



Ain Shams University  
Faculty of Engineering  
Design and Production Engineering Department

*Production Scheduling in Cellular Manufacturing*

A Thesis

By

**Mohamed Mahmoud Saleh Abd El Kader**

B.Sc. Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of  
M.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering

Supervised By

**Prof. Dr. Amin K. El-Kharbotly**

**Dr. Nahid H. Afia**

**Dr. Mohammed M. El Beheiry**

CAIRO 2011



## **Statement**

This thesis is submitted in the partial fulfillment of Master degree in Mechanical Engineering, to Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other university.

Signature

Mohamed Mahmoud Saleh



## **Acknowledgement**

First of all I would like to thank GOD for all his blessings that can not be considered or counted. He is the assistant for all the good things.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. Amin Elkharbotly. He has been continuously helpful and dedicated. His supervision, support and patience throughout the whole work were invaluable.

I am grateful to Dr. Nahid Afia who supplied me with valuable advices, experiences and many references and papers. She spent much of her time to guide me.

I would also like to thank Dr. Mohammed El Beheiry for his guidance through hardships; he played an essential role for this work to be completed.

I would like also to thank Dr. Yomna Sadek for her continuous help.

I am also grateful to my family, my parents, my sister and my wife, for their lovable support and motivation. They were always behind me in my road to success. I'm everything I am because of them.

My colleagues' support can't be put in words; they were always there when I needed them.



# **Production Scheduling in Cellular Manufacturing**

By

Mohamed Mahmoud Saleh Abd El Kader

Department of Design and Production Engineering

Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

## **Abstract**

Robotic cells are considered one of the strong options to increase productivity as robots have the capability of performing repetitive actions with high speed and accuracy. One of the difficulties related to robotic cells is selecting the optimum robot cycle and jobs sequencing.

Most of work was directed to robot moves scheduling and parts sequencing of two and three machines robotic cells. In this work four-machine robotic cell producing identical and different part types is studied opting minimize the cycle time.

A genetic algorithm was developed to solve the problem. The results of the developed genetic algorithm are compared with the optimal solution as obtained from a full enumeration of all possible cycles. The results showed that the developed genetic algorithm could solve the scheduling problem of four machines robotic cell reaching the optimal solution for most of the tested problems.

**Key Words:** Scheduling, Robotic Cells, Flexible Manufacturing Systems, Cellular Manufacturing.





**Summary of the Master Thesis**  
**“Production Scheduling in Cellular Manufacturing”**  
**By**  
**Mohamed Mahmoud Saleh Abd El Kader**

Robotic cells showed great efficiency in increasing the productivity and reliability of manufacturing systems. Scheduling and control of these cells is considerably complex where most of the robotic cycles are NP hard. As much as the number of machines included in the cell increases, the number of NP hard increases which makes the scheduling of robotic cells becomes more challenging and considered one of the attractive research interests.

In the scheduling of robotic cell there are two main issues; to determine the schedule of the robot moves and sequencing of parts. Sometimes the sequencing of parts vanishes in cells producing identical parts. On the other hand, if there are different parts then finding the job sequence which minimizes the makespan becomes the main problem instead of the robot moves. But the most difficult problem to tackle in this class of scheduling problems is to optimize the robot moves along with job sequences.

Most of previous research in this field was directed to two or three machines cells. Limited work tackled the scheduling problem in four machines cells and it only gave a methodology for the extending to four machines cells and ideas for larger cells. In four-machine robotic cells there are 24 robot-move cycles, 18 of them are NP-hard problems.

The objective of this work is to solve the scheduling problem in four-machine blocking robotic cells producing identical and different part types

while minimizing the cycle time. The problem includes scheduling of robot moves and sequencing of parts simultaneously.

In order to achieve this objective, a genetic algorithm is developed to solve the problem. The solution is based on finding a parts' sequence for each robot move cycle using the developed genetic algorithm then the sequence with minimum cycle time is considered the best reached one. In order to test the nearness of the best reached sequence to the true optimal sequence, full enumeration of all possible sequences is made. The cycle times of the enumerated sequences is calculated for the 24 robot moves cycles and hence true optimum is reached.

Two problem sizes (5 and 8) are tested changing the travel time, loading and unloading times and processing time range. The results showed that the developed genetic algorithm could solve the problem reaching to the optimal solution in most of the tested problems. It also showed and analyzed the effect of the ratio between the mean processing time and the travel time on determining the optimum robot moves cycle and defining their regions of optimality.

---

## List of Tables

<i>No.</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Page</i>
2.1	Classification of reviewed papers	17
3.1	Description of all cycles in 4 machines robotic cell	22
3.2	The actions of cycle $S_{1,4}$ and their corresponding times	24
3.3	The actions of cycle $S_{2,4}$ and their corresponding times	25
3.4	The actions of cycle $S_{3,4}$ and their corresponding times	26
3.5	The actions of cycle $S_{4,4}$ and their corresponding times	27
3.6	The actions of cycle $S_{5,4}$ and their corresponding times	29
3.7	The actions of cycle $S_{6,4}$ and their corresponding times	30
3.8	The actions of cycle $S_{7,4}$ and their corresponding times	31
3.9	The actions of cycle $S_{8,4}$ and their corresponding times	33
3.10	The actions of cycle $S_{9,4}$ and their corresponding times	34
3.11	The actions of cycle $S_{10,4}$ and their corresponding times	35
3.12	The actions of cycle $S_{11,4}$ and their corresponding times	37
3.13	The actions of cycle $S_{12,4}$ and their corresponding times	38
3.14	The actions of cycle $S_{13,4}$ and their corresponding times	40
3.15	The actions of cycle $S_{14,4}$ and their corresponding times	41
3.16	The actions of cycle $S_{15,4}$ and their corresponding times	42
3.17	The actions of cycle $S_{16,4}$ and their corresponding times	44
3.18	The actions of cycle $S_{17,4}$ and their corresponding times	45
3.19	The actions of cycle $S_{18,4}$ and their corresponding times	46
3.20	The actions of cycle $S_{19,4}$ and their corresponding times	48
3.21	The actions of cycle $S_{20,4}$ and their corresponding times	49
3.22	The actions of cycle $S_{21,4}$ and their corresponding times	50

---

3.23	The actions of cycle $S_{22,4}$ and their corresponding times	52
3.24	The actions of cycle $S_{23,4}$ and their corresponding times	53
3.25	The actions of cycle $S_{24,4}$ and their corresponding times	55
3.26	Example of inversion mutation operator	61
5.1	Number of robot distances within each cycle	73
5.2	The accuracy of the proposed GA in 8 jobs problem	100
5.3	Results obtained from consistency test (8 parts)	104
5.4	Results obtained from consistency test (9 parts)	105
5.5	Results obtained from run time test	105

---

## List of Figures

<i>No.</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Page</i>
2.1.	Cell layout	4
2.2.	Robot-centered robot cell	6
2.3.	Inline robot cell	6
2.4.	Mobile robot cell	7
2.5.	Classification of robotic cell scheduling problem	8
3.1.	Five identical cycles in four machines flow shop robotic cell	22
3.2.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{1,4}$	23
3.3.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{2,4}$	24
3.4.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{3,4}$	26
3.5.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{4,4}$	27
3.6.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{5,4}$	28
3.7.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{6,4}$	30
3.8.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{7,4}$	31
3.9.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{8,4}$	32
3.10.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{9,4}$	34
3.11.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{10,4}$	35
3.12.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{11,4}$	36
3.13.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{12,4}$	38
3.14.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{13,4}$	39
3.15.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{14,4}$	41

---

3.16.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{15,4}$	42
3.17.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{16,4}$	43
3.18.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{17,4}$	45
3.19.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{18,4}$	46
3.20.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{19,4}$	47
3.21.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{20,4}$	49
3.22.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{21,4}$	50
3.23.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{22,4}$	52
3.24.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{23,4}$	53
3.25.	The movements done by the robot in $S_{24,4}$	54
5.1.	Cycle times in case of processing time 1 and travel time	72
5.2.	The distances done by the robot between the loading and unloading of M/C #2 in $S_{10,4}$	73
5.3.	Cycle times in case of processing time 5 and travel time	74
5.4.	The distances done by the robot between the loading and unloading of M/C #2 in $S_{10,4}$	75
5.5.	Cycle times in case of processing time 20 and travel	75
5.6.	Cycle times in case of processing time 50 and travel	76
5.7.	Cycle times in case of processing time 100 and travel	76
5.8(a)	Loading of robot and machines in cycle #24 in case of processing time 20 and travel time 3.	77
5.8(b)	Loading of robot and the machines in cycle #24 in case of processing time 50 and travel time3	77
5.9.	Effect of processing time on cycle time of cycle #1	78
5.10.	Effect of processing time on cycle time of cycle #21	79
5.11.	Effect of processing time on cycle time of cycle #24	79

---

5.12.	Cycle times in case of processing time 20 and travel	80
5.13.	Cycle times in case of processing time 20 and travel	81
5.14.	Cycle times in case of processing time 20 and travel	81
5.15.	Cycle times in case of processing time 20 and travel	82
5.16.	Effect of travel time on cycle time of cycle #1	83
5.17.	Effect of travel time on cycle time of cycle #21	83
5.18.	Effect of travel time on cycle time of cycle #24	84
5.19(a)	Cycle times in case of processing time (1:5) and travel time 3	85
5.19(b)	Cycle times for cycles #1, 11, 13 and 19 in case of processing time (1:5) and travel time 3	86
5.20.	Cycle times in case of processing time (1:20) and travel time 3	87
5.21.	Cycle times in case of processing time (1:50) and travel time 3	88
5.22.	Cycle times in case of processing time (1:100) and travel time 3	88
5.23.	Cycle times in case of processing time (16:20) and travel time 3	89
5.24.	Cycle times in case of processing time (46:50) and travel time 3	90
5.25.	Cycle times in case of processing time (96:100) and travel time 3	91
5.26(a)	The relation between ( $t_p/t_l$ ) and the optimum cycle (set #1 identical 5 parts)	93
5.26(b)	The relation between ( $t_p/t_l$ ) and the optimum cycle (set #2, 3 different 5 parts)	94