SURVIVAL OF CHILDREN WITH NEUROBLASTOMA BETWEEN 1975 AND 2010: SINGLE CENTER EXPERIENCE

Thesis

Submitted for Fulfilment of the Master Degree in Pediatrics

By

Mona Gaber Abd El- Hamid

M.B.B.CH., (December 2004)

Supervised by

Prof. Safinaz Adel El Habashy

Professor of Pediatrics
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Randa Mahmoud Asaad Matter

Professor of Pediatrics
Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

Dr. Iman Ahmed Ragab

Lecturer of Pediatrics
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University 2012



Thanks and praise to ALLAH first and foremost. I feel always indebted to God, the most kind and most merciful.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and respect to **Dr. Safinaz Adel El-Habashy,** Professor of Pediatrics, Ain Shams University, for her valuable supervision, guidance and kind advice throughout this work.

Also, I would like to deliver special thanks to **Dr Randa**Mahmoud Assad Matter, Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of

Medicine, Ain Shams University for her close supervision and
continuous help throughout this work.

Words are not enough to thank **Dr. Iman Ahmed Ragab**, Lecturer of Pediatrics, Faculty of medicine, Ain shams university, for her good support, continuous supervision and unlimited help during this work.

Thanks are due to **Dr. Aya Abaza** who has conducted her PhD on neuroblstoma patients between 1975 and 2003, and her raw data and statistics were very helpful for conducting current thesis.

Finally, I wish to express my great appreciation and gratitude to my family and my friends for their Support.

Mona Gaber

List of Contents

7itle	Page No.
List of Tables	iv
List of Abbreviations	VI
Introduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Aim of the Work	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Review of Literature	
 Introduction of Neurobla 	stoma Error! Bookmark not
defined.	
 Risk Stratification of New 	uroblastoma Error! Bookmark not
defined.	
• Treatment of Neuroblas	toma Error! Bookmark not
defined.	
Patients and Methods	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Results	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Discussion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Summary	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Conclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Recommendations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
References	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendix	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (1):	Homer Wright rosettes in NB. Err	or! Bookmark not defined.
Fig. (2):	Mature ganglion tissue and So stroma Error! Book	
Fig. (3):	Primary distribution of neurobla children Error! Book	
Fig. (4):	Sagittal T1-weighted MR imag abdomen shows a low-signal mass arising anterior to the low spineError! Book	l-intensity er lumbar
Fig. (5):	MYCN amplification demonstra uorescence in situ hybridisation E	_
Fig. (6):	Frequency distribution of patients neuroblastoma in group 1Error!	
Fig. (7):	Overall Survival Function from (till Death) among Neuroblastoms in group 1Error! Book	a Patients
Fig. (8):	Overall Survival Function from (till Death) among Neuroblastoms by age group in group 1 Error! Boo	a Patients
Fig. (9):	Overall Survival Function from (till Death) among Neuroblastoms by gender in group 1 Error! Book	a Patients
Fig. (10):	Overall Survival Function from (till Death) among Neuroblastoms by Stage in group 1 Error! Book	a Patients
Fig. (11):	Overall Survival Function from (till Death) among Neuroblastoms by metastasis at presentation in §	a Patients

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (12):	Overall Survival Function from Diag (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Pat by Hemoglobin level in group 1 Error!	tients
Fig. (13):	Overall Survival Function from Diag (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Pat by Total Leucocytic count (TLC) in gro	tients
Fig. (14):	Overall Survival Function from Diag (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Pat by Surgical Interference in group 1 Err	tients
Fig. (15):	Overall Survival Function from Diag (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Pat by Radiotherapy in group 1 Error! Boo	tients
Fig. (16):	Overall Survival Function from Diag (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Pat by Bone Marrow aspirate in group 1 E 1	tients
Fig. (17):	Overall Survival Function from Diag (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Pat by Bone Marrow biopsy in group 1 Erro	tients
Fig. (18):	Disease free Survival Function Diagnosis (till Relapse or Death) a Neuroblastoma patients in group 1 Err	mong
Fig. (19):	Disease free Survival Function Diagnosis (till Death) among N blastoma Patients by age group in gro	leuro-
Fig. (20):	Overall Survival Function from Diag (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Patby gender in group 1 Error! Bookmar	tients
Fig. (21):	Event-free Survival Function Diagnosis (till Death) among N blastoma Patients by Stage in group 1	from Jeuro- Error! Bookmark not defined.

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title Page No.
Fig. (22):	Disease free Survival Function from Diagnosis (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Patients by metastasis at presentation in group 1Error! Bookmark not defined.
Fig. (23):	Disease free Survival Function from Diagnosis (till Death) among Neuro- blastoma Patients by Hemoglobin level in group 1
Fig. (24):	Disease free Survival Function from Diagnosis (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Patients by Total Leucocytic count (TLC) in group 1
Fig. (25):	Disease free Survival Function from Diagnosis (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Patients by Surgical Interference in group 1
Fig. (26):	Disease free Survival Function from Diagnosis (till Death) among Neuro- blastoma Patients by Radiotherapy in group 1
Fig. (27):	Overall Survival Function from Diagnosis (till Death) among Neuroblastoma Patients by Bone Marrow aspirate in group 1. Error! Bookmark not define
Fig. (28):	Disease free Survival Function from Diagnosis (till Death) among Neuro- blastoma Patients by Bone Marrow biopsy in group 1

List of Tables

Table No.	Title Page No.
Table (1):	International Neuroblastoma Pathology Classification Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (2):	Children's Oncology Group (COG) Neuroblastoma Risk Stratification Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (3):	International Neuroblastoma Risk Group (INRG) Consensus Pretreatment Classification schema. Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (4):	International Neuroblastoma Risk Group Staging System Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (5):	Simplified INRGSS and INSS Staging System Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (6):	Histopathologic Age-linked Grading System of Shimada Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (7):	Risk Stratification Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (8):	Low Risk Protocol used in group 1 of neuroblastoma patients Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (9):	Intermediate Risk Protocol used in group 1 of neuroblastoma patients Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (10):	High Risk Protocol used in group 1 of neuroblastoma patients Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (11):	Case distribution by treatment modalities Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (12):	Frequency of neuroblastoma diagnosis among other cancer patients in group 1.Error! Bookmark not define
Table (13):	Demographic data of the studied patients: Error! Bookmark not defined.

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title Page No.
Table (14):	Distribution of primary site of studied neuroblastoma patients at initial diagnosis Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (15):	Presenting Symptoms at initial diagnosis of studied neuroblastoma patients Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (16):	Frequency of metastasis among studied neuroblastoma patients at initial diagnosis Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (17):	Distribution of local and distant metastasis among studied neuroblastoma patients at diagnosis Error! Bookmark not defined
Table (18):	Distribution of staging among studied neuroblastoma patients at diagnosis: Error! Bookmark not define
Table (19):	Risk Stratification of the studied patients in group 1 Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (20):	N-MYC status of the studied patients in group 1 Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (21):	Initial Hematological Laboratory findings in patients with neuroblastoma Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (22):	Tumor markers for studied neuroblastoma patients. Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (23):	Different lines of treatment received as upfront therapy Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (24):	Relation between chemotherapy and surgery Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table (25):	Comparison of cumulative doses of different protocols used. Error! Bookmark not defined.

List of Tables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (26):	Response to first line of therapy neuroblastoma patients at end protocol Error! Bookmar	of
Table (27):	Etiology of death among studeneuroblastoma patients in group 1Er	
Table (28):	Overall survival among neuroblastor patients Error! Bookmar	
Table (29):	Overall survival among neuroblastor patients according to prognostic faction group 1 Error! Bookmar	ors
Table (30):	Overall survival among neuroblastor patients according to prognostic faction group 2 Error! Bookmar	ors
Table (31):	Disease free Survival Function and neuroblastoma patients Error! Bookm	~
Table (32):	Disease free survival rate and neuroblastoma patients according prognostic factors in group 1Error! Bo	to

List of Abbreviations

A66.	Full term
ALK	Anaplastic lymphoma kinase
Amp	Amplified
BM	Bone marrow
BMT	Bone marrow transplantation
CA/PE	Cyclophosphamide, adriamycin/Cisplatinum, etoposide
CARBO	Carboplatinum
CAV	Cyclophosphamide, adriamycin, Vincristine
CBC	Complete blood picture
CCSG	Children's Cancer Study Group
CDDP	Cisplatinum
cmm	Cubic millimeter
COG	Children Oncology Group
СРМ	Cyclophosphamide
CR	Complete response
CT	Computerized tomography
DOXO	Doxorubicin
EFS	Event-free survival
FDG	Fluro-2-deoxy-D-glucose
FH	Favorable histology
GD_2	Disialoganglioside
gm	Gram
GM-CSF	granulocyte-macrophage colony- stimulating factor
GNB	Ganglioneuroblastoma
Hb	Hemoglobin
HDCBDCA/VP16	High-dose Carboplatinum/ VP16
HDP/VP	High-dose cisplatinum/VP16
HSCT	Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

HVA Homovanillic acid

I-131or I-123 radioactive iodine isotopes

IF/VP Ifosfamide/VP16

INPC International Neuroblastoma Pathology

Classification

INRGSS International Neuroblastoma Risk Group

Staging System

INSS International Neuroblastoma Staging

System

KFT Kidney function testLDH Lactate dehydrogenaseLFT Liver function test

m² Meter square mg Milligram

MIBGMetaiodobenzylguanidineMKIMitosis Karyorrhexis Index

mL Milliliter

MRI Magnetic resonance imaging

NA Non-amplified
NB Neuroblastoma

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{ng} & & nanogram \\ \textbf{NR} & & \textit{No response} \end{array}$

NSE Neuron specific enolase

OJEC Vincristine(Oncovin), carboplatin,

etoposide, cyclophosphamide

OPEC Vincristine(Oncovin), cisplatinum,

etoposide,cyclophosphamide

OS Overall survival
PD Progressive disease

PET Positron emission tomography
pNTs Peripheral Neuroblastic Tumors

POG Pediatric Oncology Group

PR Partial response

SIOPEN International Society of Pediatric

Oncology Europe Neuroblastoma Group

SRDshortest region of deletion99m TC MDP99m Technetium methylene-

diphosphinate

TLC Total leucocytic count

 ${\bf TRK-A} \hspace{1.5cm} Nerve\ growth\ factor\ receptor$

U Unit

UH Unfavorable histology

US Ultrasound

VAC Vincristine, adriamycin,

cyclophosphamide

VCR Vincristine

VGPR Very good partial response
VMA Vanillylmandelic acid

VP-16 *Etoposide*

WBCS White blood cells

Abstract

Purpose To study outcome of NB patients and compare different protocols used for treatment at Ain Shams University-Children's Hospital Hematology-Oncology unit since 1975 to 2010.

Patients and Methods The study includes data from 221 children diagnosed between 1975 and 2010. Overall survival (OS) was analyzed by clinical and investigational features at presentation and patients are categorized into two groups: group 2 includes patients in the period from 1975 to 2003 and group 1 includes patients in the period from 2004 to 2010.

Results Increased incidence of NB was found from 7.7% to 9.9% of total pediatric malignancies. Significant older mean age at diagnosis (4±2.6 years) in group 2 compared to group 1 (3±3.2) years). Suprarenal gland was the most frequent primary site in both groups (51.2% and 68.4%). Abdominal mass was the most common presenting symptoms among patients and paraaortic lymph nodes were the most frequent local metastatic site in both groups. Stage 4 represented 69.7% and 61.8% of patients in both groups respectively. five-year OS increased over time from 27.7% to 33.9% (P=0.002); it was significantly better for patients age 0 to 12 months at diagnosis, stage 2, patients underwent surgical resection, patients with no BM involvement and for patients receive OPEC/OJEC regimen in group 2 and for patients who had hemoglobin level above 8 gm/dl and TLC above 9000 in group 1. Five-year EFS also increased over time from 23.6% to 35.9% (P=0.004).

Conclusion The outcome of children with neuroblastoma remained poor with worst outcome among the high risk group, and although it has progressively improved; yet new strategies are needed to improve survival

INTRODUCTION

euroblastoma, a neoplasm of peripheral neural crest origin, is the most common malignant extracranial solid tumor of childhood and accounts for 15% of cancer deaths in children (Park et al., 2008). Approximately 650 new cases are diagnosed in the United States annually with peak incidence in early childhood (ages 0–4 years) (Gustafson and Weiss, 2010).

The Children Oncology Group (COG) investigated a risk-based neuroblastoma treatment plan that assigned all patients to a low-, intermediate-, or high-risk group based on age, INSS stage, and tumor biology. The relevant biological attributes of the tumor included MYCN status, International Neuroblastoma Pathologic Classification (INPC) histopathology classification, and tumor DNA index (National Cancer Institute, 2011).

Low risk patients can frequently be observed without any treatment at all or cured with surgery alone (National Cancer Institute, 2008).

The intermediate-risk group received limited chemotherapy, additional surgery in some instances, and avoided radiation therapy (**Baker et al., 2010**).

Patients classified as high risk receive treatment with an aggressive regimen of combination chemotherapy consisting of

very high drug doses, generally termed induction (**Park et al.**, **2006**). After a response to chemotherapy, resection of the primary tumor should be attempted, followed by myeloablative chemotherapy and stem cell rescue (i.e., bone marrow and/or peripheral blood stem cell transplantation). Radiation to the primary tumor site should be undertaken whether or not a complete excision was obtained. The optimal dose of radiation therapy has not been determined. Radiation of sites of metastatic disease is determined on an individual case basis. After recovery, patients are treated with oral 13-cis-retinoic acid for 6 months. Both myeloablative therapy and postchemotherapy retinoic acid improve outcome in patients categorized as high risk (**Matthay et al., 1999; Berthold et al., 2005; Matthay et al., 2009).**