

Preparation and evaluation of portland cement based scaffold for bone tissue engineering

A thesis submitted to

The Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine-Cairo University in partial
fulfillment of requirements of the Master Degree in Basic Dental
Biomaterials

By

Asma sharief Ghoul

B.D.S. Tripoli University

(2002)

Instructor of Dental Material Science

Tripoli University

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

2014

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Inas Sami Abdel Hamid Sami

Professor of Dental Materials

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Susan Abdul Wanees Amin Mohamed

Professor of Endodontic

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Dr.Dalia Yehia Ebrahim

Associate professor of Restorative and Dental Materials Research Department,
National Research Center (NRC)

Cairo-Egypt

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All my deepest and unlimited thanks to ALLAH, without his help I couldn't finish this work.

I would like to convey my warmest gratitude to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Inas Sami Abdel Hamid Sami**, Professor of Dental Materials Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine Cairo University, for her encouraging and supporting attitude throughout the entire period of this research. I also want to thank her for the absolute guidance, generous contribution of knowledge and experience, valuable comments and encouragement from the start until the end of my study.

I want to thank **Dr.Dalia Yehia Ebrahim** Associate professor of Restorative and Dental Materials Research Department, National Research Center, for her continuous advice and her patience; she has always been there for me, as my mentor, and trustworthy friend. All the words cannot express my appreciation for his treasured help, without her sincere help none of this work would have been accomplished.

I am also deeply grateful to **Dr. Susan Abdul Wanees Amin Mohamed** Professor of Endodontic Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for her support and help.

I want to thank **Prof .Dr. Esmat Hamzawy** Head of Glass Department Research Department, National Research Center (NRC). Who gives me the absolute guidance and without his support I didn't fish this work.

Finally, thanks are presented to head of the Dental Materials Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, **Prof .Dr. Said Saniour** for his encouraging support.

DEDICATION

To all whom I love

List of Contents

Nomenclature	i
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	x
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Aim of the study	33
Materials and Methods	34
Results	52
Discussion	121
Summary	136
Conclusions	139
recommendations	140
References	141
Arabic Summary	
Appendices	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	Materials used their manufacturers and batch number	34
2	Chemical composition and particle size of the Portland cement based powders prepared using the first route R1	37
3	Composition (wt%) of the Portland cement based powders prepared using the second route R2	41
4	Reagents used for the preparation of one liter of simulated body fluid	45
5	Chemical analysis of the commercial Portland cement used in this study using XRF analysis	53
6	Elemental composition of R1a, R1b and R1c in weight % analyzed using EDX analysis	54
7	Elemental composition of R2a, R2b and R2c in weight % analyzed using EDX	56
8	Mean, standard deviation (SD) values of the percentage porosity for the two routes	62
9	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of percentage porosity for the six tested groups	63
10	Means, and standard deviation (SD) values of the weight loss % values in the six tested groups at different immersion time intervals in tris-Hcl solution	69
11	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of the weight loss % values in the six tested groups at different immersion time intervals in citric acid.	73

12	Means and standard deviation values of the pH in the two routes after one day and seven days of immersion in simulated body fluid.	75
13	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of pH in the groups after one day and seven days of immersion in SBF.	77
14	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of (Ca ²⁺) ion release (ppm) from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF measured by(ICP)	79
15	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of (Ca ²⁺) ions (ppm) released after 1 day and 7 days immersion time from each group measured by(ICP)	81
16	Means and standard deviation (SD) values comparing the Ca ²⁺ ions released after 1 day and 7 days immersion times in each group measured by(ICP)	83
17	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of (Ca ²⁺) ion release (ppm) from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF measured by(AAS)	84
18	Means and standard deviation (SD) values comparing the Ca ²⁺ ions released after 1 day and 7 days immersion times in each group atomic measured by(AAS)	86
19	Means and standard deviation (SD) values comparing the Ca ²⁺ ions released after 1 day and 7 days immersion times in each group measured	88

	by(AAS)	
20	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of P ions released (ppm) from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF	89
21	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of P ions (ppm) released after 1 day and 7 days immersion time from each group	91
22	Means and standard deviation (SD) values comparing the P ions released after 1 day and 7 days immersion times in each group	93
23	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of Si ions released (ppm) from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF	95
24	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of Si ions (ppm) released after 1 day and 7 days immersion time from each group	97
25	Means and standard deviation (SD) values comparing the Si ions released after 1 day and 7 days immersion times in each group	99
26	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of Al ions released (ppm) from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF after 1 day and 7 days immersion time	100
27	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of Al ions (ppm) released after 1 day and 7 days immersion time from each group	102
28	Means and standard deviation (SD) values comparing the Al ions released after 1 day and 7 days immersion times in each group	104

29	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of As ions released (ppm) from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF after 7 days immersion time	105
30	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of As ions (ppm) released after 7 days immersion time from each group	106
31	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of the compressive strength in MPa for the two routes in dry condition	109
32	Means and standard deviation (SD) values in MPa of the compressive strength in MPa for the six tested groups in dry condition	109
33	Mean, standard deviation (SD) values for comparison between compressive strength(MPa) for the six groups two routes	111
34	Elemental composition of R1 a, R1b and R1c after immersion in SBF in weight %analyzed using EDX	113
35	Elemental composition of R2 a, R2b and R2c after immersion in SBF in weight %analyzed using EDX	115

List of Figures

FIGURE No.	Title	Page No.
1.	Sieves used for sieving the powder of the chemicals	37
2.	Agate mortar and pistil for mixing the powder	38
3.	Autoclave for final treatment of specimens	39
4.	a-Portland cement based scaffold specimen b-Split cylindrical mould parts (b', b'', b''')	39
5.	a- Portland cement based scaffold specimen. b- Split cubic mould for compressive strength testing	40
6.	Scanning electron microscope used for examining the microstructure and pores size	42
7.	Mercury intrusion poresizer	43
8.	Digital pH meter	46
9.	Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrophotometer (ICP) used for ions release testing	48
10.	Universal testing machine used for compressive strength testing	50
11.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R1a.	55
12.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R1b	55
13.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R1c	56
14.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R2a	57
15.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R2b	57
16.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R2c	58

17.	Scanning electron micrographs of the fractured surfaces of the tested groups A: R1a, B: R1b,C: R2a, D: R2b, E: R1c, F: R2c	60
18.	Scanning electron micrographs of the external surfaces of the tested groups A: R1a, B: R1b, C: R2a, D: R2b, E: R1 C, F: R2C.	61
19.	Mean percentage porosity for the two routes	62
20.	Means of the percentage porosity for the six tested groups	64
21.	Incremental intrusion versus pore diameter curves of Ra, R1b and R1c specimens.	66
22.	Incremental intrusion versus diameter curves of R2a, R2b and R2c specimens	67
23.	Mean weight loss % through different immersion time periods in tris-HCl solution for each group	70
24.	Bar chart of mean weight loss % in the six tested groups at different immersion times in tris-HCl solution.	71
25.	Mean weight loss % through different immersion time periods in citric acid solution for each group	74
26.	Bar chart of mean weight loss % in the six tested groups at different immersion times in citric acid solution	75
27.	Bar chart of the mean pH values in the two routes after one day and after seven days of immersion in SBF	75
28.	Bar chart of the mean pH values of the six tested groups after one day and seven days of immersion in SBF	78

29.	Mean of (Ca^{2+}) ions values released from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF measured by(ICP)	80
30.	Mean (Ca^{2+}) ions values released from specimens of the six groups and in SBF after 1 day and 7 days measured by(ICP)	82
31.	Means of (Ca^{2+}) ions values released from each group after 1 day and 7 days immersion time periods measured by(ICP)	83
32.	Mean of (Ca^{2+}) ions values released from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF measured by(AAS)	85
33.	Mean (Ca^{2+}) ions values released from specimens of the six groups and in SBF after 1 day and 7 days measured by(AAS)	87
34.	Means of (Ca^{2+}) ions values released from each group after 1 day and 7 days immersion time periods measured by(AAS)	88
35.	Man of P ions values released from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF	90
36.	Mean P ions values released from specimens of the six groups and in SBF after 1 day and 7 days immersion time	92
37.	Means of P ions values released from each group after 1 day and 7 days immersion time periods	94
38.	Mean of Si ions values released from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF	95
39.	Mean Si ion values released from specimens of the six groups and in SBF after 1 day and 7 days immersion time	98
40.	Means of Si ions values released from each group after 1	99

	day and 7 days immersion time periods	
41.	Mean of Al ions values released from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF	101
42.	Mean Al ion values released from specimens of the six groups and in SBF after 1 day and 7 days immersion time	103
43.	Means of Al ion values released from each group after 1 day and 7 days immersion time periods	104
44.	Mean of As ions values released from the specimens of the two routes and in SBF after 7 days immersion time.	105
45.	Means of As ion values released from each group after 7 days immersion time periods	107
46.	Mean compressive strength in MPa of the two routes in dry condition	108
47.	Mean compressive strength in MPa of the six tested groups in dry condition	109
48.	Mean wet compressive strength of all group	112
49.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R1a	114
50.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R1b	114
51.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R1c	114
52.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R2a	116
53.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R2b	116
54.	Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrum of R2c	116
55.	Scanning electron micrograph of A :R1a, B:R1b , C:R1c, D:R2a, E: R2b and F:R2c after immersion for 7 days SBF	118
56.	X-ray diffraction patterns of R1 a & b before and after SBF	119
57.	X-ray diffraction patterns of R2 a & b . before and after immersion in SBF	120

INTRODUCTION

There is a constant need for bone substitutes due to severe bone injuries, degenerative diseases, and reconstructive surgery. Bone tissue engineering seeks to promote the regeneration of damaged or lost bone tissue through therapies based on a combination of scaffolds, growth factors, and cells. Ideal scaffolds for bone tissue engineering require three-dimensional interconnected porous structures and enough mechanical strength to provide structural support during bone growth and remodeling.⁽¹⁾

Scaffolds provide physical support on which the cells can grow in three dimensional construct, and it is hoped that the cells will develop into new tissue with the same mechanical properties as a native bone.

Scaffolds are usually made of synthetic or naturally occurring materials responsible for supporting the growth of new tissue. However most of these materials are still far from completely fulfilling both the necessary biological and mechanical function in order to perform as scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. In addition to biocompatibility, load bearing capabilities and an interconnected structure with defined pore size, bone tissue engineering scaffold are also required to exhibit a bioactive behavior which means they should promote a strong osteointegration, and stimulate growth of bone cells.⁽²⁾

It has been proposed that some silica-containing materials are more bioactive, degradable and have shown higher bioactivity than calcium phosphate materials, further more silicon has long been recognized to have a role in neonatal bone formation and has been recognized as an agent for promoting the formation of new bone.⁽³⁾

A range of hydraulic calcium (alumino) silicate cements is currently being investigated with respect to their potential clinical use in dental and bone-contact applications. For the past decade, mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), a proprietary formulation comprising 80 wt% Portland cement and 20 wt% bismuth oxide (to confer radiopacity) has been used as a root repair material in dentistry. Its reported biocompatibility and clinical success in the sealing of communications between the root canal system and the surrounding tissues. Such finding has prompted speculation that hydraulic calcium (alumino) silicate cements may also be appropriate for use in orthopedic repair.⁽⁴⁾

In this respect, recent researches has indicated that MTA and ordinary Portland cement pastes can support the production of new hard and soft tissues *in vivo* with minimal inflammatory response. Therefore the aim of this study was to prepare and evaluate a Portland cement based scaffolds for bone tissue engineering application.