



# **Study of Surface Roughness using Laser-Optical Imaging Techniques**

By

**Ahmed Mohamed Ali Ashour Ahmed**

B.Sc. (Special Physics), Ain Shams University, 2006

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of  
the requirements for the degree of Master of  
science (Physics)

Physics Department  
Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University

**2011**

## **APPROVAL SHEET**

### **Study of Surface Roughness using Laser- Optical Imaging Techniques**

By

**Ahmed Mohamed Ali Ashour Ahmed**

B.Sc. (Special Physics), Ain Shams University, 2006

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:-

**Prof. Dr. Hatem Mahmoud Hamdy El- Ghandoor** .....

Physics Department,  
Faculty of Science,  
Ain Shams University

**Dr. Mohamed Ali Hassan Saudy** .....

Physics Department,  
Faculty of Science,  
Ain Shams University



Ain Shams University  
Faculty of Science  
Physics Department

## **M.Sc. Thesis**

**Name:** Ahmed Mohamed Ali Ashour Ahmed

**Title :** “Study of Surface Roughness using Laser-Optical Imaging Techniques ”

**Degree:** Master of Science (physics).

### **Supervision committee**

**Prof. Dr. Hatem Mahmoud Hamdy El- Ghandoor**

Professor of Laser Physics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

**Dr. Mohamed Ali Hassan Saudy**

Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

### **Judgment committee**

**Prof. Dr. Hatem Mahmoud Hamdy El- Ghandoor**

Professor of Laser Physics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mahmoud El-Okr**

Physics Department, El-Azhar University.

**Prof. Dr. Samy Hanafy Alaam**

Physics Department, Cairo University.

# Acknowledgment

*I wish to express my deep thanks and gratitude to Prof. Dr. Hatem El-Gandoor, head of physics department, faculty of science, Ain shams university, for suggesting the point of research, supervision, encouragement, great efforts, helpful discussion, analysis, revision, and his help during the work and preparation of this thesis.*

*I wish to express my deep thanks and gratitude to Dr. Mohamed Saady for his encouragement, supervision, and helpful discussion, revision of this work.*

*I wish to express my deep thanks and gratitude to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Amer for his valuable supervision for measuring the samples in the National Institute of Standards, support and trust.*

*Finally, I wish to express my thanks and gratitude to the basic science department staff, faculty of Engineering and Technology, Future University in Egypt, for their encouragement and helpful discussion.*

# Dedication

*There are a number of people to whom I would like to dedicate this thesis, and to whom I am greatly indebted.*

*To my family, who offered me unconditional love and support during my study:*

*My Father, my biggest champion and cheerleader, for everything he did and still doing for me.*

*My Mom, who was so amazed and perhaps amused at the way my life turned out, who continues to learn, grow and develop.*

*My Wife, who has been the source of motivation and inspiration, who has been the wind beneath my wings until I completed this work.*

*My daughter, the best thing happened in my life.*

**Thank you and God bless you all.**

# **Table of Contents**

<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Abstract and Key Words</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>List of Figures and Tables</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>

## **Chapter 1 LASER SPECKLE AND ROUGHNESS MESURMENT TECHNICS**

<b>1.1- Surface Roughness Measuring Techniques</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.1.1- Laser Speckles</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.1.1.1- Formation Of Laser Speckles Pattern                     and their Characteristics</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1.1.1.2- Objective and Subjective Speckles</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>1.1.2- Surface Roughness Measurement Using Stylus             Technique</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>1.1.3- Optical Interference Microscope</b>	<b>15</b>

## **Chapter 2 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS WORK**

<b>2.1- Review of Previous Work</b>	<b>19</b>
-------------------------------------	-----------

## **Chapter 3 EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES AND DATA REDUCTION**

<b>3.1- Recording of Speckle Images using Single Exposure     Technique</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>3.1.1- Optical Density of Speckles</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3.1.2- Intensity Distribution of Speckle Images</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>3.1.3- Average Contrast of Speckle Pattern</b>	<b>39</b>

3.1.4- Data Reduction of Speckle Images	41
3.2- Back Projection Technique	57
3.2.1- Reconstruction by Back Projection Technique	58
3.2.1.1- Abel and Radon Transforms	61
3.2.2- Results and Data Reductions using The Back Projection Technique	65
Conclusion	125
Reference	127
Arabic Summary	

# **Abstract**



## Abstract

---

**Name:** Ahmed Mohamed Ali Ashour Ahmed

**Titled:** Study of Surface Roughness using Laser-Optical Imaging Techniques

**Degree:** M.SC.

**Submitted to:** Physics department, Faculty of science,  
Ain Shams University.

Speckle phenomena produced by using interference of scattered laser beams from certain rough object. Digital speckle images were recorded for different rougher and smoother surfaces, using an optical imaging system in two and three dimensions with a high resolution CCD camera. The obtained speckle images were transformed to equivalent binary images. The values of surface roughness depend on the degree of agglomeration of the speckle images. The optical density was calculated and it was found that it depends on the different conditions for the optical imaging system. The back projection technique was used to reconstruct 3-dimensional surface roughness profiles from multi-directional projection data. Also, interference microscope was used for the reconstruction of surface topography for different rough surfaces.

**Key Words:**

- Surface roughness.
- Laser optical imaging techniques.
- Back projection technique.
- 3-D surface roughness profiles.
- Interference microscope.

# Summary

## Summary

---

When a coherent light beam is allowed to be incident on an optical rough surface (the height and depths of its surface features are in the order of the light wavelength). This light will be scattered randomly through all directions in the form of coherent wavelets. Interference of these wavelets leads to the formation of bright spots (when the interference is constructive) and dark spots (when the interference is destructive) these were called “Laser Speckles”. Surface roughness parameters can be used for the characterization of surface roughness. Statistical parameters such as the arithmetic mean of the surface roughness,  $R_a$  and the root mean square surface roughness,  $R_q$ , are most frequently used.

This thesis presents, the recording of laser speckle pattern of different rough surfaces along different projections (directions). Then the reconstruction of surface roughness in 3-dimension using the back projection technique. Study of surface topography of some surfaces using Zygo Interference Microscope has been presented in order to measure the surface roughness parameters. A comparison between different surface roughness measuring techniques has been presented.

In the first chapter, the thesis presents the different techniques for measuring the surface roughness. (Laser Speckles, Interference Microscope, and the back projection techniques).

In the second chapter, the thesis presents the previous work for studying the surface roughness.

In the third chapter, the far-field speckle contrast method is presented. The laser speckle contrast technique

## Summary

---

depends on the existence of an approximately linear relationship between the speckle contrast and the roughness of the illuminated surface. The roughness  $R_a$  of different metallic surfaces of Nickel and Aluminum has been measured using the relation between speckle contrast and surface roughness. Investigation on the contrast variation of image speckle patterns is conducted systematically for various surface roughnesses of the objects. The speckle pattern obtained with nine different roughnesses namely: 0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, and 6.35  $\mu\text{m}$ . of Nickel. And other rough surfaces 20, 80, and 600  $\mu\text{m}$ . of Aluminum.

The back projection technique is used for reconstructing the 3-D of these rough surfaces.

The Interference Microscope is used for measuring the roughness of these surfaces.

## List of Figures:

Figure	Title	Page
(1-1)	<i>Specular and diffuse reflection of a light beam.</i>	7
(1-2)	<i>Deviations of real surface from an ideal plane surface.</i>	8
(1-3)	<i>Speckle pattern formation under illumination of a rough surface by laser light by transmission.</i>	10
(1-4)	<i>Speckle image.</i>	10
(1-5)	<i>Speckle pattern formation under illumination of a rough surface by laser light by reflection.</i>	11
(1-6)	<i>Two schemes of speckle pattern formation under illumination of a rough surface by laser light.</i>	12
(1-7)	<i>Schematic diagram of Stylus technique used for Roughness measurements.</i>	14
(1-8)	<i>Schematic diagram of Optical Interference Microscope used for Roughness measurements.</i>	15
(1-9)	<i>Photograph of Optical Interference Microscope.</i>	16
(3-1)	<i>Optical arrangement for producing the speckle pattern on the recording plane.</i>	33
(3-2)	<i>Optical arrangement used for the recording of speckle patterns.</i>	34
(3-3)	<i>Optical arrangement for producing diffraction speckle pattern.</i>	38
(3-4) to (3-12)	<i>(a) Speckle image. (b) Numerical image. (c) Two dimensional contour image from numerical image at different surface roughness <math>R_a=(0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, \text{ and } 6.35 \mu\text{m})</math>.</i>	42-50
(3-13)	<i>Relation between the roughness, and the speckle contrast.</i>	52

(3-14)	(a) <i>Speckle image. (b) Numerical image. (c) Two</i>	54-56
to	<i>dimensional contour image from numerical image</i>	
(3-16)	<i>at different surface roughness <math>R_a = (20, 80, \text{ and } 600 \mu\text{m})</math>.</i>	
(3-17)	<i>Optical arrangement used for recording the</i>	58
	<i>speckle patterns at different projections.</i>	
(3-18)	<i>Different projections in X-Y plane.</i>	59
(3-19)	<i>3-D symmetrical around vertical cylinder axis.</i>	61
(3-20)	<i>Speckle images of different surface roughness <math>R_a =</math></i>	65-69
to	<i>(0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175,</i>	
(3-28)	<i>4.572, and <math>6.35 \mu\text{m}</math>), at different angles <math>\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ,</math></i>	
	<i><math>10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)</math>, when the angle <math>\zeta</math></i>	
	<i>between the CCD camera and laser beam (<math>\zeta = 20^\circ</math>).</i>	
(3-29)	<i>Relation between the different angles <math>\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10</math></i>	70-74
to	<i><math>^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)</math>, and the optical density</i>	
(3-37)	<i>at different surface roughness <math>R_a = (0.4064, 0.6096,</math></i>	
	<i><math>0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, \text{ and } 6.35</math></i>	
	<i><math>\mu\text{m}</math>), when the angle <math>\zeta</math> between the CCD camera</i>	
	<i>and laser beam (<math>\zeta = 20^\circ</math>) Fitting by Gaussian.</i>	
(3-38)	<i>Relation between the different angles <math>\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10</math></i>	74
	<i><math>^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)</math> and the optical density</i>	
	<i>at different rough surfaces, when the angle <math>\zeta</math></i>	
	<i>between the CCD camera and laser beam (<math>\zeta = 20^\circ</math>).</i>	
	<i>Fitting by Gaussian.</i>	
(3-39)	<i>Speckle images of different surface roughness <math>R_a =</math></i>	76-80
to	<i>(0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175,</i>	
(3-47)	<i>4.572, and <math>6.35 \mu\text{m}</math>), at different angles <math>\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ,</math></i>	
	<i><math>10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)</math>, when the angle <math>\zeta</math></i>	
	<i>between the CCD camera and laser beam (<math>\zeta = 40^\circ</math>).</i>	

- (3-48) *Relation between the different angles  $\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)$ , and the optical density to  $^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ$ , and the optical density* 80-84
- (3-56) *at different surface roughness  $R_a = (0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, \text{ and } 6.35 \mu\text{m})$ , when the angle  $\zeta$  between the CCD camera and laser beam ( $\zeta=40^\circ$ ). Fitting by Gaussian.*
- (3-57) *Relation between the different angles  $\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)$ , and the optical density at different rough surfaces, when the angle  $\zeta$  between the CCD camera and laser beam ( $\zeta=40^\circ$ ). Fitting by Gaussian.* 85
- (3-58) *Speckle images of different surface roughness  $R_a = (0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, \text{ and } 6.35 \mu\text{m})$ , at different angles  $\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)$ , when the angle  $\zeta$  between the CCD camera and laser beam ( $\zeta=60^\circ$ ).* 87-91
- (3-66) *to  $(0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, \text{ and } 6.35 \mu\text{m})$ , at different angles  $\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)$ , when the angle  $\zeta$  between the CCD camera and laser beam ( $\zeta=60^\circ$ ).*
- (3-67) *Relation between the different angles  $\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)$ , and the optical density to  $^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ$ , and the optical density* 91-95
- (3-75) *at different surface roughness  $R_a = (0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, \text{ and } 6.35 \mu\text{m})$ , when the angle  $\zeta$  between the CCD camera and laser beam ( $\zeta=60^\circ$ ). Fitting by Gaussian.*
- (3-76) *Relation between the different angles  $\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)$ , and the optical density at different rough surfaces, when the angle  $\zeta$  between the CCD camera and laser beam ( $\zeta=60^\circ$ ). Fitting by Gaussian.* 96
- (3-77) *Relation between the different angles  $\theta = (0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ)$ , and the optical density to  $^\circ, 15^\circ, 20^\circ, 25^\circ, 30^\circ \text{ and } 35^\circ$ , and the optical density* 98-102
- (3-85) *at different surface roughness  $R_a = (0.4064, 0.6096, 0.8128, 1.143, 1.6002, 2.286, 3.175, 4.572, \text{ and } 6.35 \mu\text{m})$ , when the angle  $\zeta$  between the CCD camera and laser beam ( $\zeta=20^\circ, 40^\circ, \text{ and } 60^\circ$ ). Fitting by Gaussian.*