

Recent Advances in Corneal Grafting

Essay
Submitted for the partial fulfillment
of M.Sc. in ophthalmology
by

Ola Mohammed Alaa El Din Omar (M.B,B.Ch)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mervat Salah Mourad

Professor Of Ophthalmology-Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Dr. Yasser AbdelMagied El-Zankalony Lecturer of ophthalmology-Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2007

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, all thanks are to Allah, the most Merciful and Giving, for His blessings, guidance, and His compassion. It is He that led me to the place I am now, and for this I am grateful.

It is an honor to have been under the enlightening supervision of *Professor Doctor Mervat Salah*, Professor of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University. Her knowledge, constant guidance, and encouragement have been a cornerstone in the progress of this work and in many other aspects of my life.

I would like to express my sincere gratefulness to *Doctor Yasser El Zankalouny*, Lecturer of Ophthalmology, Ain Shams University, for his constructive advice, and continuous support.

I am extremely indebted to all members of the Ophthalmology department, who have become a family to me, for their warmth and sincerity.

Last but not least, my warmest and deep felt love and gratitude goes to my family, whose prayers and love have been the truth of my existence and success.

Thank you

LIST OF CONTENTS

| Subject | Page # |
|--|--------|
| Introduction | . 1 |
| Anatomy of the cornea | . 9 |
| Physiology of the Cornea | . 22 |
| Eye Banking and Donor Tissue Procurement | . 41 |
| Penetrating Keratoplasty (PKP) | . 75 |
| Lamellar Keratoplasty | . 108 |
| Amniotic Membrane Transplantation | . 200 |
| Summary | . 209 |
| References | . 212 |
| الماخص العرب | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig. # | Subject | Page # |
|------------------|---|--------|
| Fig. (1) | Normal Human Corneal Histology | 10 |
| Fig. (2) | Corneal Endothelium | 21 |
| Fig. (3) | Response to cell injury | 30 |
| Fig. (4) | Corneal wound healing | 31 |
| Fig. (5) | Corneal cell migration | 38 |
| Fig. (6) | Suggested Enucleation procedure | 52 |
| Fig. (7) | Suggested corneal excision with corneoscleral rim sectioning | 56 |
| Fig. (8) | Specular microscopy of human corneal graft | 59 |
| Fig. (9) | The Moria AAC (Automated with microkeratome) | 72 |
| Fig. (10) | Baush and Lomb artificial anterior chamber | 72 |
| Fig. (11) | Artificial anterior chamber designed by Ward and Nesburn | 73 |
| Fig. (12) | Ward's artificial anterior chamber modified by Barraquer | 73 |
| Fig. (13) | Barraquer's artificial anterior chamber modified by Lenching and Ruiz | 74 |
| Fig. (14) | The Barron artificial anterior chamber | 74 |
| Fig. (15) | Indications of PKP | 76 |
| Fig. (16) | Keratoconus | . 77 |

| Fig. # | Subject | Page # |
|------------------|---|--------|
| Fig. (17) | Fuch's endothelial dystrophy | 77 |
| Fig. (18) | Fungal keratitis before and after grafting | 78 |
| Fig. (19) | Bacterial (pseudomonas) keratitis viral keratitis (HSV) | 79 |
| Fig. (20) | Hanna trephine with selected depth setting of 0.5 mm | 84 |
| Fig. (21) | Penetrating keratoplasty (UBM) | 87 |
| Fig. (22) | Multiple interrupted suture method | 89 |
| Fig. (23) | Different types of continuous sutures | 92 |
| Fig. (24) | Combined interrupted and continuous suture method | 94 |
| Fig. (25) | Epithelial downgrowth | 97 |
| Fig. (26) | Post PK endophthalmitis | 97 |
| Fig. (27) | Persistent epithelial defect post PK | 98 |
| Fig. (28) | Persistent corneal edema | 99 |
| Fig. (29) | Tight sutures | 99 |
| Fig. (30) | Stitch abscess | 100 |
| Fig. (31) | Giant papillary conjunctivitis from exposed suture | 100 |
| Fig. (32) | Post operative astigmatism | 101 |
| Fig. (33) | Epithelial rejection | 103 |
| Fig. (34) | Keratic percpitates and inflammation at the graft-host junction associated with early | 104 |

| Fig. # | Subject | Page # |
|------------------|--|--------|
| Fig. (63) | Initial steps in the creation of the recepient bed | . 188 |
| Fig. (64) | scissors used to dissect posterior corneal button | 189 |
| Fig. (65) | Preparation of the donor button on an artificial anterior chamber | . 190 |
| Fig. (66) | Folding the donor button into a "taco" | . 191 |
| Fig. (67) | Insertion of the donor "taco" | . 191 |
| Fig. (68) | Cutting the recipient endothelium using a Sinskey hook | . 193 |
| Fig. (69) | Melles scraper | . 193 |
| Fig. (70) | Checking the size correspondence | . 194 |
| Fig. (71) | insertion of donor tissue "taco" in anterior chamber | . 194 |
| Fig. (72) | supporting the graft in place by an air bubble in Anterior chamber | . 195 |
| Fig. (73) | preparation of DX needle | . 197 |
| Fig. (74) | steps in needle Descematorhexis | . 199 |
| Fig. (75) | diagrammatic representation of complete descematorhexis and endokeratoplasty | . 199 |
| Fig. (76) | Amniotic membrane grafting | . 207 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAC Artificial Anterior Chamber

ALR Anterior lamellar resection

ALTK Automated Lamellar Therapeutic Keratoplasty

AMT Amniotic Membrane Transplantation

ATP Adenosine Triphosphate

B.M. Bowman's Membrane

BSS Balanced Salt Solution

cAMP Cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate

CJD Creutzfelt Jacob Disease

CL Contact Lens

D Diopters

DALK Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty

DLK Deep Lamellar Keratoplasty

DLR Deep lamellar resection

DM Descemet's Membrane

DXEK Descematorhexis with endokeratoplasty

EBAA Eye banking Association of America

EK Endothelial Keratoplasty

FDLEK Flap associated deep lamellar endothelial

keratoplasty

FEMTO- Femtosecond posterior lamellar keratoplasty

PLAK

FSLK Femtosecond Lamellar Keratoplasty

FTDGE Full thickness donor graft with endothelial

HBsAg Hepatitis B surface antigen

HBV Hepatitis B Virus

HCV Hepatitis C Virus

HIV Human Immunodeficiency virus

HTLV Human T lymphotropic virus

IOL Intraocular lens

IOP Intraocular Pressure

KC Keratoconus

LASIK Laser in situ Keratomilesis

LK/LKP Lamellar Keratoplasty

MALK Middle anterior lamellar keratoplasty

MDLK Maximum depth lamellar keratoplasty

MK Med McCarey Kaufman Medium

mm Millimeter

MSR Middle stromal resection

Na – K Sodium potassium adenosine triphosphatase

ATPase

PC IOL Posterior Chamber IOL

Phaco Phacoemulsification

PKP/PK Penetrating Keratoplasty

PLK Posterior lamellar keratoplasty

SALK Superficial anterior lamellar keratoplasty

SCT Stem cell transplantation

TALK Total anterior lamellar keratoplasty

TLR Total lamellar resection

Um Micrometers

VA Visual Acuity

INTRODUCTION

Penetrating keratoplasty (PKP): the PKP is full-thickness corneal replacement, it violates both the Bowman's layer and Descemet's membrane (*Malbran et al, 2004*)

Penetrating keratoplasty has thus been the most common corneal transplantation procedure for visual restoration for many years. Although penetrating keratoplasty has been shown to be effective and safe for most anterior segment pathologies, there are persistent long term risks such as endothelial failure and immunological graft rejection (*Thompson et al, 2003*).

Lamellar keratoplasty has rapidly gained popularity among corneal surgeons in the past few years. Improved instrumentation, refinements in microsurgical techniques, availability of new artificial anterior chambers, and micrkeratomes seem to have forward propelled this renewed interest in LKP to newer heights. Additionally, anterior LKP (ALKP) for the most part is an extraocular, non open-sky, less invasive procedure than PKP, and multitude it avoids of intraocular complications as endophthalmitis, glaucoma, iatrogenic cataract formation, anterior synechiae formation, and iris prolapse. In contrast, posterior LKP (PLKP) is an intraocular procedure (Malbran et al, 2004).

Lamellar keratoplasty was the main surgical approach for corneal surgery in the beginning of the 20th century. It was abandoned in the 1960's, when penetrating grafts were adopted, mainly due to the technical difficulties in performing a stromal lamellar dissection that would allow a comparable improvement in visual acuity (*Malbran et al, 2004*)

Developments in field of lamellar include Malbran's "peeling off" of the corneal stromal technique in keratoconus, and Barraquer's development of "refractive lamellar techniques" and his design of the microkeratome, and Vasco-Posada's development of the deep lamellar technique, which he called "intralamellar homokertoplasty". These developments prevented the defect caused by manual dissection on the stromal lamellae, and created the perfect conditions for a lamellar technique, which are:

1. Deep interface.

- 2. Posterior layers of uniform thickness
- 3. Uniform and smooth donor and recipient surfaces
- 4. High quality donor
- 5. Adequate graft thickness
- 6. Good coaptation of the edges
- Y. Careful cleaning of the interface.

(Malbran et al, 2004)

In the past years, several lamellar keratoplasty surgical techniques have been developed, modified or improved in the past years, including microkeratome assisted anterior and posterior lamellar keratoplasty, anterior lamellar keratoplasty using air-dissection or visco-dissection, sutureless posterior lamellar keratoplasty, LASIK for postkeratoplasty astigmatism, and excimer laser assisted keratophakia for keratoconus or to manage complications after LASIK. These procedures may continue to gain interest as alternative procedures for a penetrating keratoplasty in the treatment of various corneal disorders (*Alio et al, 2002*)

The development of the femtosecond laser assisting in anterior and posterior LKP has been a breakthrough in automated LKP. It holds several advantages including precision and decreased surgical time (*Sarabaya et al, 2002*).

History

The techniques of corneal grafting were first reported in the ophthalmic literature in 1824 by Reisinger, with experiments on rabbits. Von Hipple, in 1877, was the first to show an improvement in vision using his standardised technique, which forms the basis of modern corneal transplantation. In 1906, Zirm

was credited for the first corneal transplant to retain a moderate degree of transparency (*Castroviejo*, 1932).

Since then, much work has gone into perfecting this operation, not only by modifications in the techniques, but also by the introduction of the operating microscope and associated improvements in microsurgical instruments and suture materials (*McCarey and Kaufmann*, 1974).

Much work and progress has also been achieved in the storage and preservation of donor endothelial viability. In 1934, Filatou showed that grafts were viable if removed from the cadaver 41 hours after death. Initial storage media included physiological saline, sterile olive oil, and haemolysed cadaver blood (*McCarey and Kaufmann*, 1974).

The introduction of M-K medium by McCarey and Kaufman enabled donor corneas to be stored for 3 to 4 days. Further advances based on tissue culture techniques have now enabled the preservation of donor tissue for up to 30 to 40 days (Williams et al, 1992).

Despite these good survival rates, graft rejection episodes are not uncommon. The reason why so few grafts are lost is thought to be the early diagnosis and aggressive management of graft rejections (*Paglen et al, 1982*).