



**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Structural Engineering**

Seismic Behavior of Confined Masonry Walls Retrofitted by Fiber Reinforced Polymers

A THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the Requirements of the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN CIVIL ENGINEERING

Submitted by

ISLAM MOHAMED MOHAMED ISMAIL MANTAWY

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering - Structural Eng.-2010
Ain Shams University – Faculty of Engineering

Supervised by

PROF. DR. AMR ALI ABDELRAHMAN

Professor of Concrete Structures
Structural Engineering Department
Ain Shams University

DR. HUSSEIN OSAMA OKAIL

Assistant Professor
Structural Engineering Department
Ain Shams University

**June 2013
Cairo-Egypt**

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Eng.)

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other university or institution.

Name: Islam Mohamed Mohamed Ismail Mantawy

Signature:

Date: 20-06-2013

AUTHOR

Name: Islam Mohamed Mohamed Ismail Mantawy

Date of Birth: 1 April 1988

Place of Birth: Cairo, Egypt.

Nationality: Egyptian

University Degree: B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2010.

Current Job: Teaching Assistant at Structural department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Structural Engineering

EXAMINER'S COMMITTEE

Name: **Islam Mohamed Mohamed Ismail Mantawy**

Thesis: **Seismic Behavior of Confined Masonry Walls
Retrofitted by Fiber Reinforced Polymers**

Degree: **Master of Science in Civil Engineering**

Name and Affiliation	Signature
Prof. Dr. Mashhour Ahmed Ghoneim Professor of Concrete Structures Structural Engineering Department Cairo University	
Prof. Dr. Ezz Eldin Yazeed Ahmed Professor of Steel Structures Structural Engineering Department Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Amr Ali Abdelrahman Professor of Concrete Structures Structural Engineering Department Ain Shams University	

Date: 20/06/2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I thank ALLAH who guided and helped me to finish this work in the proper shape.

I would like to thank my dear supervisor **Prof. Amr Ali ABDELRAHMAN** professor of concrete structures, faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University for his experienced advice, continuous support and deep encouragement through all phases of the work

I am grateful to **Dr. Hussien Osama Okail** , Associate Professor of concrete structures, faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University, for his experienced advice, continuous support and deep encouragement through all phases of the work.

I would like to thank my father, mother and my whole family for their continuous support.

I would like to thank the technicians of the reinforced concrete laboratory, Ain Shams University

Finally, I would like to thank my dear friends and colleagues who help me in completion of this work, especially Amr Hassan Ramadan, Mostafa Metwaly and Amr Abd el Khalik

ABSTRACT

TITLE : “Seismic Behavior of Confined Masonry Walls Retrofitted by Fiber Reinforced Polymers”

Submitted by : Eng. Islam Mohamed Mohamed Mantawy

Supervised by :Prof.Dr. Amr Ali Abdelrahman

Dr. Hussien Osama Okail

Confined masonry building is a common kind of construction found in many parts of the world. It represents one of the cheap and economic forms of construction. With the lack of codified design provisions in addition to experience base construction of such buildings, the issue of retrofitting existing confined masonry structures surface as the primary aim of the thesis at hand. The primary aim of thesis was to conduct an extensive experimental – analytical investigation of confined masonry wall assemblies retrofitted with CFRP sheets subjected to lateral loads. In this respect, the experimental phase of the research included the testing of three full-scale wall assemblies, consisting of a clay masonry panel, two confining columns and a tie beam retrofitted by CFRP under a combination of vertical load and monotonic pushover up to failure. Wall assemblies had various configurations, namely solid, perforated with window and door openings. The retrofitting scheme relied on using epoxy bonded CFRP sheets applied to untested walls in configurations tracking the principal tension fields. Experimental results showed that the wall assemblies experience a shear failure at the ends of the lightly reinforced confining elements after the failure of the diagonal struts formed in the masonry wall due to transversal diagonal tension. The application of CFRP sheets enhanced the lateral load capacity and displacement ductility significantly. Wall specimens' results were compared with unretrofitted walls tested by other researchers in the research program.

In the analytical phase of the research program, a numerical model based on nonlinear finite element analysis was developed and validated in light of the experimental program. The analytical results from the finite element analysis of both retrofitted and unretrofitted walls shows

that the developed models are capable with high accuracy to capture the ultimate load and displacement capacities of the tested walls

Key experimental and analytical findings indicate that using Fiber Reinforced Polymer to retrofit confined masonry walls enhance the lateral load behavior of confined masonry walls significantly. A parametric study was carried to expand results database for multiple design variations including CFRP amount, CFRP configuration, eccentric openings and opening location. By increasing the amount of Fiber Reinforcement Polymers, the lateral load capacity for both solid wall and wall with window opening as well as ultimate displacement capacity increased significantly. The increase was significantly lower for walls with door opening.

The parametric study also shed some light on different CFRP configurations that showed either economic or response merits over the experimentally tested configurations. The analyses of perforated walls with eccentric openings showed that the effect of CFRP sheets on the increase of ultimate lateral load and ultimate displacement capacities decreases as the amount of perforation in the confined panel increases. For opening location for retrofitting perforated walls, CFRP sheets showed a similar enhancement for panels with eccentric perforations when compared to concentric ones.

Keywords: Confined Masonry, Seismic Behavior, Lateral Loads, Shear Failure, Retrofitting, Composite Material, CFRP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER (1)	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES	3
1.3 SCOPE	3
1.4 THESIS LAYOUT	4
CHAPTER (2)	6
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 GENERAL	6
2.2 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	8
2.3 DAMAGE PATTERN AND OVERALL SEISMIC BEHAVIOR	11
2.4 PARAMETER EFFECTS ON PERFORMANCE OF CONFINED MASONRY UNDER LATERAL LOADS	15
2.4.1 Confining elements	15
2.4.2 Opening	20
2.4.3 Masonry-concrete interface	22
2.5 REINFORCING, STRENGTHENING AND RETROFITTING WITH FRP	23
2.5.1 Reinforcing, Strengthening and Retrofitting with FRP	28
2.5.1.1 Reinforcing, Strengthening and Retrofitting with FRP Sheets or Laminates	28
2.5.1.2 Reinforcing, Strengthening and Retrofitting with CFRP Strips	34
2.6 LATERAL LOAD CAPACITY	36
2.6.1 Effects of height of lateral forces, column reinforcement and wall reinforcements on seismic behavior of confine masonry walls.	36
2.6.2 Seismic behavior of confined masonry walls	37
CHAPTER (3)	41
EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	41
3.1 GENERAL	41
3.2 OBJECTIVES OF THE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	42
3.3 DESIGN OF THE TEST SPECIMENS	42
3.4 MATERIAL PROPERTIES	43
3.4.1 Concrete	43
3.4.2 Steel Reinforcement	44
3.4.3 Mortar	45
3.4.4 Clay Masonry	45
3.5 SPECIMEN DETAILS	45
3.5.1 Wall Assembly	46
3.6 FABRICATION OF THE TEST SPECIMENS	49
3.6.1 Fabrication of wall foundation	50
3.6.2 Fabrication of wall	54
3.6.3 Fabrication of confining elements	58
3.7 INSTRUMENTATION	61
3.7.1 Steel Strains	64

3.8	TEST CONFIGURATION	66
3.8.1	Loading.....	66
3.8.2	Supports	68
3.8.3	Preparation for Testing	69
3.9	TESTING PROCEDURE.....	69
3.10	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	70
3.10.1	Wall specimen (CLY-S-CTRL)	70
3.10.2	Wall specimen (CLY-P-W)	72
3.10.3	Wall specimen (CLY-P-D)	75
3.11	CFRP PROPERTIES	77
3.11.1	Fibers	77
3.11.2	Polymers.....	78
3.12	SPECIMEN DETAILS	80
3.12.1	Amount of CFRP sheets.....	80
3.12.1.1	Retrofitted Solid Wall	80
3.12.1.2	Retrofitted Wall with Window Opening.....	82
3.12.1.3	Retrofitted wall with door opening	86
3.12.2	Instulation of CFRP sheets	88
3.13	INSTRUMENTATION	91
CHAPTER (4)		92
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS		92
4.1	TESTING PROCEDURE.....	92
4.2	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	92
4.2.1	Wall Specimen (CLY-S-CFRP)	93
4.2.2	Wall specimen (CLY-W-CFRP).....	97
4.2.3	Wall specimen (CLY-D-CFRP)	101
CHAPTER (5)		106
FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND PARAMETRIC STUDY		106
5.1	GENERAL	106
5.2	MODEL GEOMETRY	108
5.3	ELEMENTS	108
5.3.1	Assembly	109
5.3.2	Finite Element Meshing	111
5.4	MATERIAL MODELS	112
5.4.1	Concrete and Masonry Model	113
5.4.2	Steel Reinforcement Model	116
5.4.3	Fiber Reinforced polymers Model	117
5.5	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	118
5.6	LOADING.....	118
5.7	VERIFICATION	119
5.7.1	CLY-S-CFRP Results	120
5.7.2	CLY-W-CFRP Results	123
5.7.3	CLY-D-CFRP Results	126
5.7.4	Validation Results	129
5.8	ANALYTICAL EXTENSION USING FE MODELS	129
5.8.1	Solid Walls	129
5.8.2	Walls with Window Opening.....	141

5.8.3 Walls with Door opening	161
CHAPTER (6)	185
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	185
6.1 SUMMARY	185
6.2 CONCLUSIONS	186
6.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	187

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 2.1: (a) A completely demolished URM dwelling, (b) An intact CM building, 2003 Bam earthquake, Iran (Eshghi and Naserasadi, 2005)</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Figure 2.2: Main elements of confined masonry system (Blondet, 2005)</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Figure 2.3: difference between confined masonry system and reinforced concrete frames with masonry infills (Bill McEwen 2005)</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Figure 2.4: Illustration of the seismic behavior beyond cracking limit state</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Figure 2.5: Post-peak behavior of a typical CM wall (Zabala, 2004)</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Figure 2.6: CM house with damage concentration in the first story(a) Alcocer et al.2004 (b) 1997 Punitaqui earthquake, Chile (Gomez et al. 2002)</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Figure 2.7: Flexural failure and penetration of cracks into tie columns ends (Zabala et al. 2004)</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Figure 2.8: The beneficial effects of confinement on the seismic response of masonry panels (Tomazevic and Klemenc, 1997(a))</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Figure 2.9: Extensive damage to the column-beam joint due to improper reinforcement detailing, 1985 Llolleo Earthquake, Chile (Gomez et al. 2002)</i>	<i>18</i>

Figure 2.10: Constructive effect of opening confinement on post-cracking seismic performance of CM walls (Leonardo et al. 2004)	21
Figure 2.11: Extensive damage to masonry piers due to the lack of proper opening confinement, 1985 Llolele Earthquake, Chile (Gomez et al. 2002)	22
Figure 2.12: Separation of masonry walls and tie column due to the lack of proper bond (Yushimura et al. 2004)	23
Figure 2.13: Destruction of Building [Blondet et al. 2004]	24
Figure 2.14: Available Shapes of FRP Products [ISIS Design Manual No. 3 2007] ..	26
Figure 2.15: Strengthening Masonry using FRP [Zhuge 2008]	27
Figure 2.16: Test Setup [El-Gawady et al. 2005]	29
Figure 2.17: Test Setup [Mahmood et al. 2008]	31
Figure 2.18: Test Setup (left) and Spraying Polyurea to one URM	32
Figure 2.19: Apparatus for Testing Panels [Marcari et al. 2007]	35
Figure 2.20: Shape and distribution of interaction forces between tie-column and masonry wall panel	39
Figure 3.1: Geometry details of tested unretrofitted solid clay wall	47
Figure 3.2: Geometry details of tested unretrofitted solid clay wall with window opening (Dimensions in mm)	48
Figure 3.3: Geometry details of tested unretrofitted solid clay wall with door opening (Dimensions in mm)	49
Figure 3.4: Details of wall reinforcement in the foundation (Dimensions in mm)	50
Figure 3.5: Typical reinforcement cage installed into form for foundation specimens.	51
Figure 3.6: Reinforcement cage installed into form.	52
Figure 3.7: Casting process of foundation specimens.	53
Figure 3.8: Finishing process of foundation specimens.	54
Figure 3.9: Details of the masonry in wall (Dimensions in mm)	55
Figure 3.10: Construction process of wall specimens	57
Figure 3.11: Details of reinforcement in confining elements (Dimensions in mm)	58
Figure 3.12: Typical reinforcement cage for confining elements	59
Figure 3.13: Reinforcement cage installed into form	60
Figure 3.14: Curing process of wall specimens	61
Figure 3.15: Measurement devices used in the tests	62
Figure 3.16: Position of instrumentation scheme for solid walls (Dimensions in mm)	62
Figure 3.17: Position of instrumentation scheme for walls with window opening (Dimensions in mm)	63
Figure 3.18: Position of instrumentation scheme for walls with door opening (Dimensions in mm)	63
Figure 3.19: Steel strain gauge attached to a steel bar, glued and water-proofed. ...	64
Figure 3.20: Steel strain gauge installed per tie column.	65
Figure 3.21: Steel strain gauge attached to the bottom reinforcement of the bond beam.	65
Figure 3.22: Load cells, LVDT's and strain gauges connected with computer system.	66
Figure 3.23: Axial distributed load of the wall specimen.	67
Figure 3.24: concentrated lateral load applied with the axis of the bond beam.	68
Figure 3.25: two supports to avoid wall sliding and wall uplift.	69
Figure 3.26: Load-Displacement curve for wall (CLY-S-CTRL)	71
Figure 3.27: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CTRL)	71

Figure 3.28: Sketch of crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CTRL).....	72
Figure 3.29: Load-Displacement curve for wall (CLY-P-W).....	73
Figure 3.30: First crack at load 100 kN at a displacement of 7.43 mm (CLY-P-W) .	73
Figure 3.31: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-P-W).....	74
Figure 3.32: Sketch of crack pattern for wall (CLY-P-W)	75
Figure 3.33: Load-Displacement curve for wall (CLY-P-D)	76
Figure 3.34: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-P-D)	76
Figure 3.35: Sketch of crack pattern for wall (CLY-P-D).....	77
Figure 3.36: Sikadur®-330.....	79
Figure 3.37: STM for solid wall under 320 kN horizontal load	81
Figure 3.38: Geometry details of tested retrofitted solid clay wall	82
Figure 3.39: STM for wall with window opening under 250 kN horizontal load	84
Figure 3.40: Tension forces at ties and their resultants around window opening	84
Figure 3.41: Geometry details of tested retrofitted solid clay wall with window opening (Dimensions in mm).....	85
Figure 3.42: STM for wall with door opening under 170 kN horizontal load.....	87
Figure 3.43: Geometry details of tested retrofitted solid clay wall with door opening (Dimensions in mm)	88
Figure 3.44: Configuration of CFRP sheet for retrofitted solid wall	89
Figure 3.45: Configuration of CFRP sheet for retrofitted wall with window opening	90
Figure 3.46: Configuration of CFRP sheet for retrofitted wall with door opening ...	90
Figure 4.1: Load Displacement curve for wall (CLY-S-CFRP) V.S. wall (CLY-S-CTRL)	93
Figure 4.2: Load-Strain curve for CFRP Sheet for wall (CLY-S-CFRP)	94
Figure 4.3: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CFRP) at Failure	94
Figure 4.4: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CFRP) at lower right part.....	95
Figure 4.5: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CFRP) at upper left part.....	95
Figure 4.6: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CFRP) around CFRP Sheet	96
Figure 4.7: Sketch of crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CFRP)	96
Figure 4.8: Load Displacement curve for wall (CLY-W-CFRP) V.S. wall (CLY-P-W)	97
Figure 4.9: Load-Strain curve for CFRP Sheet for wall (CLY-W-CFRP)	98
Figure 4.10: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-W-CFRP) at Failure	98
Figure 4.11: Debonding failure at CFRP sheet for (CLY-W-CFRP).....	99
Figure 4.12: Crack pattern above window opening for (CLY-W-CFRP)	99
Figure 4.13: Crack pattern below window opening for (CLY-W-CFRP)	100
Figure 4.14: Crack pattern at corner of back side of (CLY-W-CFRP)	100
Figure 4.15: Sketch of crack pattern for wall (CLY-S-CFRP) at back face	101
Figure 4.16: Load Displacement curve for wall (CLY-D-CFRP) V.S. wall (CLY-P-D)	102
Figure 4.17: Load-Strain curve for CFRP Sheet for wall (CLY-D-CFRP)	102
Figure 4.18: Crack pattern for wall (CLY-D-CFRP) at Failure	103
Figure 4.19: Crack pattern for wall segments at both sides of door opening for (CLY-D-CFRP) at Failure	103
Figure 4.20: Crack pattern for wall segment above door opening for (CLY-D-CFRP) at Failure	104
Figure 4.21: Crack pattern between wall segment and footing for (CLY-D-CFRP) at 180 kN.....	104
Figure 4.22: Sketch of crack pattern for wall (CLY-D-CFRP)	105

Figure 5.1: Model for wall (CLY-S-CTRL),(CLY-P-W) and (CLY-P-D) respectively.	109
Figure 5.2: Interface between masonry wall and confining elements.	110
Figure 5.3: Model for wall (CLY-S-CFRP),(CLY-W-CFRP) and (CLY-D-CFRP) respectively.	110
Figure 5.4: Load-Displacement curve for (CLY-S-CFRP) for both mesh size of 40 mm and 60 mm.	111
Figure 5.5: 3D Meshing of concrete part (3D view and cross section)	112
Figure 5.6: Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion.	114
Figure 5.7: Mohr-Coulomb yield surface in meridional and deviatoric planes.	116
Figure 5.8: Bilinear Behavior for Steel.	117
Figure 5.9: Stress Strain Curve for Fiber Reinforced Polymer	117
Figure 5.10: Boundary condition for walls	118
Figure 5.11: Loading scheme for FE model	119
Figure 5.12: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship compared to corresponding experimental relationship for both (CLY-S-CTRL) and (CLY-S-CFRP).	120
Figure 5.13: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship compared to corresponding experimental relationship for (CLY-S-CFRP).	121
Figure 5.14: Principle stress trajectories for both (CLY-S-CTRL) and (CLY-S-CFRP).	121
Figure 5.15: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for both (CLY-S-CTRL) and (CLY-S-CFRP).	122
Figure 5.16: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for both (CLY-S-CTRL) and (CLY-S-CFRP).	122
Figure 5.17: Deformation Shape for both (CLY-S-CTRL) and (CLY-S-CFRP).	122
Figure 5.18: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship compared to corresponding experimental relationship for both (CLY-P-W) and (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL).	123
Figure 5.19: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship compared to corresponding experimental relationship for (CLY-W-CFRP).	124
Figure 5.20: Principle stress trajectories for both (CLY-P-W) and (CLY-W-CFRP).	124
Figure 5.21: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for both (CLY-P-W) and (CLY-W-CFRP).	125
Figure 5.22: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for both (CLY-P-W) and (CLY-W-CFRP).	125
Figure 5.23: Deformation Shape for both (CLY-P-W) and (CLY-W-CFRP).	125
Figure 5.24: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship compared to corresponding experimental relationship for both (CLY-P-D) and (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-SL).	126
Figure 5.25: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship compared to corresponding experimental relationship for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL).	127
Figure 5.26: Principle stress trajectories for both (CLY-P-D) and (CLY-D-CFRP).	127
Figure 5.27: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for both (CLY-P-D) and (CLY-D-CFRP).	128
Figure 5.28: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for both (CLY-P-D) and (CLY-D-CFRP).	128
Figure 5.29: Deformation Shape for both (CLY-P-D) and (CLY-D-CFRP).	128

Figure 5.30: Extended Specimens Shapes for CFRP amount for solid walls	130
Figure 5.31: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship for (CLY-S-CTRL),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-S-CFRP-SF-SL).	131
Figure 5.32: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-S-CFRP-SF-SL).	132
Figure 5.33: Principle stress trajectories for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-S-CFRP-SF-SL).	132
Figure 5.34: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-S-CFRP-SF-SL).	133
Figure 5.35: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-S-CFRP-SF-SL).	133
Figure 5.36: Deformation Shape for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-S-CFRP-SF-SL).	133
Figure 5.37: Extended Specimens Shapes for CFRP Configurations for solid walls.	135
Figure 5.38: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE1),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE2) and (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE3).	136
Figure 5.39: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE1),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE2) and (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE3).	137
Figure 5.40: Principle stress trajectories for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE1),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE2) and (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE3).	138
Figure 5.41: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE1),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE2) and (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE3).	139
Figure 5.42: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonr panel for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE1),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE2) and (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE3).	139
Figure 5.43: Deformation Shape for (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE1),(CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE2) and (CLY-S-CFRP-DF-SL-SHAPE3).	140
Figure 5.44: Extended Specimens Shapes for CFRP amount for walls with window opening	141
Figure 5.45: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship for (CLY-P-W),(CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL).	142
Figure 5.46: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-DL) and T(CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL).	143
Figure 5.47: Principle stress trajectories for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL).	144
Figure 5.48: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL).	144
Figure 5.49: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL).	144
Figure 5.50: Deformation Shape for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL).	145
Figure 5.51: Extended Specimens Shapes for CFRP Configuration for walls with window opening.	146
Figure 5.52: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL-SHAPE1)	147
Figure 5.53: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL-SHAPE1).	148

Figure 5.54: Principle stress trajectories for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL-SHAPE1).....	148
Figure 5.55: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL-SHAPE1).	149
Figure 5.56: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL-SHAPE1).	149
Figure 5.57: Deformation Shape for (CLY-W-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-W-CFRP-SF-SL-SHAPE1).	150
Figure 5.58: Extended Specimens Shapes for Eccentric opening for walls with window opening.....	151
Figure 5.59: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship for walls with eccentric window opening.	152
Figure 5.60: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet for walls with eccentric window opening.	153
Figure 5.61: Principle stress trajectories for walls with eccentric window opening.	154
Figure 5.62: : Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for walls with eccentric window opening.	155
Figure 5.63: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for walls with eccentric window opening.	155
Figure 5.64: Deformation Shape for walls with eccentric window opening.	156
Figure 5.65: Extended Specimens Shapes for opening location for walls with window opening.....	157
Figure 5.66: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship for opening location for walls with window opening.	158
Figure 5.67: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship for opening location for walls with window opening.	159
Figure 5.68: Principle stress trajectories for opening location for walls with window opening	159
Figure 5.69: Principle stress trajectories for opening location for walls with window opening	160
Figure 5.70: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for opening location for walls with window opening.....	160
Figure 5. 71: Deformation shapes for opening location for walls with window opening	161
Figure 5.72: Extended Specimens Shapes for CFRP amount for walls with door opening	162
Figure 5.73: Predicated load vs. Displacement relationship for (CLY-P-D),(CLY-D-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-D-CFRP-SF-SL).	163
Figure 5.74: Predicated load vs. Strain in CFRP Sheet relationship for (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-D-CFRP-SF-SL).	164
Figure 5.75: Principle stress trajectories for (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-SL),(CLY-D-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-D-CFRP-SF-SL).	164
Figure 5.76: Principle stress trajectories in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-D-CFRP-SF-SL).	164
Figure 5.77: Principle stress contours in Concrete frame and masonry panel for (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-D-CFRP-SF-SL).	165
Figure 5.78: Deformation Shape for (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-SL), (CLY-D-CFRP-DF-DL) and (CLY-D-CFRP-SF-SL).	165
Figure 5.79: Extended Specimens Shapes for CFRP Configuration for walls with door opening.....	166