PREVALENCE OF CYTOMEGALOVIRUS INFECTION IN EGYPTIAN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC HEPATITIS C

Thesis

Submitted for fulfillment of Master Degree in Eropical Medicine

BU

Ahmed Mohmed Salah Mohmed Al-Emam *M.B,B.CH*,

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Noaman Mohamed Haseeb

Professor of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Amal Tohamy Abed El-Moez

Assistant Professor of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Amal Ahmed Abas

Assistant Professor of Clinical Pathology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain shams University
2012

معدل انتشار عدوى فيروس تضخم الخلايا في مرضى الالتهاب الكبدي الفيروسي(ج) المزمن

رساله

رسالة توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في الأمراض المتوطنة

مقرمة من

الطبيب/ احمد محمد صلاح محمد الإمام بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة

تحت إشراف

أ.د/ احمد نعمان محمد حسيب

أستاذ الأمراض المتوطنة كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

د/ آمال تهامي عبد المعز

استاذ مساعد الأمراض التوطنة كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

د/ أمسل أحمسد عبساس

استاذ مساعد التحاليل الطبية كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

> كليــة الطــب جامعة عين شمس ٢٠١٢

SUMMARY

his study included fifty patients with chronic hepatitis C related cirrhosis and fourty three healthy controls. Full history taking and complete clinical examination were done for every case. As regards laboratory part of the work the following laboratory investigations were carried out: complete blood count (CBC), liver profile (serum albumin, serum bilirubin, ALT, AST and Alkaline phosphatase), HBs Ag and HCV Ab and blood sugar (FBS, 2hr pp). Also abdominal Ultrasono-graphy was done. The immunological part of the work was the estimation of the antibody titre for cytomegalovirus both (IgG) and (IgM) by ELISA.

The results of clinical examination revealed hepatomegally in 41 cases (82%), splenomegally in 46 cases (92%), ascitis in 46 cases (92%), lower limb oedema in 29 cases (58%), haematemesis in 5 cases (10%), fever in 21 cases (42%) and there is no case had lymph node enlargement.

Estimation of antibody for cytomegalovirus IgM was negative in 34 cases (68%) and positive in 16 cases of CMV IgM (32%). As regard of CMV IgG titre was negative in 17 cases (34%) and positive in 33 cases (66%).

There was a higher mean CMV IgM among cases 1.42 compared to 0.4 among controls and the difference is highly significant statisatically.

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Protocol	·····················
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	2
Review of Literature	
• Cytomegalovirus Infection	3
• Immunopathogenesis of Cytomegalovirus	27
• Virus – virus iteractions	34
• Relationship between CMV and viral hepatiti	is49
Patients and Methods	53
Results	60
Discussion	79
Summary	84
Conclusion and Recommendations	86
References	88
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page V	No.
Table (1):	Complications of disseminated CMV infection		10
Table (2):	Manifestations of CMV infection in patients with AID	S	12
Table (3):	Immunosuppressive mechanisms of CMV infection		28
Table (4):	Categories of virus-virus interaction.		35
Table (5):	Age and Sex Distribution		60
Table (6):	Age and Sex Distribution.		60
Table (7):	Comparison between cases and controls as regards gen	ıder	62
Table (8):	Distribution of presenting symptoms among studied pa		
Table (9):	Distribution of signs of liver disease among studied par		64
Table (10):	Comparison between cases and controls as regards t parameters of blood picture (lab findings):		65
Table (11):	Distribution of Child Classification among studied pati	ents	66
Table (12):	Comparison between cases and controls as regards pos CMV IgM		67
Table (13):	Comparison between cases and controls as regards t CMV Antibody titer		68
Table (14):	Comparison between cases and controls as regards pos CMV IgG		69
Table (15):	Comparison between cases and controls as regards t differential leucocytic count.		70
Table (16):	Comparison between cases and controls as regards t liver functions tests:		71
Table (17):	Comparison between cases with and without ascitis as positivity of (IGM) CMV.		72
Table (18):	Comparison between cases with and without ascitis as positivity of (IGG) CMV		73
Table (19):	Comparison between cases with and without Enceph as regards positivity of (IgM) CMV.		74
Table (20):	Comparison between cases with and without Enceph as regards positivity of (IgG) CMV		74
Table (21):	Comparison between Child Classification among regards positivity of (IgM) CMV		75
Table (22):	Comparison between Child Classification among regards positivity of (IgG) CMV		76
Table (23):	Correlation between WBCs count and CMV IgM and $$		77
Table (24):	Relation between positivity of IgG among cases and 1 liver functions tests:		78
Table (25):	Relation between positivity of IgM among cases and liver functions tests:		78

List of Figures 🖺

List of Figures

Figure	No. Title	Page No.
Fig. (1):	Distribution of gender of the study group	61
Fig. (2):	Distribution of the presenting symptom among group	•
Fig. (3):	Distribution of signs of liver disease among study g	group64
Fig. (4):	Distribution of Child classification among study gro	oup66
Fig. (5):	Comparison between cases and controls as r positivity with IgM CMV	_
Fig. (6):	Comparison between cases and controls as regarmean IgM and IgG titre	
Fig. (7):	Comparison between cases and controls as r positivity with IgG CMV	_
Fig. (8):	Comparison between cases with and without ascregards positivity with CMV IgM.	
Fig. (9):	Comparison between cases with and without ascregards positivity with CMV IgG.	
Fig. (10):	Comparison between Child classification among caregards positivity with CMV IgM.	
Fig. (11):	Comparison between Child classification among caregards positivity with CMV IgG	

Tist of Abbreviations

Ab Antibody

ADE..... Antibody dependent enhancement

AIDS..... Acquired ImmunoDeficiency Syndrome

ALT Alanin transferase

APCs..... Antigen presenting cells

AST..... Aspartate transaminase

CBC Complete blood count

CMV Cytomegalovirus

CTL..... Cytotoxic T lymphocyte

D⁺/R⁻..... Donor positive/ Recipient negative

DHF Dengue haemorhagic fever

DNA..... Deoxyribonucleic acid

E/M Electron microscopy

EBNA1 EBV-encoded nuclear antigen-1

EBV Ebstein Barr Virus

eIF2α..... eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha

ELISA..... Enzyme-inked immunosorbant assay

FBS Fating blood sugar

gm..... gram

HBs AG Hepatitis B surface antigen

HBV..... Hepatitis B Virus

HCMV Human Cytomegalovirus

HCV..... Hepatitis C virus

HDV..... Hepatitis D Virus

HHV..... Human herpes virus

HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HLA..... Human leukocyte antigens

HSV Herpes simplex virus

HTLV Human T-cell Leukaemia-lymphoma Virus

Ig..... Immunoglobulin

IL Interleukin

Tist of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Gama interferon INF-γ.....

Latex agglutination LA.....

Major Histocompatibility Complex MHC

millimeter mm

mRNA..... messenger Ribonucleic acid

neg negative

Natural killer NK

PCR..... Polymerase chain reaction

PD..... Programmed cell death

PKR Protein kinase R

PP..... Phosphoprotein

RBC Red blood cell

Ribonucleic acid RNA.....

Stadared deviation SD

Th..... Help T lymphocyte

VVI..... Virus-virus interactions

WBC white blood cell

μm..... micrometer

2'-5' Oligoadenylate synthetase 2'-5'OAS

2hr pp..... two hour postprandial

NTRODUCTION

nfection with Cytomegalovirus (C.M.V) is throughout all geographic locations and socioeconomic groups (Griffiths and Walter, 2005). C.M.V. infection can also be life threating for patients who are immuno compromised e.g. patients with HIV, organ transplant recipients, or neonates (Vancikovà and Dvoràk, 2001). Infectious C.M.V. may be shed in the body fluids of any infected person, and can be found in urine, saliva, blood, tears, semen, and breast milk (Schleiss, 2006). In patients with depressed immune system, C.M.V. related disease may be much more aggressive (Barry et al., 2004). C.M.V. hepatitis may cause fulminant liver failure. Because of the frequency of C.M.V. with AIDS up to 100%, some authors thought that C.M.V. to be the etiologic agent. A lot of work has been done on depressed cell mediated immunity in endemic hepatosplenomegaly (Fledmier et al., 1984; Gastle and Feldmeier, 1984), hence the incidence of C.M.V. could be increased in patients with chronic liver disease.

AIM OF THE WORK

he aim of this work is to study the prevalence of Cytomegalovirus infection in patients with chronic hepatitis C related cirrhosis.

CYTOMEGALOVIRUS INFECTION

ytomegaloviruses (CMV) are a group of viruses within the beta herpes virinae subfamily of herpes viridae family. Infection with this group of viruses typically results in a characteristic enlargement of cells with the appearance of distinctive intranuclear and cytoplasmic inclusion bodies which has led to common name of cytomrgalovirus (Smiley and Huang, *1990*).

Humans are the only known host of cytomegalovirus. It is endemic in all parts of the world, epidemics are unknown. It is present throughout the year, with no seasonal variation seen in infection rates. The prevalence of infection varies with socioeconomic status, Living conditions and hygienic practices (Jawetz et al., 1995).

New infections are almost always asymptomatic. After infection, virus is shed from multiple sites (urine, saliva, semen, breast milk and cervical secretions). Viral shedding may continue for years, often intermittently, as latent virus becomes reactivated. Thus exposures to CMV are wide spread and common (Jawetz et al., 1995).

Mode of Transmission:

Transmission requires close person to person contact. Virus may be shed in urine, saliva, semen, breast milk and cervical

secretions and is carried in circulating white blood cells. Oral and respiratory spread are probably the dominant routes of cytomegalovirus transmission (Jawetz et al., 1995).

1- Maternofetal transmission:

Maternal infection play an important role in transmission of CMV to neonates, CMV can be reactivated during gestation and the virus may be transmitted to the fetus in utero despite circulating maternal antibody. The transmission occur through transplacental route from infected mother to the fetus, passage through birth canal during labour, from milk by breast feeding as milk is the most commn site of CMV excretion (Baynor, 1993).

2- Sexual transmission:

a) Heterosexual:

Several types of evidence, direct and indirect document heterosexual transmission of CMV. Higher rates of cervical infection are found in woman who are highly sexually active (Ho, *1990*).

So it is very likely that both the uterine cervix and semen are important reservoirs of CMV and play a role in disease transmission during heterosexual activity (Ho, 1990).

b) Homosexual transmission:

There is epidemiologic as well as biologic evidence that CMV may be transmitted by homosexual activity. First, that

homosexual practice is associated with acquisition of CMV infection in the male is well documented. Second, homosexual men often have evidence of chronic active infection, this is manifested by frequent presence of CMV in semen and urine and high prevalence of IgM antibody to CMV in homosexual men (Smith and Singer, 1992).

Compared with heterosexual men, homosexual men are significantly more likely to be CMV culture positive. Infection in homosexual men is associated with increased age, number of sexual partners and the practice of anal receptive intercourse (Smith and Singer, 1992).

3- Transfusion transmission:

Primary or recurrent CMV infection occasionally follows blood transfusion and several studies provide conclusive data to indicate that blood transfusion is an important source of CMV infection (Galea, 1992).

Transfusion products that are unlikely to transmit cytomegalovirus infection can be prepared by filtration to remove leukocytes, use of cryopreserved red cells or can be obtained by selecting donors who are seronegative for antibodies to CMV. These products are indicated for certain groups of immunosuppressed patients including pregnant women who are CMV seronegative, premature infants of low birth weight (less than 1200gm) who are born to CMV seronegative mothers, CMV

seronegative recipients of allogenic bone marrow transplants from CMV seronegative donors and CMV seronegative patients with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (Sayer et al., 1992).

4- Organ transplantation transmission:

Transplantation of an organ from a seropositive donor into a seronegative recipient results in primary CMV infection of recipients, with a wide variation of clinical symptoms depending upon the immunosuppressive regimen (Smyth et al., 1991).

Cytomegalovirus pneumonia is a major cause of morbidity and death following lung transplantation. The case fatality rate is highest in the CMV seronegative recipients of organ from seropositive donors, which suggests that transmission of CMV may occur with graft, but in seropositive recipients the comparative importance of reactivation of endogenous virus and reinfection with donor virus is poorly understood (Smyth et al., 1991).

The risk of developing CMV infection post bone marrow transplantation is significant (41% in pairs in which donor and or recipient were CMV seropositive in contrast to 0% in neg/neg donor/recipient pairs).

This risk can be predicted by pretransplant serostatus, diagnosed by monitoring expression of the lower matrix protein pp 65 of CMV on peripheral blood cells and correlates with transplant related morbidity. The latter appears to be reduced by early treatment with ganciclovir (Bacigalupo et al., 1992).

5- Nosocomial transmission:

Nosocomial infections with CMV are an areas of great concern and controversy within the medical community. It is possibly transmitted via workers hands or contaminated fomites. CMV has been recovered from objects used in the care of an infected newborn and from surface in a day care centre up to 8 hours after virus excretion occurred (Roman et al., 1991).

6- Child to parent transmission:

Children are likely source of infection to their non immune mothers who may be pregnant or have subsequent pregnancies. Naturally, this is of concern also to institutions such as day care centers and hospitals. Where women of childbearing age are in frequent contact with young children. Child to parent transmission may be reduced by increasing protective behaviors (handwashing and glove use) and decreasing risky behaviors (intimate contact between child and parent) (Finmey et al., 1993).

7- Child to child transmission:

Grouping of young children for day care also results in more widspreade CMV nfection, so the risk of acquiring CMV appears to be significantly greater for children in day care than for those cared for at home. The recovery of CMV from toys that had been recently mouthed by children known to be excreting the virus suggested that the exchange of infected saliva through fomites could play a role in the spread of CMV in day care centers (Adler, *1991*).