

**STUDIES ON PRODUCTIVITY IN RUMINANTS
UNDER THE SYSTEMS OF PRIVATE FARMS**

BY

NEIVEIN GAMAL MOHAMMAD FAHMY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 1999

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

**Agricultural Science
(Animal Breeding)**

**Department of Animal Production
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2005

STUDIES ON PRODUCTIVITY IN RUMINANTS UNDER THE SYSTEMS OF PRIVATE FARMS

BY

NEIVEIN GAMAL MOHAMMAD FAHMY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 1999

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. E. S. E. Galal

Professor Emeritus of Animal Breeding, Department of
Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University (Principal supervisor)

Dr. Manal M. A. ElSayed

Associate Professor of Animal Breeding, Department of
Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Approval Sheet

STUDIES ON PRODUCTIVITY IN RUMINANTS UNDER THE SYSTEMS OF PRIVATE FARMS

BY

NEIVEIN GAMAL MOHAMMAD FAHMY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Ain Shams University, 1999

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. Ezat Ata Afifi.....

Professor Emeritus of Animal Breeding, Faculty of
Agriculture, Moshtohor, Banha branch, Zagazig University

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Halim Ashmawy.....

Professor of Animal Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University

Dr. Manal Mohammad Ahmad ElSayed

Associate Professor of Animal Breeding, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Salah El-Dein Galal.....

Professor Emeritus of Animal Breeding, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Date of examination : 19 /10 / 2005.

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I am indebted to **Allah** forever, the most beneficent and merciful, without whose mercy and guidance this work would never been started or completed.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Dr. E. Salah E. Galal**, Professor of Animal Breeding, Ain Shams University, for supervising this work, valuable advices and continuous help. His critical reading of this manuscript did much to help me preparing this thesis. Special thanks are due to him.

My deepest appreciation to **Dr. Hussein Mansour**, Professor of Animal Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

All thankful words for my supervisor **Dr. Manal Elsayed**, Associate Professor of Animal breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for her great efforts with me. She is the angel of this research. Thank you my old sister for your trusting with me.

Dr. Hamdy Elsayed, Professor of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, did his best to locate a data set suitable for this study among many farms that consult him. He generously spent his time to obtain the data. I am greatly indebted to him.

Special thanks are due to all staff of the Assiout farm, especially for **Mr. Hamed El-Shewekh** the manager of the Assiout farm for assisting in accessing the farm and providing the data during my farm visits.

Thanks are due **Dr. Emad Mousa**, Associate Professor of Animal Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiout University, for his assistance in the use of random regression program when needed.

Special thanks and sincere appreciation are due to the staff of Scientific Computation and Agricultural Informatics Unit at the Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for their kind help. I would like to thank every one of them specially **Mr. Wael M. S. El-Desokey** for his cooperation through data collection.

I wish to express my sincere thanks, special indebtedness and deep love to **my mother** for her continuous encouragement, patience and thoughtfulness throughout the study. Thanks to **my brothers** and **my sisters** for their moral support, understanding and repeated prayers.

I am particularly grateful to **Dr. Reda Elsaid**, Lecture of Animal Breeding, Department of Animal Production, Institute of Desert Environment Research, Menoufia University, Sadat City, Egypt and **Dr. Hanaa Abdelharith**, Researcher, Animal Production Research Institute for many kind reasons. I consider them the best friends to me.

My sincere appreciation, gratitude and thanks to my friends especially my best friend **Mrs. Fatten Abd El-Rahman**, agricultural engineering, Animal Production Research Institute. Special thanks are due to **Mr. Hatem M. Issmail**, agricultural engineering, Animal Production Research Institute for their great help in entering data.

Last but not least, it is great honor to dedicate this work with all my love to the memory of my father.

ABSTRACT

Neivein Gamal Mohammad Fahmy. Studies on productivity in ruminants under the systems of private farms , Unpublished Master of Science thesis, Ain Shams University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Production, 2005.

The objective of this study was to estimate genetic parameters of test-day milk yields (TDMY's) in the first three parities using random regression technique. Data used in the study were collected from the Assiout private farm in Assiout Governorate in the south of Egypt. In total, a data set of 8473 test-day milk yield (TDMY) records for the first three lactations (3875, 2993 and 1605, respectively) of 414 cows daughters of more than 66 sires and 197 dams was available from 1998 till 2004. Data were classified according to the month of calving into four seasons, winter, spring, summer and autumn. The statistical model included year-season, the linear and quadratic orders of age, fixed regression, a random additive genetic effect for each animal, a random permanent environmental effect for each cow and a random residual effect. The Incomplete Gamma Function (IGF) was chosen to describe the shape of the lactation curve. This function was fitted for each lactation for each cow. DFREML software was used to estimate the components of (co)variance of TDMY in a Random Regression Model (RRM). Estimates of the additive genetic correlations between TDMYs ranged from -0.978 to 0.993, -0.730 to 0.992 and -0.086 to 0.991 for the three parities, respectively. Estimates of heritability of TDMY increased from 0.030 for DIM 65 to 0.142 for DIM 185 then decreased to 0.035 for DIM 275 in the first parity. Heritability increased from 0.154 for DIM 65 to 0.215 for DIM 155 then decreased to 0.180 for DIM 215 in the second parity. Heritability decreased from 0.486

for DIM 5 to 0.409 for DIM 125 then increased to 0.696 for DIM 305 in the third parity.

Key words: Random regression model, Incomplete Gamma Function, Genetic parameters, Test-day milk yield, Lactation curve, Holstein

Table of Contents

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	i
Acknowledgments.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	I
List of Tables.....	III
List of Figures.....	V
List of Abbreviations.....	VI
1. Introduction	1
2. Review of Literature.....	2
2.1 Estimates of Heritability Using 305-Day Milk Yield	2
2.2. Test Day Model (TDM)	3
2.2.1. Advantageous of Test Day Model (TDM)	3
3. Material and Methods.....	7
3.1. Data.....	7
3.2 Management.....	7
3.3. Statistical Analysis	9
3.3.1. Model.....	9
3.3.2. Fitting the Curve.....	11
3.3.3. Procedure of Analysis	13
3.3.4. Simplifying of the G Matrix	13
4. Results and Discussion.....	16
4.1. Additive Genetic and Permanent Environmental Covariance Estimates for IGF Coefficients.....	16
4.2. Additive Genetic and Permanent Environmental Eigenvalues.....	18
4.3 Additive Genetic and Permanent Environmental Co(Variances) of TDMY	21
4.4. Phenotypic and Residual Variances of TDMYs.....	25
4.5. Additive Genetic Correlations and Heritability of TDMYs ..	27
4.6. Phenotypic Correlations Between TDMYs	32
4.7. Permanent Environmental Correlations Between TDMYs ..	35

Table of Contents

	Page
5. Summary and Conclusions.....	38
6. References.....	41
7. Arabic Summary.....	

List of Tables

Table		Page
1	Some reviewed estimates of heritability (h^2) \pm SE of milk yield using 305-day milk yield in Holstein cows.....	2
2	Some reviewed estimates of range of heritability (h^2) of milk yield using random regression model in Holstein cows.....	5
3	Some reviewed estimates of range of correlation of milk yield using random regression model in Holstein cows.....	6
4	Structure of the raw data in the first three parities.....	8
5	Means and standard deviations of TD in the first three parities, kg.....	8
6	Estimates of additive genetic and permanent environmental (co)variances in the first three parities for coefficients (a's) of IGF, kg.....	16
7	Eigenvalues for the additive genetic covariances for TDMY in the three parities.....	19
8	Eigenvalues for the permanent environmental covariances for TDMY in the first three parities.....	20
9	Estimates of additive genetic variances and covariances in the first three parities for TDMY, kg^2	22
10	Estimates of permanent environmental variances and covariances in the first three parities for TDMY, kg^2	23
11	Estimates of phenotypic (P) and residual (R) variances of TDMY, kg^2 for DIM in the first three parities.....	26

List of Tables

Table		Page
12	Estimates of genetic correlations and heritability for TDMY in the first three parities.....	31
13	Estimates of phenotypic correlations between TDMYs in the first three parities.....	34
14	Estimates of permanent environmental correlations between TDMYs in the first three parities.....	37

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1	Estimates of eigenvalues for the additive genetic effect of TDMY in the three parities.....	19
2	Estimates of eigenvalues for the permanent environmental effect of TDMY in the three parities.....	20
3	Estimates of additive genetic and permanent environmental variances for TDMY in the first three parities.	24
4	Estimates of phenotypic variances for TDMY in the first three parities.....	26
5	Estimates of residual variances for TDMY in the first three parities.....	27
6	Genetic correlations between TDMYs in the first parity.....	29
7	Genetic correlations between TDMYs in the second parity.....	29
8	Genetic correlations between TDMYs in the third parity.....	30
9	Estimates of heritability for TDMYs in the first three parities.....	30
10	Phenotypic correlations between TDMYs in the first parity.....	32
11	Phenotypic correlations between TDMYs in the second parity...	33
12	Phenotypic correlations between TDMYs in the third parity.....	33
13	Permanent environmental correlations between TDMYs in the first parity.....	35
14	Permanent environmental correlations between TDMYs in the second parity.....	36
15	Permanent environmental correlations between TMDYs in the third parity.....	36

List of Abbreviations

CF	Covariance function
DFREML	Derivative free restricted maximum likelihood
DIM	Day in milk
IGF	Incomplete gamma function
MV	Multivariate
MY	Milk yield
RR	Random regression
TD	Test day
TDM	Test day model
TDMY	Test day milk yield

1. Introduction

Many factors affect milk production of the cow from one test-day (TD) to the next. It is difficult to model for whole 305-day yields taking into account all such factors (Jamrozik et al., 1996). A test-day model for genetic evaluation can account for these factors such as, day of the year (including weather conditions), management groups within a herd, and, for each cow, day in milk (DIM), pregnancy status and number of times of milking daily (Meyer et al., 1989 and Ptak & Schaeffer, 1993). Test-day model (TDM) can also account for the effect of test date, number of records, interval between records and order of test-day records (Reents and Dopp, 1996). Moreover, models using longitudinal measurements would include information about the pattern of a lactation curve for a cow (Schaeffer and Dekkers, 1994).

Many models have been described for the analysis of test-day yields by several studies (Wood, 1967, Ali and Schaeffer, 1987 and Wilmink, 1987). Random regression model (RRM) has become a popular choice for the analysis of longitudinal data or repeated records. This analysis is challenging because it requires numerous parameters ((co)variances between random regression (RR) coefficients) and measurement of error variances (Meyer, 2002), in addition to the (co)variance structure of the test-day yields (Liu et al., 2000).

The objective of this study was to estimate genetic parameters of test day milk yields (TDM's) in the first three lactations in single trait model with a small data set from a private Holstein dairy farm using random regression with the covariance function technique.

2. Review of Literature

Commercial herds in Egypt usually use 305-day MY or total milk yield in their herd evaluation. This requires that cows stay at least one full lactation. Moreover the 305-day model does not account for the non genetic factors affecting the (co)variance structure along the trajectory. In an effort to account for these factors **Ptak and Schaeffer (1993)** suggested a repeatability model. The repeatability model is simple, but assumes that the variance is constant along the trajectory. The multivariate (MV) model is used to analyze the longitudinal traits, where it assumes subsequent records as different traits. The main disadvantage of MV model is the large computational demands it requires.

2.1 Estimates of Heritability Using 305-Day Milk Yield

Table 1 shows estimates of heritability using 305-day MY in Egypt.

Table 1. Some reviewed estimates of heritability (h^2) \pm SE of milk yield using 305-day milk yield in Holstein cows.

No	P	h^2	Reference
767	1	0.43 \pm 0.12	Khattab and Sultan (1990)
10314	1	0.25 \pm 0.042	Ashmawy and Khalil (1990)
4200	na	0.25	Kassab and Salem (1999)
460	na	0.13 \pm 0.09	El-Barbary <i>et al.</i> (1999)
1391	1-3	0.09	Abou-Bakr <i>et al.</i> (2000)
2245	na	0.05	Abdel-Salam <i>et al.</i> (2001)
9622	1-3	0.14	Abdelharith <i>et al.</i> (2002)
1163	1	0.06 \pm 0.06	Nigm <i>et al.</i> (2003)
2096	1-6	0.32	El-Arian <i>et al.</i> (2003)
1163	1	0.08 \pm 0.08	Abou-Bakr (2003)
970	1	0.09 \pm 0.7	Zahed <i>et al.</i> (2003)
5642	na	0.54	Abdel-Gelil <i>et al.</i> (2004)

No : number of record; P : parity ; na : not available