



The Role of Diffusion weighted Imaging versus dynamic multiphase contrast enhanced MRI in the evaluation of uterine malignancies

Thesis

Submitted for fulfillment of M.D Degree in Diagnostic Radiology

By

Sherihan Fakhry Dakhly Mohamed

M.B., B.Ch, M.Sc

Cairo University

Supervised By

Dr. Dorria Saleh Salem

Professor of Radiology

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Sahar Mahmoud Mansour,

Assistant Professor of Radiology

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Waleed El Khayat

Assistant Professor of Gynecology& Obstetrics

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2015

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, thanks to **Allah**, the most beneficial and most merciful. It is but for his mercy that we can put through in life.

I must give my high, respectful gratitude to my dear **Prof. Dr. Dorria Saleh Salem**, Professor of Radiology, Cairo University for her guidance, supervision and support throughout this work. Your constructive way of thinking and achievement in women health imaging is a source of motivation and enthusiasm to all of us. It is my honor to finish this work under your sincere supervision.

My endless thanks and appreciation to my dear **Dr. Sahar Mahmoud Mansour**, Assistant Professor of Radiology, Cairo University for her patience, continuous support & guidance throughout the whole work. Thanks to your guidance, I was able to stay focused, structured and motivated. Your enthusiasm and eagerness to help others is inspiring to me.

I am also very grateful to **Dr. Waleed El Khayat**, Assistant professor of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Cairo University, for his kind guidance throughout the whole work.

My deep appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Rasha Mohamed Kamal** and **Prof. Dr. Soha Talaat**, Professors of Radiology, Cairo University, for their great assistance and precious advice. Your moral support, words of encouragement and faith in all your candidates is inspiring to all of us.

Special Thanks to my friends & fellow colleagues in the Radiology Department.

I would like to express my eternal appreciation towards my family and my dearest husband **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Mostafa** who have always been there for me wherever I am, for their unconditional support and patience.

Finally, many deep & sincere thanks to my wonderful children; **Adham & Selim** for their patience in withstanding all the stresses till this work had finished.

Abstract

Recent developments in diagnostic imaging techniques have magnified the role and potential of MRI in female pelvic imaging. Functional imaging by means of dynamic multiphase contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) and diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI) is now part of the standard imaging protocols for evaluation of the female pelvis.

In this review, we give an overview of both DCE-MRI and DW-MRI techniques, concentrating on their main clinical application in preoperative staging and their role in tailoring treatment options and therapy in patients with uterine malignancies. We were aiming to compare DW-MRI with DCE-MRI in a way to highlight the most cost effective method in management of patients with uterine malignancy.

DCE-MRI improves the accuracy of T2WI in staging of endometrial cancer. It also increases reader's confidence in assessment of parametrial infiltration and adjacent organ invasion in cancer cervix. DW-MRI is valuable in preoperative staging of patients with endometrial and cervical cancer, especially in detection of extra-uterine disease. It does increase reader's confidence for detection of recurrent disease in gynaecological malignancies and improves detection of small peritoneal implants.

Key words: endometrial carcinoma, cervical carcinoma, dynamic contrast enhanced MRI, Diffusion weighted MRI, functional imaging.

List of Contents

- Introduction & aim of work	P.1
- Review of literature	
♦ Anatomy of the female pelvis	P.4
♦ Endometrial Carcinoma	P.8
♦ Cervical Carcinoma	P.38
♦ Functional MR Imaging in the Staging of Uterine Carcinomas	P.55
- Materials & Methods	P.89
- Results	P.98
- Representative Cases	
• Case 1	P.117
• Case 2	P.121
• Case 3	P.123
• Case 4	P.127
• Case 5	P.129
• Case 6	P.133
• Case 7	P.135
• Case 8	P.139
• Case 9	P.141
• Case 10	P.143
• Case 11	P.145
- Discussion	P.148
- Summary & Conclusion	P.165
- References	P.168
- Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

No.	Title	Page
1	Female pelvic organs insitu	P.4
2	Relations of the uterus.	P.6
3	Blood supply of the uterus	P.7
4	Pathological specimen of endometrial adenocarcinoma.	P.10
5	Pathological specimen showing uterine sarcoma	P.11
6	Normal premenopausal endometrium by transvaginal ultrasound (late proliferative phase)	P.14
7	Normal premenopausal endometrium by transvaginal ultrasound (secretory phase)	P.14
8	Normal postmenopausal endometrium by transvaginal ultrasound	P.15
9	(A&B) Transvaginal ultrasound of stage IA endometrial cancer.	P.16
10	Staging carcinoma of the corpus uteri.	P.21
11	Chart shows the added role of MR imaging in risk stratification and treatment planning of patients with endometrial cancer.	P.23
12	Normal MRI of the uterus.	P.25
13	Normal MRI of the uterine cervix.	P.26
14	Well- defined endometrioid carcinoma with no myometrial invasion.	P.29
15	(a & b) Endometrial cancer FIGO IA.	P.30
16	(a & b) Endometrial cancer FIGO 1B.	P.30
17	(a & b) Stage II endometrial carcinoma.	P.31
18	Stage IIIA endometrial carcinoma.	P.32
19	(A & B) Stage IIIA endometrial carcinoma	P.33
20	(A & B) Stage IIIB endometrial carcinoma.	P.33
21	Endometrial cancer FIGO IIIC1	P.34
22	(A&B) Stage IVA endometrial carcinoma	P.35

23	(A&B) Stage IVB endometrial carcinoma.	P.35
24	(A&B) Recurrent endometrial cancer.	P.37
25	Pathological specimen showing squamous cell cancer of the cervix.	P.40
26	Illustration shows lymphatic pathways of spread of cervical cancer.	P.41
27	(A, B, C & D) Staging of cancer cervix.	P.45
28	Chart shows potential role for MR imaging in evaluation of patients with cervical carcinoma.	P.46
29	(a & b) Exophytic cervical cancer Stage IB2.	P.49
30	(a , b & c) Morphologic features of cervical carcinoma	P.49
31	Tumor growth into the uterine cavity by MRI	P.50
32	Cervical cancer Stage IIIA.	P.51
33	(a & b) Cervical carcinoma with vaginal invasion.	P.51
34	Stage IB cervical carcinoma mimicking stage IIA lesion	P.52
35	(a & b) Stage IIB cervical cancer	P.53
36	(a & b) Stage IB cervical carcinoma	P.53
37	Cervical carcinoma with pelvic wall invasion.	P.54
38	Cervical cancer Stage IIIB.	P.54
39	Cervical carcinoma with bladder invasion.	P.55
40	(a & b) Cervical cancer Stage IVA with rectal invasion.	P.55
41	(A & B) Recurrent cancer cervix	P.58
42	(A, B, C, D&E) Endometrial cancer confined to the uterus by DCE-MRI	P.62
43	(A, B, C&D) Endometrial carcinoma confined to endometrium by DCE-MRI	P.63
44	(a & b) Endometrial cancer FIGO IB by DCE-MRI.	P.63
45	(a & b) Endometrial cancer by T2WIs and DCE-MRI	P.64

46	DCE-MRI of Cervical carcinoma	P.66
47	(A & B) DCE-MRI and T2WIs of small cervical tumors.	P.67
48	(A, B & C) Proven vaginal fornix recurrence after radical surgery for cervical cancer.	P.69
49	Schematic illustrates water molecule movement.	P.71
50	(a, b &c) T2 shine-through effect	P.76
51	Chart shows pitfalls of diffusion-weighted MRI	P.77
52	Tumor detection by DW-MRI.	P.79
53	(A, B& C) Poorly-differentiated endometrioid adenocarcinoma.	P.80
54	(A, B &C) Well-differentiated endometrioid adenocarcinoma.	P.80
55	(a, b, c &d) Stage IB endometrial carcinoma	P.81
56	(a, b, c & d) Stage IA endometrial carcinoma.	P.82
57	(a, b, c &d) Stage IIIA endometrial carcinoma.	P.83
58	Peritoneal dissemination by DW-MRI.	P.84
59	(a, b, c &d) Stage Iib cancer cervix	P.85
60	(a, b, c &d) Stage Ib2 cancer cervix	P.86
61	T2WIs and DW-MRI of stage Ib1 cervical cancer.	P.86
62	(a, b,c&d) Tumor response assessment	P.87
63	(a, b, c &d) Tumor recurrence after chemo- and radiation therapy for cervical cancer	P.88
64	(A & B) Central recurrence after radical surgery for cervical cancer.	P.90
65	(a, b &c) Lymph node detection.	P.91
66	(a, b, c, d & e) Stage IIIB cervical cancer.	P.92
67	Age distribution of endometrial carcinoma.	P.102
68	Complaints distribution of endometrial carcinoma.	P.102
69	Grades of endometrial carcinoma in the studied group	P.103
70	Assessment of myometrial invasion by T2WIs, DWI, DCE-MRI and surgical pathology in the studied group.	P.105

71	Diagnostic indices (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and efficacy) of the ability to assess deep myometrial invasion in the studied group.	P.106
72	Assessment of cervical stroma invasion by T2WIs, DWI, DCE-MRI and surgical pathology in the studied group.	P.107
73	Diagnostic indices (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and efficacy) of the ability to assess cervical stromal invasion in the studied group.	P.108
74	Age distribution of cervical carcinoma.	P.109
75	Complaints' distribution of cervical carcinoma	P.109
76	Grades of cervical carcinoma in the studied group	P.110
77	Assessment of parametrial infiltration by T2WIs, DWI, DCE-MRI and pathology in the studied group	P.112
78	Diagnostic indices (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and efficacy) of the ability to assess parametrial infiltration in the studied group.	P.113
79	Assessment of locally advanced and advanced stages by T2WIs, DWI, DCE-MRI and pathology/FIGO clinical staging in the studied group.	P.114
80	Diagnostic indices (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and efficacy) of the ability to assess locally advanced and advanced stages in the studied group.	P.115
81	Assessment of lymph nodes by T2WIs, DWI, DCE-MRI and pathology in the studied group.	P.117
82	Diagnostic indices (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and efficacy) of the ability to assess lymph nodes in the studied group.	P.118
83	Overall staging accuracy of T2WIs, DW-MR images and DCE-MR images in staging of uterine carcinoma.	P.119
84	(ADC) values for different grades of endometrial carcinoma	P.120
85	Case(1): Mammography (a)CC (b) MLO	P.159

86	Case(1): US image showing inflammatory changes	P.160
87	Case(1): US image showing ill-defined collection	P.160
88	Case(1): Color Doppler US image	P.160
89	Case(1): MRI T1WI	P.161
90	Case(1): MRI T2WI	P.162
91	Case(1): MRI STIR image	P.162
92	Case(1):- (a and b): Post contrast MRI images (dynamic and subtraction)	P.163
93	Case (1): MRI Time/signal intensity curve.	P.163
94	Case(2): Mammography(a)CC (b) MLO	P.165
95	Case(2): US image showing inflammatory changes	P.166
96	Case(2): US image showing no underlying masses	P.166
97	Case(2): MRI STIR image	P.167
98	Case(2): MRI T1WI	P.167
99	Case(2): MRI Post contrast dynamic images with time/signal intensity curve	P.168
100	Case (2): MRI Time/signal intensity curve.	P.169
101	Case(3): US image showing ill-defined collection	P.171
102	Case(3): US image showing inflammatory changes	P.172
103	Case(3): US image showing pathological lymph nodes	P.172
104	Case(3): (a, b & c) MRI T1,T2 &STIR images	P.173-174
105	Case(3): MRI Post contrast dynamic images	P.175
106	Case (3): MRI Time/signal intensity curve.	P.176

107	Case(3): Follow-up Ultrasound image	P.177
108	Case(4): Mammography (a)CC (b) MLO	P.178
109	Case(4): US image showing ill-defined collection	P.179
110	Case(4): US image showing discharging fistulous sinus tract	P.180
111	Case(4): US image showing inflammatory changes	P.180
112	Case(4): MRI T1,T2 &STIR images	P.182
113	Case(4): Dynamic post contrast image	P.183
114	Case(4): MRI STIR image showing fistulous tract	P.183
115	Case(4): MRI dynamic post contrast & subtraction images	P.184
116	Case(4): MRI Time/signal intensity curve	P.185
117	Case (5): US image showing hypo-echoic lesions.	P.187
118	Case (5): US image showing ill-defined collection	P.187
119	Case (5): MRI T1,T2 &STIR images	P.189
120	Case (5): MRI T1 image showing lymph node	P.190
121	Case (5): MRI dynamic post contrast & subtraction images	P.190
122	Case (5): MRI RE (Relative Enhancement) image	P.191
123	Case (5): MRI Time/signal intensity curve	P.191
124	Case (6): US image showing lactating breast	P.193
125	Case (6): US image showing inflammatory changes	P.193
126	Case (6): MRI T1,T2 &STIR images	P.194

127	Case (6): MRI post-contrast dynamic & subtraction images	P.195
128	Case (6): Follow-up US image	P.195
129	Case (7): Mammography (a)CC (b) MLO	P.197
130	Case (7): US image showing ill-defined hypo-echoic area	P.198
131	Case (7): Color Doppler US image	P.198
132	Case (7): US image showing inflammatory signs	P.199
133	Case (7): US image of the right axilla	P.199
134	Case (7): MRI T1 weighted image	P.200
135	Case (7): MRI T2 weighted image	P.201
136	Case (7): MRI T1 WI showing pathological lymph node	P.201
137	Case (7): MRI post-contrast dynamic & subtraction images	P.202
138	Case (7): MRI Time/signal intensity curve	P.203
139	Case (8): US image of the right breast	P.205
140	Case (8): Color Doppler US image	P.206
141	Case (8): US image of the left axilla	P.206
142	Case (8): MRI T1 weighted image	P.207
143	Case (8): MRI T2 weighted image	P.208
144	Case (8): MRI T1 WI showing pathological lymph node	P.208
145	Case (8): MRI post-contrast dynamic & subtraction images	P.209
146	Case (8): MRI Relative & Maximum Relative enhancement (RE & MRE) images	P.209

147	Case (8): MRI Time/signal intensity curve	P.210
148	Case (8): MRI T1 & T2 weighted follow-up images	P.211
149	Case (8): MRI post-contrast dynamic & subtraction follow-up images	P.211
150	Case (9): US images showing ill-defined hypo-echoic collection	P.213
151	Case (9): US image showing inflammatory signs	P.214
152	Case (9): MRI T1 weighted image	P.215
153	Case (9): MRI T2 weighted image	P.216
154	Case (9): MRI STIR image	P.217
155	Case (9): MRI post-contrast dynamic & subtraction images	P.217
156	Case (9): MRI Time/signal intensity curve	P.218
157	Case (10-A): Mammogram ML view	P.219
158	Case (10-A): US image showing inflammatory signs	P.220
159	Case (10-A): US image showing Lesion 1	P.221
160	Case (10-A): US image showing Lesion 2	P.221
161	Case (10-B): US image of the post-operative bed	P.222
162	Case (10-B): US image of the overlying skin	P.222
163	Case (10-B): MRI T1 weighted image	P.224
164	Case (10-B): MRI T2 weighted image	P.225
165	Case (10-B): MRI STIR image	P.226
166	Case (10-B): MRI post-contrast dynamic & subtraction images	P.227

167	Case (10-B): MRI Time/signal intensity curve	P.227
168	Case (11): US image of the left breast	P.229
169	Case (11): US image of the right breast	P.230
170	Case (11): MRI T1 weighted image	P.231
171	Case (11): MRI T2 weighted image	P.232
172	Case (11): MRI STIR image	P.232
173	Case (11): MRI post-contrast dynamic & subtraction images	P.232

Introduction

Endometrial carcinoma is the most common gynecological malignancy and the sixth most common neoplasm worldwide. It typically presents with abnormal uterine bleeding in 75% to 90% of patients (*Jemal et al, 2011*).

Uterine cervical cancer is the fourth most common female malignancy and has a high mortality rate. Most women with cervical cancer are diagnosed before the age of 50. However; older women remain at risk. More than 20% of new cases are diagnosed in women over 65. Cervical cancer in women younger than age 20 is rare (*Ferlay et al, 2015*).

Preoperative knowledge of loco-regional tumor extent may indirectly affect patient survival. Therefore, gaining knowledge of morphologic prognostic factors of cancer and of their inclusion in treatment planning could be an important step in further improving prognosis (*Morrow et al, 1998*).

Uterine carcinoma is usually staged and managed on the basis of criteria proposed by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO). However, the FIGO staging system is sometimes inaccurate, in spite of the fact that accurate staging is essential for appropriate treatment planning (*Hori et al, 2009*).

Advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technology provide excellent soft tissue contrast resolution with multiplanar capabilities when evaluating the female pelvis (*Peungjesada, 2009*).

The role of MRI in patients with histologically proven endometrial cancer is to evaluate the depth of myometrial and cervical invasion and detect pelvic lymph node involvement preoperatively thereby helping to determine the need for lymph node dissection. (*Peungjesada, 2009*).

In cervical carcinoma, MRI now has an established role in staging the primary tumor, monitoring response to treatment, detecting complications and recurrence, and in planning radiotherapy. It has played a vital role in the development of fertility-sparing surgery in young women with cervical cancer (*Reznek and Sahdev, 2005*). Moreover, imaging findings of advanced disease can change patient management from potentially curative to palliative (*Peungjesada et al, 2009*).

Functional imaging by means of dynamic multiphase contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) and diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI) is now part of the standard imaging protocols for evaluation of the female pelvis (*Sala et al, 2010*).

DCE-MRI improves the accuracy of T2WI in staging of endometrial cancer and is highly accurate in evaluating the depth of myometrial invasion as the majority of tumours are hypovascular compared to the adjacent enhancing myometrium (*Sala et al, 2010*).

In case of cervical carcinoma, it can demonstrate tumor extension into the lower part of the uterus, parametrium, and paracervical fat as well as differentiate tumour recurrence from radiation fibrosis in patients with cervical cancer (*Sala et al, 2010*)