

Ain Shams University Faculty of Education Physics Department

# "Multi-particle Production at High Energy Collisions"

#### Thesis

Submitted for the Degree of Doctorate of Teacher's Preparation in Science (Physics).

### By

### **Ehab Gamal Abbas Mitwaly AbdelHady**

B.Sc. and Education, Gen. Diploma (Physics), Spec. Diploma (Physics) and M.Sc. (Physics).

### Supervised By

### Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Yasin El Bakry

Prof. of Theoretical Physics Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Mohamed Tantawy Mohamed

Lect. of Theoretical Physics Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

### Dr. Doaa Mahmoud Habashy

Lect. of Theoretical Physics Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

#### To

Physics Department - Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

2017



## **Approval**

# Title: "Multi-particle Production at High Energy Collisions"

Candidate: Ehab Gamal Abbas Mitwaly AbdelHady

Degree of Doctorate of Teacher's Preparation in Science (Physics)

# **Board of Advisors**

Approved by Signature

### 1. Prof. Dr. / M. Y. El-Bakry

**Approved** 

Physics Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University.

### 2. Dr. / M.T. Mohamed

Physics Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University.

### 3. Dr. / D. M. Habashy

Physics Department, Faculty of Sciences, Ain Shams University.

Date of presentation: / / 2016

### Post graduate studies:

Stamp:

Date of approval: / / 2017

Approval of Faculty Council: / / 2017

Approval of University Council: / / 2017

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

# Before all and above all, many thanks to Allah, the lord of all being.

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to **Prof**. **Dr.**/ *M. Y. El Bakry*, Head of Physics Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University.

The author indebted with his utmost thanks to **Prof. Dr.**/ *M. Y. El Bakry, Head of Theoretical High Energy Physics Group* for continuous supervision, valuable suggestions, encouragement and fruitful advice through this work.


Deepest gratitude to **Dr.** / **M. T. Mohamed** for his advice, valuable help and encouragement during this study and successful advice throughout this work.

The author wishes to thank **Dr.** / **D. M. Habashy** for his valuable help, support and productive guidance during this work.

The author wishes to thank **Dr. / Moaaz Moussa** for his valuable discussion, comments and encouragement during this work.

Finally, the assistance of the staff members and colleagues of theoretical high energy physics group (Mrs. Reham Abdel-Rohman, Ms. Enas Farouk, and Ms. Shaimaa Farouk) are highly appreciated.





## **Contents**

List of Figures	i
List of Tables	viii
Abbreviations	ix
Abstract	x
Summary	xi
Introduction	.xiii
Chapter 1: Basic Features of High Energy Collisions	
1-1-Introduction.	1
1-2-Strong Interaction.	2
1-3-Standard Model.	3
1-4- High Energy Physics Experiments.	6
1-5-Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP).	9
1-5-1-The Main Features of Quantum Chromodynamics	
(QCD).	9
1-5-1-New State of Matter.	11
1-6-Hadrons	12
1-7-QCD Phase Transitions.	13
1-7-1-Types of Phase Transitions.	15
1-7-2-QCD Phase Diagram.	17
1-8- System Evolution.	19
1-9- Experimental data for QGP Signals.	23
1-9-1-Strangeness Enhancement.	24
1-9-2- Direct Photons.	27
1-9-3- $j/\psi$ Suppression.	28
1-9-4- Jet Quenching.	30
1-10- Kinematic Variables.	32
Chapter 2: Review of Theoretical Models.	
2-1-Introduction.	35

2-2- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Model.	35
2-3-String Model.	38
2-4- Parton Cascade Model.	39
2-5-Color Glass Condensate Model.	40
2-6-Simulation Models for Event Generators.	41
2-6-1-PYTHIA.	41
2-6-2-HIJING.	42
2-6-3-UrQMD.	42
2-7- Lattice QCD.	44
2-8- Hydrodynamic Model.	46
2-9-Statistical Models.	50
2-9-1- History.	50
2-9-2-Thermodynamics of QGP.	54
Chapter 3: Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG) Model	
3-1- Introduction.	58
3-2- Hadronization.	59
3-3- Basics of HRG model.	61
3-3-1- Phase Space Dominance.	61
3-3-2- The Interaction Between Particles.	63
3-3-2-i-Repulsive Force.	63
3-3-2-ii-Attractive Force.	63
3-3-3-Conservation laws.	65
3-4- Main Framework.	66
3-4-1-Thermodynamics of Multi-Particle System.	67
3-4-2 Chemical Potentials.	69
3-4-3-Resonance Decay.	71
3-4-3-i-Strong Decay.	71
3-4-3-ii-Weak Decay.	74
3-5- Details of HRG Model.	75
3-5-1-Degree of non-Chemical equilibrium.	76
3-5-2-Hadrons Excluded Volume Correction.	78

<ul> <li>3-5-3-System Size of Fireball.</li> <li>3-5-4- Hadron mass spectra and Hagedorn states</li> <li>3-5-5-Particle Decay Width.</li> <li>3-6-Model Versions (SHARE,THERMUS and THERMINATOR).</li> <li>3-6-1-Our Version.</li> </ul>	80 83 85 86 88
Chapter 4: HRG Calculations of Particle Ratios for Au-Au Collisions	
4-1-Introduction.	89
4-2- Experimental data for particle ratios for Au-Au at	03
different Energies.	89
4-3- Full Chemical equilibrium case in the framework of	
HRG model.	91
4-3-1-Effects of Excluded-Volume Correction(EVC)	96
4-3-2-Effects of Including New Resonances	97
4-3-3-Energy Dependence of the Freeze-out Parameters.	98
4-3-4-Centrality dependence of chemical freeze-out	
parameters.	100
4-3-5-Comparing Results with Other versions of HRG	
Model.	103
4-4- Partial Chemical equilibrium case using of HRG model.	104
4-4-1 Extracting The Chemical Freeze-out Parameters.	104
4-5- Comparison between Full and Partial Chemical	
equilibrium case.	108
4-6- Freeze-out insights from $\Omega/\pi^-$ and $\varphi/\pi^-$ ratios.	113
Chapter 5: Theoretical study of Chemical Freeze-out Condition	ions
using HRG Model	
5-1- Introduction.	117
5-2-Stability and Validity of Chemical Freeze-out Conditions.	118
5-2-1-Average Energy per Particle Produced.	119
5-2-2-Baryon and antibaryon Particle Density.	121

5-2-3-Fireball Normalized Entropy Density.	123
5-2-4-Entropy per Particle Produced.	126
5-2-5-Fireball Trace Anomaly.	128
5-3-Theoretical Interrelations Among Chemical Freeze-out	
Conditions.	131
Conclusions	135
List of Publications	140
References	141
Arabic summary	



# **List of Figures**

Fig.(1.1):	ALICE experiment <sup>[25]</sup> .	8
Fig.(1.2):	Left Panel; summary of measurements of $\alpha_s$ as a	
	function of the respective energy scale Q along with	
	QCD perturbation theory <sup>[30]</sup> . Right Panel; The quark-	
	quark potential as a function of the distance between	
	the quarks calculated from lattice QCD where <b>a</b> denotes	
	the lattice constant. The points show Monte Carlo (MC)	
	data, and the corresponding fit <sup>[31]</sup> .	10
Fig.(1.3):	Normalized energy density ( $arepsilon/T^4$ ) as a function of the	
	ratio between temperature and critical temperature,	
	T/T <sub>c</sub> . The Stefan-Boltzmann limit is indicated by arrows	14
	for each of the three combinations of quark flavours	14
	considered <sup>[43]</sup> .	
	A schematic QCD phase diagram <sup>[46]</sup> .	18
Fig.(1.5):	Schematic representation of the various stages of a	19
F:- /1 C\.	heavy-ion collision <sup>[52]</sup> .	19
rig.(1.0):	Left: Two heavy ions before collision with impact parameter b. Right: The spectator nucleons remain	
	unaffected while particle production takes place in the	
	participants zone <sup>[53]</sup> .	20
Fig.(1.7):	A lightcone diagram of a collision for a case (a) without a	
8.(=., /.	QGP and (b) with a QGP where horizontal axis	
	represents the time and vertical axis represents the	
	beam direction. $T_{fo}$ is an abbreviation for thermal and	
	$T_{ch}$ for chemical freeze-out. Hadronization starts at $T_{c}$ ,	22
	the critical temperature <sup>[57]</sup> .	23
Fig.(1.8):	Strangeness enhancements in Pb-Pb collisions at $E_{lab}$ =	
	160 GeV compared to p-Be and p-Pb seen at WA97	
	(closed symbols) and NA57 (open symbols) as a function	
	of the number of wounded nucleons <sup>[62]</sup> .	26
Fig.(1.9):	Strangeness enhancements in the rapidity range $ y  <$	
	0.5 as a function of the mean number of participants	
	$<$ N <sub>part</sub> $>$ , showing ALICE <sup>[63]</sup> (full symbols) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =2.76	
	TeV, RHIC and SPS (open symbols) data <sup>[64]</sup> . The line	