and controls                      |
| <b>Table</b> ( ۱ ):            | Comparison of parental type between cases and controls                            |
| Table ( \ \ \ \ :              | Comparison of general home atmosphere between cases and controls                  |
| Table ( ۱ ° ):                 | Comparison of ICD-1 parameters between cases and controls                         |
| Table (۱٦):                    | Relation between child behavior check list variables and age in the patient group |
| Table ( \ \ \ ):               | Relation between age and child anxiety in the patient group.                      |
| Table (۱۸):                    | Relation between age and child depression in the patient group                    |
| Table ( \ 4 ):                 | Relation between age and ICD-\ parameters in the patient                          |

|                                | group using Chi-Square test   | 97    |
|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| Table ('):                     | Relation between Sex and child behavior check list variables  |       |
|                                | in the patient group  | 91    |
| <b>Table</b> ( ' '):           | Relation between Sex and child anxiety scale in the patient   |       |
|                                | group   | 99    |
| <b>Table</b> ( <b>' ' '</b> ): | Relation between Sex and child depression inventory in the  |       |
|                                | patient group   | 99    |
| Table (۲۳):                    | Relation between Sex and ICD-1 · variables in the patient   |       |
|                                | group   | ١.,   |
| Table                          | Relation between Birth order and child behavior check list  |       |
| (Y £):                         | variables in the patient group  | 1 • 1 |
| Table                          | Relation between birth order and child anxiety in the patient   |       |
| ( <sup>7</sup> °):             | group   | 1.7   |
| Table                          | Relation between birth order and child depression in the patient  |       |
| (۲۲):                          | group   | 1.7   |
|                                |   |       |
| Table                          | Relation between birth order and ICD-\ variables in the   |       |
| ( <b>۲</b> ۷):                 | patient group   | 1.5   |
| Table                          | Relation between SES and child behavior check list variables  |       |
| (YA):                          | in the patient group  | ١ . ٤ |
| ( ).                           | 6 6 6 6 6 6   | , ,   |
| Table                          | Relation between SES and child anxiety in the patient group   | 1.0   |
| <b>(۲۹)</b> :                  |   |       |
| Table                          | Relation between SES and child depression in the patient  |       |
| ( <b>*</b> •):                 | group   | 1.0   |
| Table                          | Relation between SES and ICD-  variables in the patient   |       |
| ( <b>*</b> 1):                 | group   | ١٠٦   |
|                                |   |       |
| Table                          | Relation between Family background (home atmosphere) and  |       |
| ( <b>٣٢</b> ):                 | child behavior check list variables in the patient group  | ١٠٨   |
| m 11                           |   |       |
| Table (٣٣):                    | Relation between Family background (Parents types) and child behavior check list variables in the patient group | 1.9   |
| (' ' ).                        | ochavior check list variables in the patient group  | 1 • ٦ |
| Table                          | Relation between Family background and child anxiety in the   |       |
| <b>(٣٤)</b> :                  | patient group   | ١١.   |
|                                |   |       |

| Table (۳°):    | Relation between Family background and child depression in the patient group                           | 111 |
|----------------|--|-----|
| Table<br>(٣٦): | Relation between Family background (home atmosphere) and ICD-1 · variables in the patient group        | ۱۱۲ |
| Table<br>(٣٧): | Relation between Family background (Parents types) and ICD- \( \cdot \) variables in the patient group | 115 |

# **List of Figures**

| <b>Fig</b> ( <b>'</b> ): | An ecological Model of human development                     | ١٣  |
|--------------------------|--|-----|
| <b>Fig</b> ( <b>'</b> ): | Rate of divorce and marriage rate in Egypt from              | ٤٦  |
| <b>Fig</b> (٣):          | Pia chart shawing the sex within the group of cases.         | 112 |
| Fig (٤):                 | The chart shows the age in controls and cases                | 110 |
| Fig (°):                 | The chart shows the Birth order in controls and              |     |
|                          | cases  | 117 |
| Fig (٦):                 | The chart shows the different SES in controls and            |     |
|                          | cases  | 117 |
| <b>Fig</b> ( <b>V</b> ): | The chart shows the Behavior Checklist in controls and cases | 114 |
| <b>Fig</b> (Λ):          | The chart shows the Child Depression Inventory in            |     |
|                          | controls and cases   | 119 |
| Fig (4):                 | The chart shows the Children Anxiety Scale in                |     |
|                          | controls and cases   | ١٢. |
| Fig $(\cdot, \cdot)$ :   | The chart shows the Parental type in controls and            |     |
|                          | cases  | 171 |
| Fig (\\'):               | The chart shows the Home atmosphere in controls and cases    | 177 |
| Fig ( \ \ \ ):           | The chart shows ICD-\. parameters in controls and            |     |
| <b>J</b>                 | cases  | 175 |

#### **Summary**

#### And

#### **Conclusion**

Children are shaped by the world around them. For children, nothing has greater influence on their development than their family. Families filter children's experiences with others and provide nurture and care that strongly influences early child development. Families determine the quality of a young child's world and shape the foundations for later development.

The family dynamics is one of the most important elements affecting healthy child development. Positive family functioning can help mitigate the influence of other factors in child development, such as family income and family structure.

Emotional and behavioural problems in children are more common when their parents are fighting or splitting up. This can make a child very insecure. 'Babyish' behaviour (e.g. bedwetting, 'clinginess', nightmares, worries or disobedience) may be caused by the separation. This behaviour often happens before or after visits to the parent who is living apart from the family. Teenagers may show their distress by misbehaving or withdrawing into themselves. They may find it difficult to concentrate at school.

This is a case control study carried on or children (male & female) selected from the age group 7-17 years and belonged to

·

different socioeconomic standard. Twenty five newly admitted children were selected from those attending the Al-Moasah association. They were of low socio-economic standards. Their parents type was as follows: <sup>£</sup> living together, <sup>↑</sup> separated, <sup>£</sup> fathered died and <sup>↑</sup> mother died.

Another Yo children were gathered from the file system of the social workers in different primary schools suffering from those having family problems. They were of average and high socioeconomic standard. Their parent type was as follows: YY living together, Y separated and Y father died. This study was carried over the period of Yo months from January Y ... to March Y ... 7.

Another o children were matched for age, sex and socioeconomic standard were chosen from primary schools were taken as controls.

All children were subjected to the following after taking the consent of the parents or the caregivers:

- 1- Data collection by thorough medical history.
- **7-Clinical examination** for any physical illness, congenital abnormality and neurological examination were performed.
- "-Psychiatric interviewing of the child and the parents or the caregivers to detect any psychiatric symptomatology according to ICD-1. diagnostic criteria
- <sup>¿</sup>- Assessment of familial background according to ICD- <sup>†</sup>· diagnostic criteria
- **2-**Assessment of socioeconomic status (El-shakhs, 1992). This was determined by applying a questionnaire to parents of the child
- 7- Child behaviour checklist (Quay&Peterson, 19AV)

·

# V- Test of depression according to Child depression inventory A-Children anxiety scale

In this study, as regard parent type a statistical significant difference was found between patient cases and controls group as regards general home atmosphere.

Within the group of cases, when we compare the family background to the behavior check list, the home atmosphere showed a positive correlation with conduct behavior, anxiety and social aggression.

The parent type showed a positive correlation with attention deficit, conduct behavior, anxiety and social aggression. As regard child anxiety scale, a positive correlation was found between both parameters of family background: home atmosphere and parent type. Also the depression inventory test showed positive correlation with parent type and home atmosphere. As regard ICD-\(\cdot\) parameters, the home atmosphere showed a positive correlation with conduct, depression and anxiety.

As regard ICD-' parameters, both home atmosphere and the parent type showed a positive correlation with conduct, depression and anxiety.

Analysis of behavior check list between patient group and control group showed a statistical significant difference as regard conduct behavior, anxiety and social aggression.

As regards the depression inventory test and child anxiety scale statistical significant difference was found between patient cases and controls group. •

On comparing ICD-\ parameters between case and control a statistically highly significant was found as regards anxiety, a statistically significant difference was found as regars depression and conduct.

From this study it was concluded that there was a positive correlation between dysfunctional family and child psychiatric comorbidity.

#### **Recommendations**

- \dots. Increase the awareness of parents about the normal child development and learn them how to acquire the skills of effective parenting.
- 7. Understanding factors that contribute to healthy family relationships and life styles to provide safe and secure home.
- τ. Get help to dysfunctional families by increasing services as :
  - Mental health organization and hotlines.
  - Family network organizations.
  - Community based psychiatric care.
  - Family resource centers and support ing groups.
  - Health services.
  - Protection, advocacy groups and organization.
- $\xi$ . To interact the two programs in this study.
- •. Strenghten of the effects to promote an effective relation between the family and the school.

#### **Discussion**

175

Parental conflict affects many children and their families. When the problem is handled well, the adverse impact on children is minimised. Where children are drawn into parental conflict, the effects can be profoundly damaging for them. Evidence shows that children in this situation are likely to do less well in life. They are more likely to do less well at school, to truant or to run away from home. But these risks can be reduced if parents can resolve parenting issues in an amicable fashion (*Falconer et al.*, \*\*••\*\*).

In this study, on comparing the age between patient group and control group, there were no statistical significant difference found (table  $^{\vee}$ , fig  $^{\xi}$ ). On comparing the age within the goup of cases we notified that in the patient group, the age showed a positive correlation with some of the behavior check list parameters (conduct behavior, anxiety and social aggression (p <  $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$   $\circ$ ) (table  $^{\vee}$ ). No correlation was found between the age and the child anxity scale (table  $^{\vee}$ ), and the depression inventory test (table  $^{\vee}$ ). As regard ICD- $^{\vee}$  parameters, the age showed a positive correlation with depression and anxity (p< $^{\vee}$ ,  $^{\circ}$ ) (table  $^{\vee}$ ).

This was in agreement with many researches that suggests that children's responses to their parents' divorce and separation vary widely. Indeed, some children may become happier and less distressed when their parents separate (Amato and Rezac., 1992). Younger elementary school-aged children (o to h years old) can understand the meaning of divorce enough to become depresed (Beardslee and Gladstone., 1001), grief-stricken and sad over the loss of family. Many continue to wish for parental reconciliation.

Younger children's responses to their parents' separation seem more acute, and early studies showed that divorce had the most adverse impact on young children (*Grych and Fincham.*, \*\(\(\tilde{\text{fincham.}}\)\). However, many studies confound children's age at the time of divorce with the length of time passed since divorce, and age of assessment. One North American study found that young adults in low-conflict divorced-parent families were less well-adjusted than youngsters in high-conflict families whose parents divorced (*kelly.*, \*\(\tilde{\text{fincham.}}\)\). The California study found that after \(\text{fincham.}\)\ \(\text{years}\), the children who were younger at the time of separation had adjusted better than children who were older at that time (*Amato and Rezac.*, \(\text{fig.}) \(\frac{\text{fincham.}}{\text{fincham.}}\)

In this study, on comparing sex between patient group, there were no statistical significant difference observed (table  $\,^7$ , fig  $\,^8$ ). In the patient group, no correlation was found between the gender and any of the studied parameters: the behavior check list (table  $\,^7$ ), the child anxiety scale (table  $\,^7$ ), the depression inventory test (table  $\,^7$ ) and the ICD- $\,^1$  parameters (table  $\,^7$ ).

Our results was in agreement with thoso of Amato and Rezac., (1992), They concluded that boys suffer no more detrimental consequences than girls do. Also, a large nationwide study in the United States recently found no gender differences linked to divorce (Kelly., \*\*.\*). The most recent research would appear to contradict the conventional view that divorce has more negative impacts on boys than on girls. One meta-analysis of studies that distinguished the impacts of divorce on girls and boys found more negative impact on boys than on girls, but only with respect to certain measures: social relationships, loneliness and cooperativeness. Another study found that adjustment achievement in boys and girls after their parents' divorce varied by age, time since the divorce, type of parenting, and the type and extent of parental conflict (Voice and support., \* · · \*).

Our results were inconsistent with those of Messer and colleagues ( ). They examined sex differences in correlation of disruptive behavior disorders (DBDs), in preadolescent children & outcomes after initial assessmet. They found that boys and girls were equally exposed to most social and family risks of DBDs with little evidence of differential senstivity to these risks. Boys were exposed more to neurodevelopmental difficulties, deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and peer problems and had lower rates of prosocial behaviors; together, these factors and physical punishment could account for of/ of the observed sex differenced in DBDs. At follow up, outcomes for girls and boys with DBDs were very similar. Our results were different because we examined only or cases aged 7-17 years, while the authors examined 155. cases with children aged o-1, years old.

In this study, on comparing birth order between patient group and control group, there were no statistical significant difference observed (table \(^{\lambda}\), fig \(^{\lambda}\)). In the patient group, no correlation was found between the birth order and any of the studied parameters: the behavior check list (table  $7\xi$ ), the child anxiety scale (table  $7\circ$ ), the depression inventory test (table \( \) and the ICD-\( \) parameters (table YY).

A relationship between ordinal position of birth and a variety of psychopathologies has been suggested by. The belief that first borns experience more mental illness has been widespread. In a study in which first born males were found to obtain lower psychological wellbeing scores than their laterborn counterparts, no differences were found between female of different birth orders Sulloway (1991).

Skinner., (199V) stated that the relationship between birth order position and psychbpathology is well researched, but remains poorly understood due to contradictory findings. During childhood, first borns are over represented among problem children. It is thought by some that they have a greater vulnerability to stress, addictive substance abuse and sensitivity to pain in adulthood. First born female adults have been found to score higher on dimension of hypochondria than later born women. This has been associated with being raised by parents lacking child- rearing experience.

In on comparing socioeconomic standard between cases and controls we found no statistical significant difference (table <sup>9</sup>, Fig <sup>7</sup>). In the patient group, no correlation was found between the socioeconomic standard and any of the studied parameters: the behavior check list (table <sup>YA</sup>), the child anxiety scale