



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
@ ASUNET



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of
15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل

٧٩٢٣٠

Evaluation of Electrophysiological and Imaging Studies in Identifying Cervical Nerve Root Compression

Thesis

*Submitted to The Faculty of Medicine – University of Alexandria
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of*

Doctor in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

By

Safaa Shawky El-Wasimy

M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University

M.Phys.M., Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University

**Faculty of Medicine
Alexandria University
2003**

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Tarek Saad Shafshak

Professor of Physical Medicine
Faculty of Medicine
University of Alexandria

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hamdy Mahmoud Zahran

Professor of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine
University of Alexandria

Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Essawy El- Fiky

Professor of Neurosurgery
Faculty of Medicine
University of Alexandria

Co-worker

Dr. Ibrahim Khalil Ibrahim

Assistant Professor of Physical Medicine
Faculty of Medicine
University of Alexandria

For his experience in Electrophysiologic Studies

Acknowledgment

In the name of God most Gracious, most Merciful. Praise be to God the Cherisher and Sustainer of the World.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to ***Prof. Dr. Tarek Saad Shafshak***, Professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University for his meticulous supervision, considerable suggestions and constructive criticism althrough the phases of this work.

I would like to express my greatest appreciation and gratitude to ***Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hamdy Mahmoud Zahran***, Professor of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University for his support, kind supervision and encouragement to continue this work.

I am greatly indebted and grateful to ***Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Essawy El-Fiky***, Professor of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, for his generous contributions, valuable suggestions, continuous encouragement and unlimited support throughout the course of this work.

I would also like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to ***Dr. Ibrahim Khalil Ibrahim***, Assistant Professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, for his encouragement, enthusiastic efforts, continuous guidance and generous help that helped me to complete this work.

Special thanks for my ***Colleague Salwa Tayel***, Assistant Professor of Community Medicine, for her kind support in preparing the statistical data.

I would like to thank all my colleagues and the staff members of the department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University for their support.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACD	: Anterior cervical discectomy.
ACDF	: Anterior cervical discectomy with fusion.
AFCL	: Axillary F central latency
APD/TD	: Anteroposterior diameter / transverse diameter.
CMAP	: Compound muscle action potential.
CT	: Computed tomography.
CTM	: Computed tomography myelography.
DDD	: Degenerative disc disease.
DSEP	: Dermatomal somatosensory evoked potential.
EDX	: Electrodiagnostic study.
EMG	: Electromyography.
FP	: Fibrillation potentials
H/W	: Height to width ratio.
MRI	: Magnetic resonance imaging.
MUAPs	: Motor unit action potentials.
NPAD scale	: Neck pain and disability scale.
PSW	: Positive sharp waves.
SEP	: Somatosensory evoked potential.
SNAP	: Sensory nerve action potential.

CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Aim of the Work	66
III. Patients	67
IV. Methods	68
V. Results	82
VI. Discussion	171
VII. Conclusions	189
VIII. Recommendations	190
IX. Summary	192
X. References	196
XI. Annex	
Protocol	
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table	Page
1 Age distribution among the studied patients and control subjects	83
2 Sex distribution among the studied patients and control subjects	84
3 The distribution of different occupations among the studied patients	85
4 The onset of cervical radiculopathy symptoms among the studied patients	87
5 The mode of onset of symptoms among the studied patients in the different age groups	88
6 The duration of symptoms among the studied patients	89
7 The frequency of main symptoms among the studied patients	92
8a The frequency of abnormal objective findings among the studied patients	93
8b The frequency of abnormal objective findings among patients in relation to each nerve root	93
9 The frequency of affected side among the studied patients according to objective findings (sensory and/or motor) ;	94
10 Hand grip strength among the studied patients and control subjects	95
11 The frequency of objective abnormal sensory findings related to the different nerve roots among the patients	96
12 The degree of agreement between objective and subjective abnormality (sensory and motor) among the studied patients	97

13	Motor and sensory conduction of median, ulnar and radial nerves among patients and control subjects	99
14a	AFCL of median and ulnar nerves in patients having unilateral clinically objective abnormality and control subjects.	100
14b	AFCL of the affected and the non-affected sides among patients with unilateral involvement (n=39)	100
15	AFCL of the median and ulnar nerves in patients having no objective clinical abnormality (n=9) and control subjects	101
16	AFCL of the median and ulnar nerves of patients having bilateral objective clinical abnormality (n=2) and control subjects	102
17	SEPs of the median, ulnar and radial nerves in patients with unilateral subjective and/or objective abnormalities versus control subjects (n=48)	104
18	SEPs of the median, ulnar and radial nerves among patients with unilateral objective abnormality and control subjects	105
19	SEPs of median, ulnar and radial nerves of affected versus non-affected sides among patients with unilateral involvement (n=39)	106
20	SEPs of the median, ulnar and radial nerves of symptomatic side of patients having no clinically objective abnormality (n=9) and control subjects	107
21	SEP latencies and amplitudes of the median, ulnar and radial nerves of patients with bilateral objective clinical abnormality (n=2)	108
22	DSEP latencies and amplitudes of objectively involved nerve roots among patients versus control subjects	113

23	DSEP latencies and amplitudes of the affected and non-affected sides among patients with unilateral involvement	114
24	DSEP latencies and amplitudes of patients having no objective clinical abnormality (n=9) versus control subjects	115
25	DSEP latencies and amplitudes of patients with bilateral clinically objective abnormality (n=2) and control subjects	116
26	The correlation between the amplitudes of C6, C7, C8 DSEPs and SNAP of radial, median, and ulnar nerves of the symptomatic limb	117
27	DSEP yield in diagnosing cervical nerve root compression	118
28	The frequency of abnormal EMG rest potentials (RP) recorded from the correspondent muscles of nerve roots among the studied patients (n=50)	123
29a	The frequency of abnormal EMG rest potentials (RPs) recorded from the correspondent muscles in patients with clinical objective findings (sensory and/or motor) (n=39)	124
29b	The frequency of abnormal EMG rest potentials (RPs) recorded from the muscles of patients with motor objective findings (n=21)	124
30	The frequency of different EMG motor unit action potential (MUAP), patterns recorded from myotomes of the studied patients on volition (n=50)	125
31a	The frequency of different EMG motor unit action potential (MUAP) patterns recorded from the correspondent muscles of objectively involved nerve roots (sensory and motor) among patients (n=39)	126

31b	The frequency of different EMG motor unit action potential (MUAP) patterns recorded from the myotomes of patients with motor objective findings (n=21).	127
32	The frequency of EMG findings among patients having no objective clinical abnormality (n=9) but having subjective manifestations of nerve root involvement.	128
33	The frequency of EMG findings during rest and patterns of MUAPs among patients having bilateral objective abnormality (n=2)	129
34	The percent agreement between objective signs (sensory or motor) and either DSEP or EMG findings in the studied patients.	130
35	Radiographic findings among patients and control subjects	135
36	The frequency of osteophytes at all cervical segmental levels on the plain X-ray films of the studied patients (n=50) and control subjects (n=20)	136
37	The Pavlov's ratio* at each vertebral level among patients (n=50) and control subjects (n=20)	137
38	Height to width ratio of the intervertebral foramina at different levels among patients with unilateral objective abnormality (sensory and motor) (n=39) versus control subjects	138
39	Height to width ratio of the intervertebral foramina at different levels among patients without any clinical objective abnormality (n=9) and control subjects	139
40	Height to width ratio of the intervertebral foramina at different levels among patients having bilateral objective abnormality (n=2) and the control subjects	140
41	Percent agreement of objective findings (sensory or motor) with radiographic findings \$ at all levels among patients	141