Overminus Spectacles versus Part-Time Occlusion for Control of Intermittent Exotropia among Children

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Ophthalmology

By

Merna Gamal Ahmed Abdelfatah

M.B,B.C.h, Ain Shams University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Abdel Rahman Gaber Salman

Professor of Ophthalmology
Faculty of medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Taha Ismail

Lecturer of Ophthalmology
Faculty of medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Marwa Ebrahim ElSebaay

Lecturer of Ophthalmology
Faculty of medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of medicine
Ain Shams University
2018



سورة طه الآيه رقم ١١٤



Acknowledgement

It is a great thing to feel success and have the pride to of achieving all what is always aspired. Nevertheless, one must not forget those who usually help and push onto the most right ways that inevitably ends with fulfillment and perfectionism.

First of all, I am grateful to The Almighty God who enabled me to complete my thesis project.

I revere the patronage and moral support extended with love, by my parents and sisters whose support and passionate encouragement made it possible for me to complete this project.

When it comes to thank all those respectful people, I soon mention **Prof. Dr. Abdel Rahman Gaber,** Professor of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University who gave me the honor to be his student and was always there for precious advice and guidance.

The great gratitude surely given to the most co-operative, encouraging and supportive staff ever, **Dr. Ahmad Taha Ismail,** Lecturer of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University, he was always there to give the very constructive pieces of advice in every possible way throughout the work.

Special thankfulness is given to, **Dr. Marwa Ebrahim Elsebaay**, Lecturer of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University for her kind support.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to **Dr. Hesham Khattab** and **Dr. Ahmed Abo El Enen**, Consultants of Ophthalmology, Military hospitals. I am extremely grateful and indebted to them for their expert, sincere and valuable guidance and support extended to me.



List of contents

	Pages
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of work	5
Review of literature	6
Patients and methods	46
Results	60
Discussion	80
Conclusion	91
Summary	92
References	94
Arabic summary	

List of Abbreviations

AC/A : Accommodative convergence/

accommodation

ARC : Anomalous retinal correspondence

BLR : Bilateral lateral rectus BMR : Bilateral medial rectus

CI : Convergence insufficiency

CSM : Central, steady and maintained

D : Diopter

Dcc : Distance angle with correction

DE : Divergence excess
DS : Diopter sphere

Dsc : Distance angle without correction

ET : Esotropia H : Hour

IDEX : Intermittent distant exotropia

IO : Inferior oblique IR : Inferior rectus LR : Lateral rectus mm : Millimeter MR : Medial rectus

NA : Not applicableNcc : Near angle with correction

NCS : New castle control score

NRC : Normal retinal correspondance Nsc : Near angle without correction

OD : Right eye
OS : Left eye
OU : Both eyes

PACT : Prism alternate cover test

PD : Prism diopter

List of Abbreviations (Cont.)

PTO : Part-time occlusion
ScPh : Scobee phenomenon
SE : Spherical equivalent
SO : Superior oblique
SR : Superior rectus

TFC : Tonic fusional convergenceTPC : Tonic proximal convergenceTPF : Tenacious proximal fusion

VA : Visual acuity X : Exophoria

X(T) : Intermittent exotropiaXT : Constant exotropia

List of tables

Table	Title	Page
1	Phases of exodeviation	8
2	Burian Vs. Kushner classification of	13
	X(T)	
3	Classification of control of X(T)	23
4	The use of the NCS in the management of $X(T)$	40
5	Demographic data and mean values of the patients	61
6	Compliance of patients between both treatment modalities (overminus spectacle versus PTO groups)	62
7	Comparison between the Office Control Score in the three groups before treatment, in the 4 th month and in the 6 th month	68
8	Comparison between the mean pretreatment near angle between the three groups	69
9	Comparison between the mean distance angle (pretreatment, at the 4 th and 6 th month follow up) between the three groups	71
10	Correlation between compliance of the patients and their control score	79

List of figures

Fig.	Title	Page
1	Kushner's classification of X(T)	17
2	Management of X(T)	27
3	Shows Nsc 25 PD poor control score 5	50
4	Shows orthophoric for near with good	50
	control in the first month	
5	Shows orthophoric for near with good	50
	control in the second month	
6	shows Nsc around 35 PD with good	51
	control, score 2	
7	shows Ncc almost 15 PD with good	51
	control, score 2 at the 3 rd month	
8	shows Nsc almost 10PD with good	51
	control, score 2 at the 4 th month	
9	shows Ncc almost orthophoric with good	52
	control, score 1 at the 4 th month	
10	shows Dsc around 25 PD with poor	52
	control, score 4 at the 6 th month	
11	shows Nsc 35 PD with poor control	53
	score 4	
12	shows Ncc 15 PD at the 4 th month with	53
	fair control score 2	
13	shows Nsc 15D at the 6 th month with fair	53
	control score 2	
14	shows Nsc 35 PD with poor control	55
	score 4	
15	shows the child almost orthophoric for	55
	near after one month of alternate PTO	
4.5	with good control score 2	
16	shows Nsc which is almost orthophoric	55
	after 3 months of PTO therapy with	
	good control score 1	

List of figures (Cont.)

Fig.	Title	Page
17	shows Nsc after stoppage of treatment	55
	25PD with fair control score score 3	
18	shows Nsc 30 PD with fair control score	56
	3	
19	shows Ncc 15 PD in the 4 th month	56
	follow up visit with fair control score 2	
20	shows Nsc for near after stopping	56
	treatment for 2 months 30 PD with fair	
	control score 3	
21	Comparison between compliance of	62
	patients with overminus spectacle	
	therapy and PTO therapy	
22	The mean Office Control Score	65
	pretreatment, in the 4 th month and in the	
	6 th month showing a significant	
	improvement in the 4 th month then	
22	significant worsening in the 6 th month	
23	The mean Office Control Score	66
	pretreatment, in the 4 th month and in the	
	6 th month showing a significant	
	improvement in the 4 th month and then	
2.4	significant worsening in the 6 th month	
24	Comparison between pretreatment score,	66
	in the 4 th month and in the 6 th month	
	visit in the observation group showing	
	almost no significant change through the	
25	6 months	<i>(</i> 7
25	Comparison between the Office Control	67
	Score in the three groups	

List of figures (Cont.)

Fig.	Title	Page
26	Comparison between the Office Control Score in the three groups before treatment, in the 4 th month and in the 6 th month	68
27	Comparison between the mean pretreatment near angle between the three groups	70
28	Comparison between the mean pretreatment near angle between the three groups	70
29	Comparison between the mean distance angle (pretreatment, at the 4^{th} and 6^{th} month follow up) between the three groups	71
30	Comparison between the mean distance angle (are treatment , at the 4 th and 6 th follow ups) between the three groups	72
31	Comparison between the Near angle between the three follow up visits in the observation group	73
32	Comparison between the Distance angle between the three follow up visits in the observation group	74
33	Comparison between the near angle between the three follow up visits in the PTO group	75
34	Comparison between the Distance angle between the three follow up visits in the PTO group	76
35	Comparison between the near angle between the three follow up visits in the overminus group	77

List of figures (Cont.)

Fig.	Title	Page
36	Comparison between the distance angle	78
	between the three follow up visits in the	
	overminus group	

Abstracts

Purpose: To compare the short-term effectiveness of overminus spectacles versus part-time occlusion (PTO) in improving control of intermittent exotropia X(T).

Design: A clinical, randomized, prospective, pilot study.

Participants: A total of 60 children, from 2 to 10 years with X(T).

Their distance office control score started from 2 or worse.

Methods: Children were randomly assigned to overminus spectacles, PTO therapy and observation for 4 months followed by 2 months without treatment.

Results: The mean distance exotropia control score after 4 months of treatment improved significantly in the overminus spectacle and the PTO groups from (3.9 vs. 3.9) to (2.0 vs. 2.7) respectively. After stoppage of treatment for 2 months, the score deteriorated significantly to (3.3 and 3) respectively. The Observation group's score showed non-significant change all through the study period.

There was a significant improvement in both mean near and distance angles only in the overminus spectacle group after 4 months of treatment and a significant deterioration after 2 months without treatment. Both near and distance angles showed non-significant change in both PTO and observation groups all through the study period. There was also a significant correlation between the poor compliance of the patients and their deterioration in the PTO group.

Conclusion: Overminus spectacle therapy is proved to be more effective in control of X(T) than PTO therapy. Poor compliance in PTO therapy affects the treatment effectiveness. It's suggested to work on a protocol of treatment cessation in further studies.

Key words: Intermittent Exotropia, Overcorrecting minus spectacles, Part-time occlusion

Introduction

Exodeviation is an outward deviation of the eve certain obstacles to development maintenance of binocular vision or due to defective action of the medial rectus (MR) muscle. It maybe primary, secondary (associated with poor vision), or consecutive (may follow an esotropia (ET) with time or after surgical correction). There are several descriptive terminologies used in exodeviation. According to fusion control, exodeviation could be latent or exophoria(X); being asymptomatic as long as fusion is maintained with symptoms of asthenopia, blurring, photalgia (closing one eye in bright light) or even diplopia when decompensated or *constant exotropia (XT)*; being present all the time or *intermittent exotropia*"X(T)"; ranging according to ease of dissociation, where large X intermittently breaks down to an XT. (Wright and Ning, 2012; Alastair and Philip, 2014)

Intermittent exotropia is the most common type of exodeviation. It is a large X that intermittently breaks down to an XT. Patients with late onset X(T) during late childhood or adulthood may experience diplopia when tropic. Approximately 80% of X(T) patients will show progressive loss of fusional control and an increase in the X(T) over several months to years. (Wright and Ning, 2012; Alastair and Philip, 2014).

Intermittent exotropia maybe classified based on the difference between prism alternate cover test (PACT) measurements for distance and for near into (Suh et al., 2006)

• *Basic X(T)*: It is present when the exodeviation is approximately the same or within 10 PD for distance and near fixation.(*Suh et al.*,2006; Louis *et al.*,2015).

- Convergence insufficiency (CI) X(T): It is present when the exodeviation is more than 10 PD greater for near than for distance.(Alastair and Philip, 2014; Louis et al., 2015)
- Intermittent distance exotropia (IDEX): It is the most common form of X(T). Patients initially have larger deviations for distances than for near fixation. IDEX is subclassified into either:(Louis et al., 2015; Huseyin et al., 2016)
- True divergence excess (DE) X(T): It is the least common form of X(T). It's present when the distance deviation is greater than the near deviation by more than 10 PD, and the deviation doesn't equalize after monocular occlusion or with +3.00DS lenses at near.(Alastair and Philip, 2014; Huseyin et al., 2016)
- **Pseudodivergence excess X(T)** in which patients initially have larger deviations at distances than at near fixation; as high accommodative convergence/ accommodation (AC/A) ratio and this difference becomes minimal after one hour monocular occlusion or with +3.00DS lenses at near.(Suh et al., 2006;Louis et al., 2015)

Assessment of the patient's control of X(T) categorizes it as follows:

- *Good control:* X(T) manifests only after cover testing, and the patient resumes fusion rapidly without blinking or refixating.
- *Fair control:* X(T) manifests after fusion is disrupted by cover testing and the patient resumes fusion only after blinking or refixating.

• *Poor control:* X(T)manifests spontaneously and may remain manifest for an extended time.(Alastair *and Philip*, 2014)

Non-surgical treatment of X(T) includes the followings:

- Overminus spectacles: Overminus lens therapy involves wearing full-time spectacles that have additional minus power between 2.00 DS to 4.00 DS over the cycloplegic refractive correction aiming to stimulate accommodative convergence to control or reduce the angle of the exodeviation in X(T). It is prescribed as a primary or a temporizing treatment in young children before surgery. However, in some patients, overminus lenses alone appear to be a sufficient treatment. Overminus lense therapy is usually discontinued after 6 months in children who did not have an adequate response to this therapy, whereas, children who had an improvement in their exodeviation were often treated for much longer periods. (Wright and Ning, 2012; Louis et al., 2015; PEDIG, 2015; PEDIG, 2016)
- *Part time occlusion (PTO):* It is commonly prescribed in young children as a temporizing measure to delay potential surgery or until other forms of non-surgical treatment become feasible. It works through preservation of binocularity, reduction in the frequency and/or magnitude of the exodeviation and increase the fusional ability. Thus, it might improve the surgical results. For patients with fixation preference, PTO for the dominant eye (non-deviating) 3 to 4 hours a day. For patients without fixation preference, alternate PTO can be an effective treatment small. moderate-sized for deviations. (Caltrider and Jampolsky, 1983; Suh al.,2006; Wright and Ning,2012).