

# **Can Antibiotic Combinations be the solution for Multi Drug Resistant *Pseudomonas***

***Thesis***

***Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in  
Medical Microbiology and Immunology***

***By***

**Maha Mahmoud Kotb**

**(M.B.B.CH)**

***Supervisors***

**Prof. Dr. Somaia Abdel-Latif Eissa**

***Head of Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology***

***Faculty of Medicine-Cairo University***

**Dr. Nadia Hafez Salah El-Din**

***Assistant Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology***

***Faculty of Medicine-Cairo University***

**Dr. Ashraf El-Sayed Abdel-Hamied**

***Assistant Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology***

***Faculty of Medicine- Cairo University***

**Faculty of Medicine**

**Cairo University**

**2010**

## Abstract

Combination therapy is used to widen the antimicrobial spectrum, minimize toxicity and prevent the emergence of resistant mutants. Antimicrobial synergy resulting from antibiotic combination therapy is often important in the treatment of serious bacterial infections.

The purpose of the present study is to determine the *in-vitro* activities of aminoglycosides (amikacin) in combination with third-generation cephalosporins (cefoperazone), antipseudomonal penicillins (piperacillin – tazobactam), carbapenems(meropenem),fluoroquinolones(ciprofloxacin) and polymyxins (colistin) against MDR isolates of *pseudomonas* spp. And *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 that was used as a quality control strain throughout the study.

The effects of these antibiotic combinations were examined by two methods (disk diffusion, and checkerboard).Fractional inhibitory concentration indices were calculated for all isolates with all combinations. Use of the disk diffusion method revealed that amikacin in combination with the  $\beta$ -lactams and ciprofloxacin exhibited synergy against 70% of isolates, whereas the combinations of amikacin and colistin displayed synergic effects against 80% of isolates .Using the checkerboard method , all of the combinations exhibited synergic effects against all the isolates. No antagonism was found with these combinations.

The result of this study indicates that synergism may occur between aminoglycosides,  $\beta$ -lactams and fluoroquinolones although the strains are resistant to the individual antibiotics.

### Key Words:

Antimicrobial combinations, Checkerboard titration method,  $\beta$ -lactams  
Aminoglycosides

## *Acknowledgement*

*First and foremost, thanks to **ALLAH**, the most beneficent, the most merciful.*

*I would like to express my deepest thanks, respect and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Somaia Abdel-Latif Eissa** , Head of Medical Microbiology and Immunology Department, , Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her continuous support, encouragement and valuable assistance throughout the supervision of this work.*

*I would like also to express My sincere thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Nadia Hafez Salah El-Din** , Assistant professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her expert guidance and sustained efforts throughout the whole work.*

*My sincere thanks and gratitude also goes to **Dr. Ashraf El-Sayed Abdel-Hamied** Assistant professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his generous guidance and help throughout the whole work*

*I must acknowledge **Dr. Alaa Mohamed Awad**, lecturer of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, for her generous help in the practical part of this work.*

*My gratitude is extended to **Dr. Iman Ezzat Wali** , Assistant professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, and **Dr. Nadia Madany** , Lecturer of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. for their help.*

*Finally, I owe a great appreciation to all my family for their kind support throughout this thesis.*

## Table of Contents

Item	Page
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	I
<i>List of Tables</i>	III
<i>List of Figures</i>	IV
<i>List of Appendices</i>	V
<b>Introduction &amp; Aim of the Work.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Review of Literature</b>	
<b>Chapter (1):</b> <i>Pseudomonas</i> Genus.....	2
<b>Chapter (2):</b> Multidrug-resistant <i>Pseudomonas</i> .....	11
<b>Chapter (3):</b> Antimicrobial agents.....	38
<b>Chapter (4):</b> Controversies about antimicrobial therapy for <i>Pseudomonas</i> .....	47
<b>Materials &amp; Methods.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Results.....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Conclusions &amp; Recommendations.....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Summary.....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Arabic Summary</b>	

Abbreviation	Full term
<b>AACs</b>	Aminoglycoside acetyltransferases
<b>AADs</b>	Aminoglycoside adenylyltransferases
<b>ADP</b>	Adenosine diphosphate
<b>ADPRT</b>	ADP-ribosyltransferase
<b>Agl</b>	Aminoglycosides
<b>AK</b>	Amikacin
<b>AHL</b>	Acyl homoserine lactones
<b>AMEs</b>	Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes
<b>ANTs</b>	Aminoglycoside nucleotidyltransferases
<b>APHs</b>	Aminoglycoside phosphoryltransferases
<b>AsialoGM1</b>	Asialoganglioside gangliotetraosylceramide
<b>ATCC</b>	American Type Culture Collection
<b>ATM</b>	Aztreonam;
<b>ATM</b>	Azstreonam
<b>AZL</b>	Azlocillin
<b>c AMP</b>	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
<b>CAR</b>	Carbenicillin
<b>CAZ</b>	Ceftazidime
<b>CDC</b>	Center for Disease Control
<b>CF</b>	Cystic fibrosis
<b>CFP</b>	Cefoperezone
<b>CFU</b>	colony forming unit
<b>CIP</b>	ciprofloxacin
<b>CLSI</b>	Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute
<b>CPO</b>	Cefpirome
<b>CT</b>	Colistin
<b>DNA</b>	Deoxyribonucleic acid
<b>EL-2</b>	Elongation factor-2
<b>EDTA</b>	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
<b>ESBLs</b>	Extended spectrum $\beta$ -lactamases
<b>Exo</b>	Exotoxin
<b>E test</b>	Epsilometer test
<b><i>E. coli</i></b>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
<b>FEP</b>	Cefepime
<b>FIC</b>	Fraction inhibitory concentration
<b>Fq</b>	Fluoroquinolones
<b>G+C</b>	Guanine + Cytosine
<b>GAP</b>	GTPase activating protein
<b>GES</b>	Guiana extended spectrum
<b>Gly</b>	Glycine
<b>hrs</b>	Hours
<b>HAIs</b>	Hospital acquired infections
<b>I</b>	Intermediate resistance
<b>IL-8</b>	Interleukin-8
<b>IMP</b>	Imipenem

<b>kDa</b>	Kilodalton
<b>LPS</b>	Lipopolysaccharide
<b>MBC</b>	Minimum bactericidal concentration
<b>MBLs</b>	Metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases
<b>MDR</b>	Multidrug-resistant
<b>MEM</b>	Meropenem
<b>MHB</b>	Mueller Hinton broth
<b>MIC</b>	Minimum inhibitory concentration
<b>MSSA</b>	Methicillin-sensitive <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
<b>NADH</b>	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
<b>NNISS</b>	National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance system
<b>OprD</b>	Outer membrane porin D
<b>OXA</b>	Oxacillinases
<b>PB</b>	Polymyxin
<b>PBP</b>	Penicillin-binding protein
<b>PIP</b>	Piperacillin
<b>PIP/TAZ</b>	Piperacillin/Tazobactam
<b>PSE</b>	<i>Pseudomonas specific enzyme</i>
<b><i>P. aeruginosa</i></b>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
<b><i>P. cepacia</i></b>	<i>Pseudomonas cepacia</i>
<b><i>P. fluorescens</i></b>	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>
<b><i>P. maltophilia</i></b>	<i>Pseudomonas maltophilia</i>
<b><i>P. putida</i></b>	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>
<b><i>P. putrefaciens</i></b>	<i>Pseudomonas putrefaciens</i>
<b><i>P. stutzeri</i></b>	<i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i>
<b>QRDR</b>	Quinolone resistant determinative region
<b>QS</b>	Quorum-sensing
<b>r</b>	Reduced susceptibility
<b>rRNA</b>	Ribosomal Ribonucleic acid
<b>R</b>	Resistant
<b>RND</b>	Resistance nodulation division
<b>S</b>	Susceptible
<b>Ser</b>	Serine
<b>spp.</b>	species
<b>SPSS</b>	Statistical package for social sciences
<b><i>S. aureus</i></b>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
<b>TIC</b>	Ticarcillin
<b>UK</b>	United kingdom
<b>UTIs</b>	Urinary tract infections
<b>VAP</b>	Ventilator-associated pneumonia
<b>VIM</b>	Verona integron-encoded metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase

<b>Table #</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Table (1)</b>	Epidemiology of molecular class A ESBLs produced by <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .	21
<b>Table (2)</b>	Structure and substrate specificity of the 3-component active efflux systems in <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .	28
<b>Table (3)</b>	Impact of overexpression of the active efflux systems on the resistance to antipseudomonal antibiotics.	31
<b>Table (4)</b>	Zone diameter and MIC interpretive standards for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .	54
<b>Table (5)</b>	Acceptable limits for quality control strain <i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853 to monitor accuracy of disk diffusion testing and MICs using Muller-Hinton broth.	55
<b>Table (6)</b>	Resistance Patterns of the 10 MDR strains according to Disk Diffusion method.	64
<b>Table (7)</b>	Disk Diffusion Susceptibilities.	65
<b>Table (8)</b>	MIC for the tested MDR isolates by broth micro dilution method.	67
<b>Table (9)</b>	The MIC range, the 50 and 90 percentiles values of the MDR isolates.	69
<b>Table (10)</b>	The MBC ranges, the 50 and the 90 values of the MDR isolates.	69
<b>Table (11)</b>	Agreement between disk diffusion and broth micro dilution methods.	70
<b>Table (12)</b>	Drug combination by Disk Diffusion method	71
<b>Table (13)</b>	Synergic effects of antibiotic combinations against the tested isolates by disk diffusion method.	73
<b>Table (14)</b>	Drug combinations by Checkerboard method.	73
<b>Table (15)</b>	Comparison between Disk diffusion and Checkerboard methods.	75

Figure #	Description	Page
Figure (1)	Overview of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> type III secretion system.	8
Figure (2)	Overview of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> QS.	10
Figure (3)	Drug interactions using disk-diffusion.	57
Figure (4)	Disk diffusion susceptibility for MDR strain 5.	65
Figure (5)	Disk diffusion susceptibilities	66
Figure (6)	MIC for the tested MDR isolates	67
Figure (7)	MIC for the tested MDR isolates	68
Figure (8)	Drug combination by Disk Diffusion method.	71
Figure (9)	Additive effect by Disk diffusion method	72
Figure (10)	Synergic effect by Disk diffusion method	72
Figure (11)	Drug combinations by Checkerboard method	74



<b>Appendix #</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Appendix(1)</b>	Worksheet template for broth micro dilutions checkerboard panel.	61
<b>Appendix (2)</b>	Example of a broth micro dilution checkerboard panel.	62

## Introduction

Hospital acquired infections are important health care problems all over the world, because of their high morbidity and mortality, and prolonged hospitalization and increased cost of treatment (***Dundar and Otkun, 2010***).

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other *pseudomonas* spp. continue to be a significant cause of morbidity and mortality, especially in intensive care units, and they are frequent isolates causing nosocomial epidemics. The MDR isolates play an important role in colonization or infection of chronically hospitalized patients. They are often resistant to antibacterial agents from different classes, including  $\beta$ -lactams, aminoglycosides, and fluoroquinolones; some strains are only susceptible to polymyxins (***Mayer and E. Nagy, 1999& Ouderkirk et al., 2003***).

Combination antibiotic treatment is preferred to provide larger spectrum antimicrobial effect and to prevent the rapid emergence of resistance. Combinations usually comprise a  $\beta$ -lactam and an aminoglycoside or a fluoroquinolone (***Pier and Ramphal, 2005***).

## Aim of the Work

The aim of this study was to determine the in vitro effects of some antimicrobial drug combinations (aminoglycosides,  $\beta$ -lactams and fluoroquinolones and polymyxins on multidrug resistant clinical isolates of *pseudomonas* spp. by two methods (disk diffusion and checkerboard ).

## *Pseudomonas* Genus

The genus *pseudomonas* was described by *Mingula* in 1894 and is one of the most diverse bacterial genera whose species have been isolated worldwide in all kinds of environments (**Peix et al., 2009**). They are Gram-negative, aerobic, rod-shaped bacterium with unipolar motility (**Baron et al., 2003**). The genus contains more than 140 species, most of which are saprophytic and more than 25 species are associated with humans (**Ryan and Ray, 2004**).

Most *pseudomonads*, known to cause disease in humans, are associated with opportunistic infections. These include *pseudomonas* (*P.*) *aeruginosa*, *P. flourescens*, *P. putida*, *P. cepacia*, *P. stutzeri*, *P. maltophilia*, and *P. putrefaciens*. *P. aeruginosa* and *P. maltophilia* account for approximately 80 % of *pseudomonads* recovered from clinical specimens (**Bauer et al., 1966 & Baron et al., 2003**).

### ***Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a human pathogen**

Because of the frequency with which it is involved in human disease, *P. aeruginosa* has received the most attention. It is a free-living bacterium and is found in most moist environments (**Nadeem et al., 2009**).

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a commensal bacterium of normal human microflora, which is found on skin surfaces, in nostrils and in upper respiratory tract. It colonizes the intestine of up to 40% of healthy people, and seldom has it caused disease in healthy individuals (**Gailiene et al., 2007 & Nadeem et al., 2009**). This percentage increases among hospitalized patients proportionally with increasing duration of

hospitalization (*Chastre and Trouillet, 2000, Švedienė and Ivaškevičius, 2006*).

It is major threat to hospitalized and immunocompromised patients, particularly those with serious underlying diseases such as cancer and burns (*Nadeem et al., 2009*).

The high mortality associated with these infections is due to combination of weakened host defenses, bacterial resistance to antibiotics, and the production of extracellular bacterial enzymes and toxins (*Anzai et al., 2000*).

According to the data of Center for Disease Control (CDC) *P. aeruginosa* is the fifth most common pathogen among hospital microorganisms and causes 10% of all hospital acquired infections (HAIs) (*Gailiene et al., 2007*). It accounts for 20% of pneumonia and 16% of urinary tract infections (UTIs) according to data from the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance system (NNISS) (*Baron et al., 2003*).

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a remarkable opportunistic pathogen in that it has uniquely large genome containing genes for many different virulence factors and regulatory mechanisms allowing it to adapt to hostile environments. After being acquired from the environment, it colonizes the respiratory epithelium in patients with predisposing conditions such as cystic fibrosis (CF), mechanical ventilation, immunodeficiency or preexisting respiratory disease (*Kipnis et al., 2006*).

## **Virulence factors:**

### **A-Cell surface virulence factors:**

#### **1. Flagella**

They are the main motile appendages of Gram negative bacteria; they allow the swimming movement of *P. aeruginosa* through a propeller or screw-like motion. Flagella have a critical role in pathogenesis, by adhering to epithelial cells through binding with a common membrane component, asialoganglioside ganglioside ganglioside (asialoGM1) (*Kipnis et al., 2006*).

#### **2. Pili**

Pili or fimbriae are smaller filamentous surface appendages of *P. aeruginosa*. Multiple pili are present on the surface, and are involved in bacterial motility. This motility, called twitching is due to the retractile properties of the pili which allow *P. aeruginosa* to “spread” along hydrated surfaces rather than “swim”. This feature facilitates rapid colonization of the airway (*Mattick, 2002*). Like flagella, pili are crucial to the adhesion phase of colonization through binding to asialoGM1 of the epithelial cell membrane (*Kipnis et al., 2006*).

### **3. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)**

It plays a role in bacterial adhesion through asialo-GM1 binding (*Kipnis et al., 2006*).

### **4. Alginate**

Alginate is a mucoid exopolysaccharide, made up of repeating polymers of mannuronic and glucuronic acid. Alginate, like LPS, functions as an adhesin, anchoring *P. aeruginosa* to the colonized respiratory epithelium. It protects *P. aeruginosa* from phagocytosis and antibiotics; it even attenuates the host response (*Hentzer et al., 2001 & Cobb et al., 2004*).

## **B-Secreted virulence factors:**

### **1. Pyocyanin**

Pyocyanin is a blue pigment metabolite having numerous pathogenic effects such as increasing interleukin 8 (IL-8) (*Denning et al., 1998 & Leidal et al., 2001*), depressing host-response (*Leidal et al., 2001 & Allen et al., 2005*), and inducing apoptosis in neutrophils (*Allen et al., 2005*). Pyocyanin oxidizes glutathione and inactivates catalase in respiratory epithelial cells thus participating in oxidative-stress related damage (*O'Malley et al., 2003 & O'Malley et al., 2004*).

## 2. Pyoverdine

Pyoverdine is a siderophore, a small molecule chelating iron from the environment for use in *P. aeruginosa* metabolism (**Meyer et al., 1996& Takase et al., 2000**). Pyoverdine regulates the secretion of other *P. aeruginosa* virulence factors, exotoxin A and an endoprotease (**Lamont et al., 2002**).

## 3. Alkaline protease

Alkaline protease is a fibrin lysing protease secreted by *P. aeruginosa* through a type I secretion system (**Guzzo et al., 1991**).

## 4. Protease IV

It has a role in the pathogenesis of *P. aeruginosa* keratitis (**Matsumoto, 2004**).

## 5. Elastase

Elastase, or lasB, is a metalloproteinase secreted by *P. aeruginosa* into the extracellular space. Elastase causes rupture of the respiratory epithelium through tight-junction destruction, thus increasing epithelial permeability and facilitating neutrophil recruitment (**Azghani et al., 1993; Azghani 1996 & Azghani et al., 2000**). Elastase is also pro-inflammatory, increasing IL-8 levels (**Kon et al., 1999**).