Application of Contrast Enhanced Digital Mammography in The Characterization of Breast Lesions

Thesis

Submitted for the Fulfillment of the Medical Doctorate Degree in Radiology

Investigated by: Marwa Anas Abd El-Rahman Haggag, M.Sc.

Assistant Lecturer of Radiology National Cancer Institute - Cairo University

Supervised by: Prof.Dr. Maha Hussein Helal

Professor of Radiology
National Cancer Institute - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Rasha Mohammed kamal

Professor of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Eman Godaa Farahart

Assistant Professor of Pathology National Cancer Institute - Cairo University

Dr. Rasha Wessam Abd El-Rahman

Lecturer of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

NCI Cairo University 2014

Abstrac_{*}

(Key Words: dual energy- contrast enhanced- characterization –breast lesions).

Our aim: is to assess the applications and possible indications for contrast enhanced digital mammography and its impact characterization of breast lesions. Materials and methods: 168 patients provided with an overall of 211breast lesions. Written informed consent was obtained. Contrast enhanced digital mammography CEDM was performed by using a digital mammography unit (Seno DS; GE, Buc, France) after injection of 1-1.5 ml/kg of contrast agent (omnipaque) in both CC and MLO views. Findings were correlated with the final pathological results. **Results**: The average sensitivity was slightly higher for CEDM than for MX (88.99 % versus 88.07%). CEDM had a better diagnostic accuracy mainly due to improved specificity, and better positive and negative predictive values. Conclusions: Dual-energy contrast-enhanced digital mammography as an adjunct to mammography improves diagnostic accuracy compared to mammography alone. Addition of iodinated contrast agent to mammography facilitates the characterization of equivocal breast lesions mainly in dense breasts. Also, it is useful in the clarification of equivocal lesions on conventional imaging, particularly in follow-up after breast-conservative surgery. CEDM with its ability to demonstrate both morphology and tumor enhancement could be beneficial in the assessment of treatment response, accurate lesion size evaluation and can identify multi-focal and multicentric breast cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost thanks to Allah for allowing me to begin, to go through and to complete this work.

My deepest gratitude is to **Dr**. **Maha Helal** Professor of Radiology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University and **Dr**. **Rasha Mohamed Kamal**, Professor of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University whose continuous support, meticulous supervision, valuable criticism, complete guidance and encouragement throughout this work.

My deepest thanks is to Dr. Rasha Wessam Abd El-Rahaman, lecturer of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for her support, stimulating suggestions, and encouragement helped me all the time.

I am indebted to **Dr**. **Iman Gouda Farahat** Assistant Professor of Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, whose support helped me all the time.

My deep appreciation and thanks to my professors and colleagues in the Radiology Department - National Cancer Institute- Cairo University for their extended support and encouragement.

My heartful thanks to all my family members, My Husband, my parents, and my sisters for their assistance, encouragement, patience and support through out my work.

Table of contents

		Page
1.	List of abbreviations	I
2.	List of tables	IV
3.	List of figures	V
4.	Introduction	1
	Review	
5.	Chapter (1): Epidemiology	4
	- Incidence	4
	- Risk factors	5
6.	Chapter (2): Mammography (Past &Present)	10
	- Film screen mammography and digital	11
	mammography	
	- Computer aided detection/diagnosis (CAD)	14
	- 3D Digital tomosynthesis	16
	- Assessment of mammographic images	18
	1. Masses	18
	2. Calcifications	18
	3. Densities	19
	4. Architectural distortion	20
	5. Nipple areolar complex and skin changes	20
7.	Chapter (3): Contrast enhanced digital mammography	21
	- Introduction.	21
	- Basic Concepts of the Technique.	23
	Dual-Energy Technique	24
	Temporal Subtraction Technique	24
	- Image Analysis and Interpretation	26
	- Comparison of Dual-Energy vs. Temporal	28

	- Advantages and Disadvantages of CEDM	31
	- Different clinical trials results	33
	Temporal subtraction technique studies	33
	Dual energy technique studies	38
	- Potential Clinical Applications	46
	- Pitfalls.	52
	- Future improvements.	53
8.	Chapter (4): Materials and methods	57
	- Patients	57
	- Inclusion and exclusion criteria	57
	• Inclusion criteria:	57
	• exclusion criteria	57
	- Mammography System	57
	- Full field digital mammography technique	58
	- CESM technique	58
	- Image analysis	59
	- Image interpretation	59
	- Statistical analysis	61
9.	Chapter (5): Results	63
	- Classification of detected lesions	63
	- Full field digital mammographic findings	65
	- Enhancement characteristics of the breast lesions	66
	on digital contrast enhancing mammography	
	- Evaluation of the diagnostic parameters	71
	•Level of performance of the individual	71
	diagnostic criteria	
	•Overall performance of CESM	72

10.	Chapter (6): Case presentation	74
	- Primary assessment	74
	- Post-chemotherapy cases	95
	- Postoperative cases	100
11.	Chapter (7): Discussion	104
	- Individual criteria assessment	105
	A. Contrast uptake and Intensity	106
	B. Pattern	107
	C. Mass shape and margin	108
	D. Non mass distribution	109
	- The main potential indication	109
	- Explanation of false negative (FN) cases	111
	- False positive (FP) cases	112
	- Overall assessment	113
	-Further implications	114
	- Study limitation	115
	- CESM limitation	115
	- Conclusion	115
12.	Summary	117
13.	References	120
14.	Arabic summary	

List of abbreviation

ACR American College of Radiology

AGD average glandular dose

BIRADS Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data System

BRCA Breast cancer gene

BRCA1 Breast cancer gene 1

BRCA2 Breast cancer gene2

CAD Computer-aided detection or computer-aided diagnosis

CBS Conservative breast surgery

CC Cranio-caudal

CE-MRI Contrast enhanced MRI

CE-DBT Contrast-enhanced digital breast tomo-synthesis

CEDM Contrast-enhanced digital mammography

CM Contrast medium

CT Computer tomography

Cu Copper

2D Two-dimensional

3D Three-dimensional

DBT Digital breast tomo-synthesis

DC Ductal carcinoma

DCIS Ductal carcinoma in situ

DE Dual energy

DM Digital mammography

DSM digital subtraction mammography

FFDM Full-field digital mammography

FN False negative

FP False positive

G2 Grade 2

HE High energy

HRT Hormone replacement therapy

IDC invasive duct carcinoma

ILC infiltrating lobular carcinoma

IPC invasive papillary carcinoma

ITC invasive tubular carcinoma

keV Kilo Electron Volt

kVp Kilovolts Peak

LE Low energy

LIQ Lower inner quadrant

LN Lymph node

LR-ve Negative likehood ratio

LR+ve Positive likehood ratio

mGy Milli-gray

MLO Medio-lateral oblique

MR Magnetic resonance

MRM Modified radical mastectomy

MX mammography

NPV Negative predictive value

PPV Positive predictive value

RR Relative risk

SCC Squamous cell carcinoma

SFM Screen-film mammography

Sn Selenium

TN	True negative
TP	True positive
UOQ	Upper outer quadrant
US	Ultrasound
U.S.	United states

List of Tables

Number	Title	Page
Table (1)	Maturely established risk factors for breast cancer.	7
Table (2)	More recently identified risk factors for breast cancer.	7
Table (3)	Lesions classification of our study.	64
Table (4)	The initial digital mammography identified abnormalities and their histo-pathological outcome.	66
Table (5)	The characteristics of mass enhancement of the mammography identified abnormalities in correlation with histopathology results.	69
Table (6)	The characteristics of non mass enhancement of the mammography identified abnormalities in correlation with histopathology results.	70
Table (7)	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, +ve and -ve likelihood ratios of each individual diagnostic criterion of mass lesion.	71
Table (8)	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, +ve and -ve likelihood ratios of each individual diagnostic criterion of non mass lesions and contrast uptake.	72
Table (9)	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, +ve and -ve likelihood ratios of overall performance of CEDM and FFDM.	73

<u>List of figures</u>

Number	Title	Page
Figure (1)	Estimated new cancer cases in women	5
Figure (2)	Estimated cancer deaths in women	6
Figure (3)	Egypt mortality statistics, 2001	6
Figure (4)	Schema of the technique of dual-energy CEDM examination	24
Figure (5)	Basic principle of temporal subtraction CEDM.	25
Figure (6)	Tumor in MRI and CEDM (oblique view).	29
Figure (7)	CEDM in addition to mammography.	40
Figure (8)	CEDM in addition to mammography	41

Figure (9)	CEDM versus MRI.	43
Figure (10)	CEDM versus MRI.	44
Figure (11)	CEDM detects multi-centricity	47
Figure (12)	Addition of CEDM to ultrasono-mammography	48
Figure (13)	CEDM in dense breast	51
Figure (14)	One of the CEDM pitfalls.	53
Figure (15)	Illustration of the imaging protocol of DE CE-DBT study	56
Figure (16)	Frequency of contrast uptake in a total of 211 and thier correlation with hitopathology results.	67

Figure (17)	Case Presentation 1	74
Figure (18)A&B	Case Presentation 2	75&76
Figure (19)	Case Presentation 3	77
Figure (20) A&B	Case Presentation 4	78&79
Figure (21)	Case Presentation 5	80
Figure (22)	Case Presentation 6	81
Figure (23)	Case Presentation 7	82
Figure (24)	Case Presentation 8	83

Cia (2E)	Case Presentation 9	
Figure (25)		84
	Case Presentation 10	
Figure (26)		85
Figure	Case Presentation 11	
Figure (27)		86
	Case Presentation 12	
Figure (28)		87
	Case Presentation 13	
Figure (29) A&B		88&89
	Case Presentation 14	
Figure (30)		90
	Case Presentation 15	
Figure (31)		91
	Case Presentation 16	
Figure (32)		92

	Case Presentation 17	
Figure (33)		93
Figure (34)	Case Presentation 18	94
	Case Presentation 19	
Figure (35) A&B		95&96
	Case Presentation 20(I)	
Figure (36)		97
	Case Presentation 20(II)	
Figure (37)		98
	Case Presentation 21	
Figure (38)		99
	Case Presentation 22	
Figure (39) A&B		100&101
	Case Presentation 23	
Figure (40)		102