



Shear Behavior of Structural Lightweight Concrete Beams

A Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University for the partial Fulfillment
of the Requirement of M.Sc. Degree
In Civil Engineering

Prepared by
Eng. Ashraf Freeg Saad Ragab
B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, June 2010
Higher Institute of Engineering – El Shorouk Academy

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussein Hosny khalil
Professor of structural engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, EGYPT

Ass. Prof. Sherif Kamal Elwan
Associate Professor, Structural Engineering Department
Higher Institute of Engineering – El Shorouk Academy, Cairo, EGYPT

2017



Shear Behavior of Structural Lightweight Concrete Beams

A Thesis For
The M.Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering
(STRUCTURE ENGINEERING)

By
Eng. Ashraf Freeg Saad Ragab

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, June 2010
Higher Institute of Engineering – El Shorouk Academy

THESIS APPROVAL

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

SIGNATURE

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Abo Zied Tarkhan

Prof. Dr. Amr Hussien Zaher

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussien Hosny Khalil

Date: / /2017

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Structure Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, from 2013 to 2017.

No part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

Date: - / / 2017

Signature: - -----

Name: - Ashraf Freeg Saad Ragab

ABSTRACT

This research program was conducted to investigate the shear behavior of structural lightweight concrete beams subjected to two-point load. For this purpose, a finite element model using the computer package ANSYS Ver.10 was developed, verified, and implemented in an extensive parametric and analytical study.

All the necessary steps to create the models which are prepared to investigate the behavior of structural lightweight concrete beams in shear. The experimental results for shear of structural LWC beams presented in the literature were used to verify the analytical study. The experimental results were compared with finite element results to verify the accuracy of finite element models. All beams had a rectangular cross section of 150 mm wide and 300 mm total depth. The beam effective depth was set to 275 mm. The clear span of the tested beams was fixed for all beams to be 2000 mm but the total length of beams was 2300 mm. All beams were tested under two-point load.

A total of twenty-four beams (all beams are simply supported) were analyzed. The analyzed beams were chosen to investigate the effect of various parameters including using structural lightweight concrete instead of ordinary concrete, variation of shear reinforcement, and variation of cross section of the beams. The breadth, depth, and length of the analyzed beams were 150, 300, and 2000 mm, respectively. Based on the analysis of results obtained from finite element modelling of simply supported reinforced-concrete beams, several conclusions are drawn as follow.

Insignificant enhancement in the ultimate load occurred when using structural lightweight concrete instead of ordinary concrete. Providing longitudinal shear reinforcement in reinforced concrete

beam increases its ultimate capacity in shear. Using longitudinal shear reinforcement instead of stirrups reduces its ultimate capacity in shear. Increasing in the ultimate load occurred when using flanged section instead of R-section. Reduction in the stiffness occurred when using lightweight concrete instead of ordinary concrete. Providing longitudinal shear reinforcement in reinforced concrete beam increases the stiffness of beams. Using longitudinal shear reinforcement instead of stirrups has minor effect on the stiffness of beams. Increasing in the stiffness of beams occurred when using flanged section instead of R-section.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank ALLAH for his blessings all the way till I finished this research and throughout my life.

I wish to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to my supervisors for their great effort in solving all the problems during this research and for their valuable advice and encouragement,

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussein Hosny khalil

Ass. Prof. Sherif Kamal Elwan

In addition, I would like to thank my colleagues for their help and advice during this research.

Finally, but of great importance, I wish to express all the meanings of love, gratitude and appreciation to my family (my father, my mother, my wife, my brother and my sister) for their support and continuous prayers for me till finishing this thesis.

Ashraf Freeg Saad Ragab

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
CONTENTS.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS.....	xvi
Chapter 1	1
1.1 General.....	2
1.2 Research Objectives	3
1.3 Thesis Outline	3
Chapter 2	5
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Historical Background of Lightweight Concrete.....	7
2.3 Technical Definition of Lightweight Concrete.....	8
2.4 Classification of Lightweight Aggregates (LWA).....	9
2.5 Classification of Lightweight Concretes (LWC) [26]	9
2.6 Innovative Mix Design for Lightweight Concrete	10
2.7 Comparison of Foamed Concrete and Normal Concrete	12
2.8 Modulus of Elasticity and Stress-Strain Relationships	12
2.9 Compressive Strength	16
2.10 Tensile Strength.....	18
2.11 Previous Research on the Shear Behavior of LWC Beams	20
2.11.1 Experimental Researches	20
2.11.2 Theoretical Researches	29
2.12 Finite Element Method	34
2.13 Need for the Current Research	34
Chapter 3	35
3. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS	35
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 Ansys Program Description.....	37
3.3 Non-linear Behavior in ANSYS Program	37
3.4 Structural Element Types.....	37

3.4.1 SOLID 65	37
3.4.2 LINK8.....	38
3.4.3 SOLID45	39
3.5 Modeling of Reinforcement	40
3.6 Sensitivity Study	42
3.6.1 Introduction	42
3.6.2 Shear Transfer Coefficient	42
3.6.3 Tensile Strength.....	43
3.6.4 Modulus of Elasticity	43
3.6.5 Failure Criteria For Concrete.....	44
3.7 F. E. Model Verification Using Experimental Data	47
3.7.1 Introduction	47
3.7.2 Element Type	50
3.7.3 Real Constants	50
3.7.4 Material Properties	51
3.7.5 Modeling and Meshing	58
3.7.6 Loading and Boundary Conditions	60
3.7.7 Nonlinear Solution and Failure Criteria	61
3.7.8 Verification of the Proposed Finite Element Model	62
Chapter 4.....	68
4. Parametric Study.....	68
4.1 Introduction	69
4.2 Description of The Analyzed Beams	69
4.3 Material Properties	71
4.4 Investigated Parameters.....	71
4.5 Determination of Reinforcement.....	72
4.6Results and Discussion	74
4.6.1 Crack Patterns and Modes of Failure.....	74
4.6.1.1 Mechanism of Cracking in ANSYS.....	74
4.6.1.2 Crack Patterns	77
4.6.1.3 Cracking Loads	81
4.6.2 Loads at Failure.....	82
4.6.2.1 General	82
4.6.2.2 Effect of Using LWC	83
4.6.2.3 Effect of Variation of Shear Reinforcement	83
4.6.2.4 Effect of Cross Section	83
4.6.3 Deflection at Failure	84
4.6.3.1 General	84
4.6.3.2 Effect of Using LWC	84
4.6.3.3 Effect of Variation of Shear Reinforcement	85
4.6.3.4 Effect of Cross Section	85

4.6.4 Load-Deflection Curves.....	92
4.6.4.1 General.....	92
4.6.4.2 Effect of Using LWC	92
4.6.4.3 Effect of Variation of Shear Reinforcement	94
4.6.4.4 Effect of Cross Section.....	97
4.6.5 Stress in Reinforcement	98
Chapter 5.....	105
5.ANALYTICAL STUDY	105
5.1 Introduction	106
5.2 ACI 318-14.....	106
5.3 EUROCODE	109
5.4 Recommendation for Egyptian Code.....	112
Chapter 6.....	113
6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	113
6.1 Summary	114
6.2 Conclusions	114
6.3 Suggestions for Future Research.....	117
REFERENCES.....	118

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (2.1) – Approximate unit weight and use classification of lightweight aggregate concretes [27].....	9
Figure (2.2) – Bi-linear stress-strain diagram for cross-section design DIN 4219 T.2 [45]	15
Figure (2.3) – The obtained stress-strain curve for foam balls lightweight concrete cube [1]	16
Figure (2.4) – Relationship between mix density and 28 day cube compressive strength [1]	18
Figure (2.5) – Types of tension tests	19
Figure (2.6) – Relationship between the splitting tensile strength and the cube compressive strength of FBLWC [1]	20
Figure (3.1) – A schematic of the element [25]	38
Figure (3.2) – LINK8 geometry [25]	39
Figure (3.3) – SOLID45 geometry [25]	40
Figure (3.4) – Models of reinforcement (a) discrete; (b) embedded; and (c) smeared [26]	41
Figure (3.5) – Numerical load versus different values of shear transfer coefficient for open crack	43
Figure (3.6) – Numerical load versus modulus of elasticity	44
Figure (3.7) – 3-D failure surface for concrete [25]	46
Figure (3.8) – Reinforcement and concrete dimensions of beams tested under shear (B1, B2, B3, and B4)	48
Figure (3.9) – Reinforcement and concrete dimensions of beams tested under shear (B5, B6, B7, and B8)	49
Figure (3.10) – Simplified compressive uniaxial stress-strain curve for concrete [37]	55
Figure (3.11) – Stress-strain curve for steel reinforcement	57
Figure (3.12) – Element connectivity [29]	58
Figure (3.13) – Geometric mesh of concrete, steel plate, steel support	59
Figure (3.14) – Reinforcement configuration for (B1)	59
Figure (3.15) – Reinforcement configuration for (B2), (B3)	60
Figure (3.16) – Model of boundary condition and loading	61
Figure (3.17) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B1 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	64

Figure (3.18) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B2 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	64
Figure (3.19) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B3 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	65
Figure (3.20) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B4 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	65
Figure (3.21) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B5 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	66
Figure (3.22) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B6 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	66
Figure (3.23) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B7 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	67
Figure (3.24) – Experimental and numerical load versus mid span deflection plots of B8 tested by Wessam Antar et al. [11]	67
Figure (4.1) – Cross-section for all the tested beams	71
Figure (4.2) – Integration points in concrete solid element, Kachlakev [29]	76
Figure (4.3) – Cracking sign, Kachlakev [29]	76
Figure (4.4) – Typical cracking signs occurring in finite element models, Kachlakev [29]: (a) Flexural cracks; (b) Compressive cracks; (c) Diagonal tensile cracks	77
Figure (4.5) – Crack patterns of beams (1); (2); (3) and (4)	78
Figure (4.6) – Crack patterns of beams (5); (6); (7) and (8)	79
Figure (4.7) – Crack patterns of beams (9); (10); (11) and (12)	79
Figure (4.8) – Crack patterns of beams (13); (14); (15) and (16)	80
Figure (4.9) – Crack patterns of beams (17); (18); (19) and (20)	80
Figure (4.10) – Crack patterns of beams (21); (22); (23) and (24)	81
Figure (4.11) – Deflection at failure of beam (1)	86

Figure (4.12) – Deflection at failure of beam (2)	86
Figure (4.13) – Deflection at failure of beam (3)	86
Figure (4.14) – Deflection at failure of beam (4)	86
Figure (4.15) – Deflection at failure of beam (5)	87
Figure (4.16) – Deflection at failure of beam (6)	87
Figure (4.17) – Deflection at failure of beam (7)	87
Figure (4.18) – Deflection at failure of beam (8)	87
Figure (4.19) – Deflection at failure of beam (9)	88
Figure (4.20) – Deflection at failure of beam (10)	88
Figure (4.21) – Deflection at failure of beam (11)	88
Figure (4.22) – Deflection at failure of beam (12)	88
Figure (4.23) – Deflection at failure of beam (13)	89
Figure (4.24) – Deflection at failure of beam (14)	89
Figure (4.25) – Deflection at failure of beam (15)	89
Figure (4.26) – Deflection at failure of beam (16)	89
Figure (4.27) – Deflection at failure of beam (17)	90
Figure (4.28) – Deflection at failure of beam (18)	90
Figure (4.29) – Deflection at failure of beam (19).....	90
Figure (4.30) – Deflection at failure of beam (20)	90
Figure (4.31) – Deflection at failure of beam (21)	91
Figure (4.32) – Deflection at failure of beam (22)	91
Figure (4.33) – Deflection at failure of beam (23)	91
Figure (4.34) – Deflection at failure of beam (24)	91

Figure (4.35) – Load-deflection curve of Rec. Sec. beams (Group1)	93
Figure (4.36) – Load-deflection curve of T Sec. beams (Group2)	93
Figure (4.37) – Load-deflection curve of L Sec. beams (Group3)	94
Figure (4.38) – Load-deflection curve of Rec. Sec. beams with stirrups	95
Figure (4.39) – Load-deflection curve of T Sec. beams with stirrups	96
Figure (4.40) – Load-deflection curve of L Sec. beams with stirrups	97
Figure (4.41) – Load-deflection curve of beams (1, 5, 9, 13, 17 and 21)	98
Figure (4.42) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (1)	98
Figure (4.43) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (2)	99
Figure (4.44) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (3)	99
Figure (4.45) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (4)	99
Figure (4.46) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (5)	99
Figure (4.47) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (6)	100
Figure (4.48) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (7)	100
Figure (4.49) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (8)	100
Figure (4.50) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (9)	100
Figure (4.51) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (10)	101
Figure (4.52) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (11)	101
Figure (4.53) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (12)	101
Figure (4.54) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (13)	101
Figure (4.55) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (14)	102
Figure (4.56) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (15)	102
Figure (4.57) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (16)	102
Figure (4.58) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (17)	102
Figure (4.59) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (18)	103

Figure (4.60) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (19)	103
Figure (4.61) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (20)	103
Figure (4.62) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (21)	103
Figure (4.63) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (22)	104
Figure (4.64) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (23)	104
Figure (4.65) – Stress in reinforcement of beam (24)	104
Figure (5.1) – Comparison among failure loads	112

LIST OF TABLES

Table (2.1) Mix proportions/m3 for the LWC	12
Table (2.2) Characteristics of foamed concrete and normal concrete	12
Table (3.1) Details of beams tested under shear	47
Table (3.2) Real constant for beams (steel reinforcement) -	51
Table (3.3) Linear isotropic material properties for LWC model	53
Table (3.4) Linear isotropic material properties for O.C. model	53
Table (3.5) Nonlinear material properties for concrete model	54
Table (3.6) Multi-linear isotropic material properties for LWC model	54
Table (3.7) Multi-linear isotropic material properties for O.C. model	55
Table (3.8) Linear isotropic material properties for long. RFT	56
Table (3.9) Bilinear isotropic material properties for long. RFT	56
Table (3.10) Linear isotropic material properties for stirrups	57
Table (3.11) Bilinear isotropic material properties for stirrups	57
Table (3.12) Linear isotropic material properties for steel plate	57
Table (3.13) Nonlinear analysis	62
Table (3.14) Comparison between experimental and finite element failure loads ..	63
Table (4.1) Description of the analyzed beams	70
Table (4.2) The cracking load of all modeled beams	82
Table (4.3) The failure load of all modeled beams	84
Table (6.1) The failure and analytical load of all modeled beams (ACI 318-14)	108
Table (6.2) The failure and analytical load of all modeled beams (EUROCODE)	111