# Prognostic and predictive value of molecular markers in early breast cancer

an essay submitted for partial fulfillment of master degree in radiation oncology and nuclear medicine

by:

Khaled Abdelaziz Mohamed Kamal (M.B., B.Ch, 2010)

under the supervision of:

#### Dr. Laila Faris Matta

Professor of clinical oncology and nuclear medicine
Faculty of medicine
Ain shams university

### Dr. Mohammed Mohammed Elbassiouny

Assistant professor of clinical oncology and nuclear medicine
Faculty of medicine
Ain shams university

#### Dr. Mohammed Yassin Mostafa

Lecturer of clinical oncology and nuclear medicine Faculty of medicine Ain shams university

> Faculty of medicine Ain shams university 2010

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to express my profound gratitude and great respect to my Professor Dr. Laila Faris Matta, Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University. It was an honor for me to carry out this work under her supervision.

I am heartily thankful to Dr. Mohammed Mohammed Elbassiouny, Assistant Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject.

This essay would not have been possible without the help of Dr.Mohammed Yassin Mostafa, Lecturer of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, whose meticulous revision and sincere cooperation allowed me to elaborate this work.

Lastly, I offer my regards to all of those who supported me in any respect during the completion of this essay, especially my family.

طبيب/ خالد عبدالعزيز محمد كمال

# **LIST OF CONTENTS**

|      |                               | Page |
|------|-------------------------------|------|
| I    | Introduction                  | 1    |
| II   | Traditional histopathological | 8    |
|      | prognostic and predictive     |      |
|      | factors                       |      |
| III  | Markers of proliferation and  | 22   |
|      | apoptosis                     |      |
| IV   | Markers of angiogenesis       | 31   |
| V    | Hormonal receptors and        | 38   |
|      | associated transcriptional    |      |
|      | cofactors                     |      |
| VI   | Mitogen-activated protein     | 74   |
|      | kinases                       |      |
| VII  | HER2 and associated markers   | 96   |
| VIII | Molecular Profiling and       | 119  |
|      | genetic aberrations           |      |
| IX   | Summary and conclusion        | 171  |
| X    | References                    | 177  |
| XI   | Arabic summary                |      |

### **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

AC Adriamycin/Cyclophosphamide

ADEPT Antibody-dependent enzyme prodrug

therapy

ADH Atypical ductal hyperplasia

AI Aromatase inhibitor

AIB1 Amplified in breast 1

AJCC American Joint Committee on Cancer

ALTTO Adjuvant Lapatinib and/or Trastuzumab

**Treatment Optimization** 

ATAC Arimidex, Tamoxifen, Alone or in

Combination

BIG Breast International Group

BRCA Breast cancer susceptibility protein

CAF Cyclophosphamide/doxorubicin/fluorouracil

CAF-T CAF-tamoxifen

CDK Cyclin-dependent kinase

cDNA Complementary DNA

CEF cyclophosphamide/epirubicin/fluorouracil

CHERLOB Preoperative Chemotherapy plus Lapatinib

or Trastuzumab or Both in HER2-positive

Operable Breast Cancer

CI Confidence Interval

CISH Chromogenic in situ hybridization

CMF cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil

DC Docetaxel/Cyclophosphamide

DCIS Ductal carcinoma in situ

DDFS Distant disease-free survival

DFS Disease-free survival
DH Docetaxel/Herceptin

DHCarbo Docetaxel/Herceptin/Carboplatin

EBPs Estrogen-binding proteins

ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group

EGFR Epidermal growth factor receptor

EORTC European Organization for Research and

Treatment of Cancer

ER Estrogen receptor

ERE Estrogen response element

ERK Extracellular signal regulated kinases

ERα Estrogen receptor alpha

ERβ Estrogen receptor beta

FAC Fluorouracil/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide

FDA Food and Drug Administration

FGF Fibroblast growth factor

FISH Fluorescent in situ hybridization

H&E Hematoxylin and eosin

HER2 Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2

HR Hazard ratio

IGF1-R Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor

IHC Immunohistochemistry

IMPACT Immediate Preoperative Anastrozole,

Tamoxifen, or Combined with Tamoxifen

IRS1 Insulin receptor substrate-1

IRS1 insulin receptor substrate-1

JNK Jun kinase

MAPK Mitogen-activated protein kinase

MCF-7 Michigan Cancer Foundation - 7

MEK MAPK/ERK kinase

MINDACT Microarray In Node-negative Disease may

Avoid ChemoTherapy

MISS Membrane-initiated steroid signaling

MKP3 MAP kinase phosphatase 3

mRNA Messenger ribonucleic acid

mTOR Mammalian target of rapamycin

MVD Microvessel density

NCCTG North Central Cancer Treatment Group

NCI National Cancer Institute

NCOR1 Nuclear receptor co-repressor 1

NHEJ Non-homologous end joining

NISS Nuclear-initiated steroid signaling

NPV Negative predictive value

NSABP National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and

**Bowel Project** 

NST No special type

OS Overall survival

pCR Pathological complete response

PI3K Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase

PIKK Phosphoinositide-3-kinase-related kinase

PKA Protein kinase A

pMAP kinase Phosphorylated MAP kinase

POETIC PeriOperative Endocrine Treatment for

**Individualizing Care** 

PPV Positive predictive value

PR Progesterone receptor

PTEN Phosphatase and tensin homolog

RFS Recurrence Free Survival

RR Relative risk

RS Recurrence score

RT–PCR Reverse transcription polymerase chain

reaction

SAPK Stress activated protein kinase

SEER Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results

Ser Serine

SERMs Selective estrogen receptor modulators

SISH Silver enhanced in situ hybridization

SLN Sentinel lymph node

SNP single nucleotide polymorphism

SRC-1 Steroid receptor coactivator-1

SSA Single-strand annealing

sVEGFR-1 Soluble vascular endothelial growth factor

receptor-1

TAILORx Trial Assigning IndividuaLized Options for

Treatment (Rx)

TEACH Tykerb Evaluation After CHemotherapy

TGFα Transforming Growth Factor Alpha

TNBC Triple negative breast cancer

TNM Tumour, Node and Metastasis

TOP2A Topoisomerase 2 alpha

TSP-1 Thrombospondin-1

UICC Union Internationale Contre le Cancer

UK United Kingdom

VEGF Vascular endothelial growth factor

VEGFR Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor

# LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>Figure</b> |   | Page |  |  |
|---------------|---|------|--|--|
| 1             | Curve showing overall survival for                  | 14   |  |  |
|               | patients with primary operable breast               |      |  |  |
|               | carcinoma in the Nottingham Tenovus                 |      |  |  |
|               | Primary Breast Carcinomas Series by                 |      |  |  |
|               | histological grade.                                 |      |  |  |
| 2             | Hematoxylin and eosin section showing               | 15   |  |  |
|               | tubule formation.                                   |      |  |  |
| 3             | Hematoxylin and eosin section showing               | 21   |  |  |
|               | lymphovascular invasion.                            |      |  |  |
| 4             | DFS curves for all possible total                   | 45   |  |  |
|               | immunohistochemistry (IHC) scores in                |      |  |  |
|               | patients receiving any adjuvant endocrine           |      |  |  |
|               | therapy.  |      |  |  |
| 5             | Schematic comparison of human $\text{ER}\alpha$ and | 47   |  |  |
|               | ERβ protein structure.                              |      |  |  |
| 6             | Models of estrogen action.                          | 50   |  |  |
| 7             | The signal transduction pathways leading            | 56   |  |  |
|               | to Ser167 phosphorylation.                          |      |  |  |
| 8             | Schematic representation of the structure           | 81   |  |  |
|               | of MAPK pathways                                    |      |  |  |

| 9  | Rapamycin-sensitive signal transduction  | 95  |  |
|----|--|-----|--|
|    | pathway.                                 |     |  |
| 10 | Mechanism of action of current therapies | 111 |  |
|    | for HER2-expressing breast cancer.       |     |  |
| 11 | PARP1 and BRCA function: Rational for    | 128 |  |
|    | the use of PARP1 inhibitors in treatment |     |  |
|    | of breast cancers with non functional    |     |  |
|    | BRCA.                                    |     |  |
| 12 | cDNA microchip analysis of samples from  | 140 |  |
|    | women with early node-negative breast    |     |  |
|    | cancer.                                  |     |  |
| 13 | Performance of a microarray-derived 70-  | 142 |  |
|    | gene multigene signature.                |     |  |
| 14 | Oncotype Dx recurrence score (RS): genes | 151 |  |
|    | and algorithm.                           |     |  |
| 15 | The MINDACT (Microarray in Node-         | 163 |  |
|    | Negative Disease May Avoid               |     |  |
|    | Chemotherapy) trial design.              |     |  |
| 16 | Schema showing Trial Assigning           | 166 |  |
|    | Individualized Options for Treatment     |     |  |
|    | (TAILORx) design.                        |     |  |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |                |              |                 |    | Page |
|-------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|----|------|
| 1     | New cases of   | f breast can | eer by gender i | n  | 2    |
|       | NCI (2002-2    | 003)         |                 |    |      |
| 2     | Prognostic     | groups       | according       | to | 19   |
|       | histological t | ype          |                 |    |      |

### Introduction and aim of the work

Breast cancer is a major public health problem for women throughout the world. It is the most frequent cancer in women and the second most frequent cause of cancer death. However, it is the leading cause of cancer death in women aged <65 years (*Pegram & Casciato*, 2009).

The stage distribution based on SEER (Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results) Summary Stage 2000 shows that 60% of breast cancer cases are diagnosed while the cancer is still confined to the primary site (localized stage); 33% are diagnosed after the cancer has spread to regional lymph nodes or directly beyond the primary site; 5% are diagnosed after the cancer has already metastasized (distant stage) and for the remaining 2% the staging information was unknown. The corresponding 5-year relative survival rates were: 98.3% for localized; 83.5% for regional; 23.3% for distant; and 57.7% for unstaged (Horner et al., 2009).

In Egypt, Breast cancer accounted for as high as 37.6% of all reported tumors in females (*Freedman et al.*, 2006). Between January 2002 and December 2003, there

were 3,519 new cases of breast cancer attending the NCI (National Cancer Institute). These cases accounted for 19% of all 18,496 newly diagnosed proven malignant cases. There were 82 males (0.9% of all cancer types) and 3,437 females (37.5% of all cancer types). The median age for males was 53.5 years and 49 years for the females. The distribution of the cases by year and gender is shown in Table 1. Breast cancer ranked 1st most common cancer site among females *(NCI, 2003)*. In the department of Clinical Oncology at Ain Shams University Hospitals, breast cancer accounted for 25.7% of all new cases in 2009.

|         | 2002        | 2003        | 2002-03     |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gender  | n (%)       | n (%)       | n (%)       |
| Males   | 41 (0.9)    | 41 (0.9)    | 82 (0.9)    |
| Females | 1719 (38.0) | 1718 (37.1) | 3437 (37.5) |
| Total   | 1760 (19.2) | 1759 (18.9) | 3519 (19.0) |

**Table 1:** New Cases of Breast Cancer by Gender, NCI 2002-03 *(NCI, 2003)*.

Although breast cancer has traditionally been less common in non-industrialized nations, its incidence in these areas is increasing. Estrogen-receptor-negative tumors tend to occur earlier in life and estrogen-receptor-positive tumors are more common in older women, and they seem to have different underlying causes and pathobiology. Reproductive and lifestyle factors have opposing effects, with nulliparity, obesity, and oral contraceptive use decreasing the risk of early-onset breast cancers while increasing the risk in older women (*Benson et al. 2009*).

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease encompassing a wide variety of pathological entities that are reported to have distinct clinical behaviors (*Geyer et al.*, 2009). Invasive duct carcinoma accounts for 70% to 80% of breast cancers with a highly variable clinical prognosis. Lobular carcinoma comes next with 10% to 15% of cases (*Pegram and Casciato*, 2009).

Traditional prognostic factors include the axillary lymph node status, the tumor size, and the nuclear and histologic grade (*Esteva & Hortobagyi*, 2004). Although clinicopathological and IHC (Immunohistochemistry) factors that are commonly used for breast cancer provide prognostic information and have been proven to be

clinically useful, they are not able to predict perfectly. For example, the lymph node status is the best available prognostic marker for survival, but there are about 50% of node-positive breast cancer patients who will not develop recurrence, even without adjuvant chemotherapy treatment, whereas 25% of node-negative patients will develop micrometastatic disease and may suffer from the recurrence. (Modlich et al., 2006)

Interest in novel prognostic markers is based on the fact that a significant number of patients with early-stage breast cancer harbor microscopic metastasis at the time of diagnosis. It is now well established that adjuvant systemic therapy improves survival in such patients (*Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group*, 2005).

Systemic therapies are potentially toxic, and identifying the individual patients who are at high risk and likely to benefit remains a major challenge. Unfortunately, the histologic information is clearly not sufficient to accurately assess individual risk and to possibly avoid adjuvant systemic therapy (*Esteva and Hortobagy*, 2004).