



Adaptive Fuzzy Controller for A Micro-Jet Engine

By

Yasmin Mohamed Hosny Ibrahim Selim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Aerospace Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Adaptive Fuzzy Controller for A Micro-Jet Engine

By

Yasmin Mohamed Hosny Ibrahim Selim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

Aerospace Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Ali Abd AlFatah Hashem

Prof. Mohamed Sayed Bayoumi

.....
Emeritus Professor
Aerospace and Aeronautics department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Emeritus Professor
Aerospace and Aeronautics department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Tarek Rabee Nada

.....
Associate Professor
National Authority for Remote Sensing and Space Sciences

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Adaptive Fuzzy Controller for
A Micro-Jet Engine

By

Yasmin Mohamed Hosny Ibrahim Selim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Aerospace Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Ali Abd AlFatah Hashem

Main Supervisor

Prof. Mohamed Sayed Bayoumi

Supervisor

Dr. Tarek Rabee Nada

Associate Professor at National Authority for Remote Sensing and
Space Sciences

Supervisor

Prof. Gamal Mahmoud Sayed El- Bayoumi

Internal Examiner

Prof. Gamal Ahmed Elsheikh
Professor at El-Ahram Institute

External Examiner

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Engineer's Name: Yasmin Mohamed Hosny Ibrahim Selim

Date of Birth: 26 / 6 / 1989

Nationality: Egyptian

E-mail: yasmin_selim@cu.edu.eg

Address: 245 El-Banfseg 1, 1st Settlement, New Cairo, Cairo

Registration Date: 2010

Awarding Date: 2016

Award: Master of Science

Department: Aerospace Engineering

Supervisors: Prof. Ali Abd AlFatah Hashem

Prof. Mohamed Sayed Bayoumi

Dr. Tarek Rabee Nada, Associate Professor at National Authority for Remote Sensing and Space Sciences



Examiners: Prof. Ali Abd AlFatah Hashem, Main Supervisor

Prof. Mohamed Sayed Bayoumi, Supervisor

Dr. Tarek Rabee Nada, Supervisor, Supervisor, Associate Professor at National Authority for Remote Sensing and Space Sciences

Prof. Gamal Mahmoud Sayed El-Bayoumi, Internal Examiner

Prof. Gamal Ahmed El-Sheikh, External Examiner, Professor at El-Ahram Institute

Title of Thesis: Adaptive Fuzzy Controller for A Micro-Jet Engine

Key Words: Engine off-design, engine simulation, adaptive fuzzy logic controller.

Summary:

The educational jet engine "AMT Olympus HP" is employed as a case study to investigate different control algorithms. Different fuel controllers are investigated with the objective of minimizing the acceleration and deceleration times while maintaining safe operation limits. The controllers design parameters are selected to cope with varying inputs along the operating line throughout the wide envelop of engine operating conditions. Three types of controller are compared. The classical PID with constant gains, fuzzy logic classical PD controller and an adaptive fuzzy logic PD controller with novel tuning of scaling factor according to selected fuzzy rules. Results show that, generally, the new developed adaptive fuzzy controller has superior time response characteristics among other controllers during both acceleration and deceleration.

Acknowledgment

I would like to acknowledge the endless support and guidance of Prof. Ali A. Hashem, Prof. Mohamed S. Bayoumi and Dr. Tarek R. Nada in their efforts to make the body of this work possible. I am grateful for Prof. Gamal M. Bayoumi for his valuable guidance and assistance.

I would also like to give a special thanks to my colleagues at the Department of Aerospace Engineering for their valuable assistance for their suggestions, comments and help.

Finally, I am grateful for my family and friends for their support, love and encouragement.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgment	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	v
Nomenclature	viii
Abstract	xi
1. Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1. Overview	1
1.2. Objectives	2
1.3. Thesis Outlines	4
2. Chapter 2: Literature Review	5
2.1. Engine Modeling	5
2.2. Engine Control	6
2.2.1. PID Controller	7
2.2.2. Fuzzy Logic Control	7
2.3. Conclusion	7
3. Chapter 3: Engine Modeling	9
3.1. Introduction	9
3.2. Olympus HP Identification	9
3.3. Steady State Analysis	15
3.3.1. Introduction	15
3.3.2. Balance Technique	15
3.3.3. Methodology	17
3.3.4. Calculation Algorithm	18
3.3.5. Results	22
3.3.6. Off-Design Validation	24
3.4. Lines of Constant T_{t4}/T_{t2}	26
3.5. Engine Dynamics and Simulation	29
3.5.1. Introduction	29
3.5.2. Engine Dynamics	29
3.5.3. Olympus HP Simulation Model	30
3.5.4. Simulation Results and Discussion	37
3.6. Summary	40
4. Chapter 4: Controller Design	41

4.1.	Introduction	41
4.2.	PID Controller	41
4.2.1.	Introduction.....	41
4.2.2.	PID Controller Design Analysis	42
4.3.	Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC)	52
4.3.1.	FLC Design Methodology	52
4.3.2.	FLC Results and Discussion	57
4.4.	Adaptive Fuzzy Logic Controller (AFLC).....	61
4.4.1.	AFLC Methodology and Analysis	62
	The SF-FLC inputs and output equations are given as:	62
4.4.2.	AFLC Results and Discussion	64
4.5.	Comparison between the Three Controllers.....	67
4.5.1.	PID Controller, FLC and AFLC Full Acceleration and Deceleration Comparison	67
4.5.2.	PID Controller, FLC and AFLC Partial Acceleration and Deceleration Comparison	74
5.	Chapter 5: Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Work	88
5.1.	Conclusions	88
5.2.	Recommendations for Future Work.....	89
	References.....	90
	المخلص	أ

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Engine Design Point at SLS	9
Table 3.2: Specific Heat Air and Combustion Products Constants	19
Table 4.1 Time response characteristics during acceleration and deceleration at the three flight conditions using the PID controller.....	49
Table 4.2: Acceleration and deceleration region and required control action.	55
Table 4.3: Olympus HP FLC rule base.....	57
Table 4.4 Time response characteristics during acceleration and deceleration at the three flight conditions using the FLC controller.....	59
Table 4.5: BFLC rule base.....	63
Table 4.6: SF-FLC rule base.....	64
Table 4.7 Time response characteristics during acceleration and deceleration at the three flight conditions using the AFLC controller.....	66
Table 4.8 PID controller, FLC and AFLC settling times at all three flight conditions during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed.	70
Table 4.9 PID controller, FLC and AFLC turbine inlet temperature (TIT) margin at all three flight conditions during acceleration.	71
Table 4.10 PID controller, FLC and AFLC settling times at all three flight conditions during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed.....	72
Table 4.11 PID controller, FLC and AFLC fuel-to-air ratio (f/a) margin at all three flight conditions during deceleration.	73
Table 4.12 Engine speeds (operating line RPM).	74

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Typical Turbojet Engine Stations numbering.....	1
Figure 1.2 Olympus HP jet engine (AMT Netherlands).....	2
Figure 1.3 Layout of Olympus HP jet engine (AMT Netherlands).	3
Figure 3.1 Olympus compressor map supplied by AMT Netherlands.	10
Figure 3.2 Olympus HP modified compressor map pressure ratios.	10
Figure 3.3 Olympus HP modified compressor map efficiencies.	11
Figure 3.4 Discharge coefficient variation using optimization.....	12
Figure 3.5 Discharge coefficient approximated variation.....	12
Figure 3.6 Olympus HP turbine map mass flow parameter.....	14
Figure 3.7 Olympus HP turbine map efficiencies.....	14
Figure 3.8 Compressor map with vertical speed lines or near vertical [6].	16
Figure 3.9 Turbine map first representation [6].....	17
Figure 3.10 Olympus HP operating lines at different operating conditions.	22
Figure 3.11 Comparison between Olympus HP turbine outlet temperature experimental and theoretical at SLS conditions.	23
Figure 3.12 Comparison between Olympus HP thrust experimental and theoretical at SLS conditions.	23
Figure 3.13 Comparison between Olympus fuel mass flow rate experimental and theoretical at SLS conditions.....	24
Figure 3.14 Comparison between experimental and theoretical turbine outlet temperature for earlier engine version.	25
Figure 3.15 Comparison between experimental and theoretical thrust for earlier engine version.....	25
Figure 3.16 Comparison between experimental and theoretical fuel mass flow rate for earlier engine version.....	26
Figure 3.17 Lines of constant Tt_4/Tt_2 at SLS conditions.	27
Figure 3.18 Lines of constant Tt_4/Tt_2 at $M=0.3$ & $H=0$ km.....	27
Figure 3.19 Lines of constant Tt_4/Tt_2 at $M=0.3$ & $H=3$ km.....	28
Figure 3.20 Olympus HP simulation scheme flow chart.	31
Figure 3.21 Olympus HP transient path during acceleration at SLS conditions is plotted on the compressor map.	37
Figure 3.22 Engine transient behaviour during acceleration at SLS conditions.....	38
Figure 3.23 Olympus HP transient path during deceleration at SLS conditions is plotted on the compressor map.	39
Figure 3.24 Engine transient behaviour during deceleration at SLS conditions.....	40
Figure 4.1 PID controller block diagram.	42
Figure 4.2 Olympus HP response during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed at SLS conditions using different PID controllers.	43
Figure 4.3 Olympus HP response during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed at SLS conditions using different PID controllers.	44
Figure 4.4 Olympus HP response during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed for the operating condition $M=.3$, $H=3$ km using different PID controllers.....	45
Figure 4.5 Olympus HP response during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed for the operating condition $M=.3$, $H=3$ km using different PID controllers.....	46

Figure 4.6 Olympus HP response during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed for the operating condition $M=0.3$, $H=0$ using the PID controller designed at $M=.3$, $H=3$ km.	47
Figure 4.7 Olympus HP response during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed for the operating condition $M=0.3$, $H=0$ using the PID controller designed at $M=.3$, $H=3$ km.	48
Figure 4.8 Olympus HP response during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed for all three flight conditions using the final selected PID.	50
Figure 4.9 Olympus HP response during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed for all three flight conditions using the final selected PID.	51
Figure 4.10 Fuzzy Logic Controller block diagram.....	52
Figure 4.11 Fuzzy Logic Controller membership functions.....	53
Figure 4.12 Argument of engine acceleration and deceleration relative to fuzzy sets.	54
Figure 4.13 FLC parameters which are used in editing FLC rules.....	56
Figure 4.14 FLC parameters produced by the last FLC rules.....	56
Figure 4.15 Engine response at the three flight conditions during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed using the FLC.....	58
Figure 4.16 Engine response at the three flight conditions during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed using the FLC.....	60
Figure 4.17 Adaptive Fuzzy Logic Controller block diagram.	61
Figure 4.18 SF-FLC membership function.....	63
Figure 4.19 Engine response at the three flight conditions during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed using the AFLC.....	65
Figure 4.20 Engine response at the three flight conditions during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed using the AFLC.....	66
Figure 4.21 Comparison between engine responses during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed using PID controller, FLC and AFLC.....	68
Figure 4.22 Comparison between engine responses during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed using PID controller, FLC and AFLC.....	69
Figure 4.23 PID controller, FLC and AFLC settling times at all three flight conditions during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed.	70
Figure 4.24 PID controller, FLC and AFLC turbine inlet temperature (TIT) margin at all three flight conditions during acceleration from minimum to maximum speed.	71
Figure 4.25 PID controller, FLC and AFLC settling times at all three flight conditions during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed.	72
Figure 4.26 PID controller, FLC and AFLC fuel-to-air ratio (f/a) margin at all three flight conditions during deceleration from maximum to minimum speed.	73
Figure 4.27 Engine responses during acceleration from minimum speed to intermediate speeds using the PID controller at SLS conditions.	75
Figure 4.28 Engine responses during acceleration from minimum to intermediate speeds using the FLC at SLS conditions.....	76
Figure 4.29 Engine responses during acceleration from minimum to intermediate speeds using the AFLC at SLS conditions.....	77
Figure 4.30 Response time characteristics during acceleration from minimum speed to intermediate speeds using the three controllers at SLS.	78
Figure 4.31 Response time characteristics during acceleration from minimum speed to intermediate speeds using the three controllers at $M=0.3$ and $H=0$ km.	79

Figure 4.32 Response time characteristics during acceleration from minimum speed to intermediate speeds using the three controllers at $M=0.3$ and $H=3$ km.	80
Figure 4.33 Engine responses during deceleration from maximum speed to intermediate speeds using the PID controller at SLS conditions.	82
Figure 4.34 Engine responses during deceleration from maximum to intermediate speeds using the FLC at SLS conditions.....	83
Figure 4.35 Engine responses during deceleration from maximum to intermediate speeds using the AFLC at SLS conditions.....	84
Figure 4.36 Response time characteristics during deceleration from maximum speed to intermediate speeds using the three controllers at SLS conditions.....	85
Figure 4.37 Response time characteristics during deceleration from maximum speed to intermediate speeds using the three controllers at $M=0.3$ and $H=0$ km.	86
Figure 4.38 Response time characteristics during deceleration from maximum speed to intermediate speeds using the three controllers at $M=0.3$ and $H=3$ km.	87

Nomenclature

A: Area

AFL: Adaptive Fuzzy Logic

BFLC: Basic Fuzzy Logic Controller

C_D : nozzle discharge coefficient

C_p : Specific heat at constant pressure

DHTCC: Compressor Work function

DHTCT: Turbine Work Function

E: Differential Error

EGT: Exhaust gas Temperature

FLC: Fuzzy Logic Controller

f: fuel to air ratio

F: Thrust

g_0 : gravitational acceleration $=9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$

h: Enthalpy

H: Altitude

h_{PR} : Fuel heating value

I_r : Rotor inertia

L: Volume length

m: Mass

MFP: Mass Flow Parameter

$\dot{m}$: Mass flow rate

N: engine rotational speed

N_{Q_T} : Turbine work

N_{Q_c} : Compressor work

N_Q : Engine excess torque

NPR: Nozzle Pressure ratio

NGVs: Nozzle Guide Vanes

P: Pressure

POS: Percentage overshoot

R: air constant

SLS: Sea Level Static

t: time

t_s : settling time

T: Temperature

TFF: turbine flow function

TIT: Turbine Inlet Temperature

V: volume

Z: the ratio of pressure ratio along speed line

π : Pressure Ratio Or Pressure Losses

η : Component Efficiency

γ : Specific heats ratio

τ : Temperature ratio

Subscripts:

b: Combustion Chamber (burner)

B: Base value

c: compressor

cor: Corrected

f: fuel

GG: Gas Generator

i: Station number

in: inlet condition

i: initial

isen: Isentropic

m: Mechanical

n: Nozzle

out: outlet condition

rel: relative

ref: reference

s: Static

t: Total

T: turbine

Abstract

Aircraft engines performance is ever continuously more demanding. Preserving jet engine operating limits; during dynamic operation, is a main objective of engine controller. This task has become more challenging and requires innovative control methods. This thesis focuses on the development of adaptive fuzzy controller for a micro-turbojet engine. The educational jet engine "AMT Olympus HP" is employed as a case study to investigate different control algorithms, such that they can be subsequently experimentally verified.

Nonlinear computer simulation of engine steady state and dynamic performance facilitates the design and development of new control systems. Performance maps of the engine components are employed to generate the off design operating lines, representing the steady state operation at different flight conditions. In this study the operating line is generated using a balance technique. It assumes a set of engine state variables and obtains corresponding imbalance errors. Subsequently, errors-variables sensitivity matrix is established, and used to modify the state variables to eliminate the balance errors iteratively.

A digital dynamic simulation is constructed to investigate engine variables response to time variations of fuel inputs. The model assumes each engine component to adhere to its steady state characteristics followed by a lumped volume. Through the volume the transient continuity, energy and momentum equations are solved to provide the dynamic part of the response.

Different fuel controllers are investigated with the objective of minimizing the acceleration and deceleration times while maintaining safe operation limits. The controllers design parameters are selected to cope with varying inputs along the operating line throughout the wide envelop of engine operating conditions. Three types of controller are compared. The classical PID with constant gains, fuzzy logic classical PD controller and an adaptive fuzzy logic PD controller with novel tuning of scaling factor according to selected fuzzy rules.

A comparison is held between the three controllers. The rise time, settling time and percentage overshoot are evaluated for speed response during acceleration from minimum speed to various operating points along the operating line, and during deceleration from maximum speed to various operating points along the operating line. These calculations are made for each controller at different flight operating conditions.

Results show that, the new developed adaptive fuzzy controller has superior time response characteristics over other controllers during both acceleration and deceleration. The classical fuzzy logic PD controller comes next with moderate differences. The PID controller has long settling time and long rise time that is not experienced by the fuzzy controllers.