



Ain Shams University

Faculty of Engineering

Position Control of Pneumatic Actuators Using Pulse Width Modulation Technique

Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

For Partial Fulfillment of the Degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Power Engineering

By:

Sherif Adel Mohamed Abdallah Elbelady

Supervised By:

Prof. Abdulaziz Morgan Abdulaziz

Dr. Hany El said Fawaz

January 2017



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering

Position Control of Pneumatic Actuators Using Pulse Width Modulation Technique

By

Sherif Adel Mohamed Abdallah Elbelady

B.Sc. Mechanical Engineering
Military Technical College

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Name	signature
Prof. Mohamed Abo Elella Abdellatif
Prof. Taher Gamal-Eldeen Abu-El-Yazied
Prof. Abdulaziz Morgan Abdulaziz

Date: .../.../....

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the M.Sc. Degree in Mechanical power engineering. The work included in this thesis has been carried out by the author in mechanical power engineering department, Ain Shams University. No part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at other university or institute.

Signature:

Name : Sherif Adel Mohamed Abdallah Elbelady

Date : / 1 / 2017

Research Data

Name of Researcher : Sherif Adel Mohamed Abdallah Elbelady
Date of Birth : July, 26th 1978
Place of Birth : Egypt
Nationality : Egyptian
University degree : B.Sc. Mechanical Engineering
Department : Mechanical Power Department
University : Military Technical College
Date of Degree : June 2001
Current Job : Engineering Officer in Egyptian Forces

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

- I thank the almighty ALLAH for his mercy and grace, which enabled me to complete this work.
- Also, I wish to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to Prof. Abdulaziz Morgan, for providing me moral, psychological and scientific support. Also, he gives me all the necessary facilities. I am extremely grateful and indebted to him for his patience, valuable guidance and encouragement extended to me.
- I would like to express my deep appreciation to Dr. Hany El-said Fawaz for editing corrections for the thesis. I thank him for his effort, concern and time he spent in helping me.
- I appreciate all the faculty members of the department of mechanical engineering staff for their help and cooperation.
- I thank my parents for their unceasing encouragement and support.
- Last but not least, my sincere gratitude to my family specially, my wife, my son and my girls, for their continuous support and encouragement.
- I am also grateful to all who, directly or indirectly, have lent helping hand in this research.

Sherif Adel Mohamed Abdallah Elbelady

2017

ABSTRACT

Experimental and theoretical study is carried out to control the position of a pneumatic cylinder using online Self-Tuning PID controller (denoted as STNPID controller) based on neural network with back propagation scheme.

The inputs to the STNPID are the tracking error, set point and control action, while the outputs of the neural network are the optimized gains k_p , k_i and k_d .

The STNPID uses neural networks for online tuning of the PID controller gains depending on the environmental and system requirements in order to make the nonlinear system unaffected by the unpredictability of system's parameters and disturbances such as noise.

High speed digital control valves (operating at frequencies up to 150 Hz) are implemented to accurately control the position of the cylinder piston using Pulse Width Modulation (denoted as PWM) technique.

Results show that the STNPID controller is able to track both constant and variable set point trajectories efficiently by the pneumatic actuator system.

Comparison of the results of STNPID with the conventional PID controller shows that the self-tuning of the PID gains can cope with the nonlinearity of the pneumatic system which is incompatible with the linear behavior of the conventional PID controller. The tracking response for STNPID controller is enhanced with less overshoot and less steady state errors compared to the conventional PID. For square wave, the maximum overshoot is improved from 18.83 % for PID to 2.67 % for STNPID. The steady state error is also improved from 9.42 % to 2.67 %.

The oscillation behavior for the sinusoidal response of the conventional PID controller is damped by the STNPID controller and better response is observed. Also, the actuator acts smoothly and uniformly compared to the conventional PID controller with less oscillatory actuator response.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.Problem Definition	1
1.2.Importance	1
1.3.Brief about previous work	2
1.4.Thesis overview	2
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1.Pneumatic Models	4
2.2.Linearity and Nonlinearity Control Review	5
2.3.Control Techniques	6
2.3.1. PI Control	6
2.3.2. PD control	6
2.3.3. PID control	7
2.3.4. Microprocessor-Based Control	7
2.4.Optimization Techniques	7
2.4.1. Neuro-fuzzy	7
2.4.2. Auto-Tuning Methods	8
2.4.3. Online tuning	10
2.4.4. Neural Optimization Control	10
2.4.5. Fuzzy logic optimization control	11
2.5.PWM Technique	11

3. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK CONTROLLER	13
3.1. Notion about Neural Network	13
3.2. PID Controller	14
3.3. Artificial Neural Network Architecture	14
3.4. The Gradient Decent Method	18
3.5. Back Propagation Demonstration	19
3.6. Scheme of Neural Networks Controller	22
4. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF PNEUMATIC ACTUATOR	23
4.1. Pressure equation:	23
4.2. Temperature equation	25
4.3. Mass Flow Rate equation	25
4.4. The Principles of Actuator Forces balance	29
4.5. Solution of Differential Equation	30
5. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP	33
5.1. Test Rig Construction	33
5.2. Controller software programming	35
6. RESULT AND DISCUSSION	37
6.1. Model and Experimental STNPID Response Comparison	37
6.2. Experimental Response for PID and STNPID Controllers	38
6.2.1. The comparison of square reference wave	38
6.2.2. The comparison of sinusoidal reference wave	43
7. Conclusion	46
REFERENCES	47

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1 High Speed Solenoid Valve Construction	2
Fig. 3.1 STNPID Controller Layout	15
Fig. 3.2 Single Input Neuron	16
Fig. 3.3 Multiple Input Neuron	16
Fig. 3.4 Three layers of the neural networks	17
Fig. 3.5 Sigmoid Function	18
Fig. 4.1 Cylinder Layout.	23
Fig. 4.2 Nozzle Layout	25
Fig. 4.3 Critical Pressure	27
Fig. 4.4 Friction vs applied forces	30
Fig. 5.1 Photograph of the experimental test rig	33
Fig. 5.2 Schematic diagram of the experimental test rig	34
Fig. 5.3 PWM Circuit	35
Fig. 6.1 Comparison between Model and Experimental Responses	37
Fig. 6.2 Comparison between Model and Experimental Responses	38
Fig. 6.3 Comparison of Square Reference Wave Response	39
Fig. 6.4 Square Wave at $K_p=2$, $K_i=0.1$, $K_d=0.001$	40
Fig. 6.5 Square Wave at $K_p=2$, $K_i=1$, $K_d=0.05$	41
Fig. 6.6 Square Wave at $K_p=3$, $K_i=0.25$, $K_d=0.0005$	41
Fig. 6.7 Square Wave at $K_p=5$, $K_i=0.1$, $K_d=0.001$	42
Fig. 6.8 Square Wave at $K_p=5$, $K_i=0.5$, $K_d=0.001$	42
Fig. 6.9 Sinusoidal Wave at $K_p=4$, $K_i=0.5$, $K_d=0.2$	43

Fig.6.10 Sinusoidal Wave at $K_p=8$, $K_i=0.1$, $K_d=0.001$	44
Fig.6.11 Sinusoidal Wave at $K_p=8$, $K_i=1$, $K_d=0.05$	44

LIST OF TABLES

Table 6.1 gains values for square reference wave	39
Table 6.2 gains values for sinusoidal reference wave	43

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Cylinder area
A_{cr}	Right side cylinder area
A_{cl}	Left cylinder area
A_s	Stem area
a	Acceleration
a_v	Valve area
c	Sonic speed
c₁	Speed of sound in air at nozzle inlet
c₂	Speed of sound in air at nozzle throat
C_d	Coefficient of discharge
C_p	Specific heat at constant pressure
C_v	Specific heat at constant volume
d	Exact differentials
E_{sys}	System energy
e	Error
e_{rms}	Root mean square error
F	Force
F_e	Equivalent force
F_{ext}	External forces
f	Sigmoid function
F_f	Static friction force
F_v	Coefficient of viscous friction
H	Enthalpy
h	Step size
h_i	Inlet specific enthalpy
h_o	Outlet specific enthalpy
J	Cost function

k_d	Derivative gain
k_i	Integral gain
k_p	Proportional gain
k_{pr}	Runge kutta right pressure coefficient
k_{pl}	Runge kutta left pressure coefficient
k_{Tr}	Runge kutta right temperature coefficient
k_{Tl}	Runge kutta left temperature coefficient
k_v	Runge kutta velocity coefficient
k_y	Runge kutta displacement coefficient
L	Work distance
M	Mach number
m	Mass
m_g	Mass of the gas
m_p	Piston mass.
m_{vl}	Left valve mass flow rate
m_{vr}	Right valve mass flow rate
N	Number of wave cycles
net_x	Input given by STNPID controller
o	Neuron output
p_1	Inlet flow pressure.
p_2	Outlet flow pressure
P_{atm}	Atmospheric pressure
P_b	Back pressure
P_c	Cylinder pressure
P_{cl}	Left side cylinder pressure
P_{cr}	Right side cylinder pressure
P_{crt}	Critical pressure
P_d	Downstream pressure

P_s	Supply pressure
P_{th}	Throat pressure
P_u	Upstream pressure
Q	Heat
r	Three inputs matrix
R	Gas constant
t	Time
T	Air temperature
T₁	Inlet flow temperature
T₂	Outlet flow temperature
T_b	Back temperature
T_{cl}	Left cylinder temperature
T_{cr}	Right cylinder temperature
T_{crt}	Critical temperature
T_d	Derivative time in seconds
T_i	Integral time in seconds
T_{th}	Throat temperature
T_{ur}	Right upstream temperature
T_{ul}	Left upstream temperature
U	Internal energy
u(t)	Control action
u₁	Inlet flow velocity
u₂	Outlet flow velocity
V	Volume
v_p	Piston velocity
Δw	Increment of the connection weight

w_{ji}	Connection weights from the input neuron i at the input layer to the neuron at the hidden layer
w_{kj}	Connection weights from the hidden neuron j at the input layer to the neuron at the output layer
y_a	Actual value
y_c	Actual actuator position
y_d	Desired value
y_{\max}	Maximum position

GREEK SYMBOLS

α	Momentum term
γ	Ratio of specific heats
δ	Back propagating error
η	Learning rate
θ	Bias
v	Mean fluid velocity
v_1	Inlet velocity
v_2	Output velocity
ρ_1	Upstream density
ρ_2	Downstream density
Σ	Sigma