

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Electronics and Communications Engineering Department

Study of the Photovoltaic Behavior of Coaxial Nanowires

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Master of Science in Electrical Engineering Electronics and Communication Engineering

Submitted by

Sameh Osama Ezzat Abdellatif

B.Sc. of Electrical Engineering

(Electronics and Communications Engineering)

Ain Shams University, 2009

Supervised By

Prof. Wagdi Refaat Anis Prof. Hani Amin Ghali Dr. Khaled Abdel Wahab Kirah



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Electronics and Communications Engineering Department

Examiners Committee

Name: Sameh Osama Ezzat Abdellatif

Thesis: Study of the Photovoltaic Behavior of Coaxial

Nanowires

Faculty of Engineering,

Electronics and Communications Engineering Dept.

Degree: Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

(Electronics and Communications Engineering)

Title, Name and Affiliation	Signature
Professor Abdelhalim Mahmoud Shousha Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering, Electronics and Communications Engineering Dept.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Prof. Hani Fikry Ragai Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering, Electronics and Communications Engineering Dept.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Prof. Wagdi Refaat Anis Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering, Electronics and Communications Engineering Dept.	
Dr. Khaled Abdel Wahab Kirah Ain Shams University,	••••••

Curriculum Vitae

Name of the Sameh Osama Ezzat Abdellatif

Researcher

Date of birth January, 12, 1987

Place of birth London, United Kingdom

First University B.Sc in Electrical Engineering,

Degree Electronics and Communication

Department, Ain Shams University

Date of Degree June, 2009

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Electrical Engineering (Electronics and Communications Engineering).

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author at the Electronics and Communications Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

No part of this thesis was submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Name: Sameh Osama Ezzat Abdellatif

Signature:

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Wagdi Anis, Prof. Hani Ghali and Dr. Khaled Kirah for their continuous guidance, encouragement and help. They helped me throughout the Thesis. I learned so many valuable things from them. I would like also to thank them for their patience.

I would also like to thank all of the other Ain Shams university, faculty of engineering members from whom I took classes while here. Also i would like to acknowledge my collogue Houssam Abdelrhman who help me in dealing with Comsol.

Many Thanks go to my colleagues and friends for their support and help during my thesis. Last but not least, I would like to thank my family. Their patience, care, and love are what made me.

Study of the Photovoltaic Behavior of Coaxial Nanowires

Sameh Osama Ezzat Abdellatif

Supervised By

Prof. Wagdi Refaat Anis, Prof. Hani Amin Ghali, and Dr. Khaled Abdel Wahab Kirah

ABSTRACT

In a traditional planar pn junction used in a photovoltaic (PV) device, light absorption and minority carrier collection occur in the same dimension. The device must be thick enough to effectively absorb the maximum number of incident photons. It must also be fabricated using materials having a minority-carrier diffusion length long enough to allow for efficient collection of the photogenerated carriers. This sets a lower limit on the absorber material quality. Therefore, highly efficient PV devices currently require highly pure materials and expensive processing techniques.

The radial pn junction design was suggested to decouple light absorption in a direction perpendicular to minority-carrier transport. This allows the device to be thick enough for effective light absorption, while also providing a short pathway for carrier collection.

This thesis investigates the radial pn junction design for vertical-aligned nanowire (NW) PV devices. First, the performance of Silicon (Si), an indirect band-gap semiconductor, and Gallium Arsenide (GaAs), a direct band-gap semiconductor as the NW material are compared. The fill factor, the power conversion efficiency, the optimum device length, the spectrum of the quantum efficiency and the sensitivity to temperature variations are investigated. Moreover,

other materials like germanium (Ge), gallium indium phosphate (GaInP) and gallium indium Arsenide (GaInAs) are tried as the NW material. The array effects for nanowires of each material alone then of arrays of mixed types are simulated.

The effect of increasing the cell efficiency by enclosing the NW in a nanoring optical antenna to increase the electric field in the near field region is then investigated. The five materials stated above are selected to maximize the absorbed solar spectrum. In addition, the position and diameter of the NW are controlled through a random distribution to optimize the total output efficiency. Results show that the ring antenna geometry and the NW random spatial distribution are effective in both spectral widening and optical field concentration which result in an increase of the cell efficiency.

The use of nano-fractal antenna for plasmonic coupling to enhance the NW PV efficiency is also considered. Sierpinski and Apollonian nano-fractals are used with three different topologies. Electric field enhancement and the total efficiency are calculated.

All simulations are verified by comparing with the available published experimental and computational results.

Contents

List of Figures	I
List of Tables	VIII
List of Abbreviations	IX
List of Symbols	X
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Energy harvesting systems	3
1.2.1 Light and Solar Cells energy harvesting	3
1.2.2 Piezoelectric energy harvesting	4
1.3 Self-powered Nano-systems	8
1.3.1 An example for an application of Self-powered systems	9
1.3.2 An Example for Piezoelectric Micro-power-generator: MEMS Energy-ha Self-powered Wireless corrosion-monitoring System:	-
1.4 Solar Energy Harvesting	10
1.5 The Photovoltaic Market and Industry	14
1.6 Thesis Outline	17
Chapter Two: Literature Review	19
2.1 Introduction:	19
2.2 Solar Cell Efficiency:	20
2.3 Light Absorption:	20
2.4 Problem facing planar pn junction:	25
2.5 The Radial pn Junction, Wire Array Solar Cell:	25
2.5.1 Transport Equations in the Radial Geometry:	26
2.5.2 J-V characteristic of PV device:	31
2.5.3 Model Assumptions	33
2.5.4 Limitations on the model:	34
2.5.5 J-V curves	34
2.5.6 Numerical Simulations	38
2.6 Fabrication Process	41
2.6.1 Production of Si for photovoltaic:	41
2.6.2 The Vapor-Liquid-Solid (VLS) Process	42

2.7 Published Experimental Results	42
Chapter Three: Single Nanowire Behavior	48
3.1 Introduction	48
3.2 Simulation Parameters	48
3.3 <i>J-V</i> curves	49
3.3.1 Comparing Direct and Indirect Band-gap materials J-V curves	49
3.3.2 J-V curves for other materials	50
3.4 Optimized length for maximum efficiency	52
3.5 Light absorption and quantum efficiency	53
3.6 Temperature Effect On a Nanowire Solar Cell	58
3.7 Nanowire p-n Junction Circuit Model	62
3.8 Array Effect	65
3.8.1 Si Nanowire array	65
3.8.2 GaAs Nanowire Array	66
3.8.3 Mixed Si and GaAs nanowire arrays	67
Chapter Four: Hot Spot and Antenna Effects	69
4.1 Introduction	69
4.2 Optical Antenna:	70
4.2.1 Optical Nano-antenna Characteristics	71
4.2.2 Optical Nano-antenna Fabrication	78
4.2.3 Optical Antennas for Photovoltaic Application	80
4.3 Modeling and Simulation of Nanoring Optical Antenna	82
4.3.1 Single NW Simulation	83
4.3.2 Spectrally Distributed Nanowire Array	84
4.3.3 Spatial and spectral distributed array	86
4.4 Plasmonic Coupling in Nano-fractal Antenna	88
4.4.1 Fractal Geometry	89
4.4.2 Fractal Antenna	91
4.4.3 Modeling and Simulating Nanwires inside a Nano-Sierpinski Fractal Antenna	93
4.4.4 Modeling and Simulating Nanwires inside a Nano-Appolony Fractal Antenna	95
Chapter Five: Conclusion	98
References	100

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Energy density comparison for selected systems [10]5
Figure 1.2: Ambient energy sources and energy harvesting systems [11]8
Figure 1.3: Photovoltaic (PV) power costs (\$/W) as function of module efficiency and areal cost. The cost figure of merit for PV cell modules (\$/W) is determined by the ratio of the module cost per unit area divided by the maximum electric power per unit area. Dashed lines are constant \$/W. Highlighted regions refer to Generation I, Generation II (thin-film PV), and Generation III (advanced/future PV) solar cells [18]
Figure 1.4: (a) Schematic diagram of the dual-diameter nanopillar arraylayer (DNPL); and (b) light absorbance comparison of the DNPL layer with those of uniform diameter nanopillar arrays. [20]
Figure 1.5: Schematic comparisons of electron pathways in (a) zero dimensional nanomaterials and (b) one-dimensional nanomaterials. [20]
Figure 1.6: World PV Cell/Module Production frm 2000 to 2010 (data source: Navigant [Min 2010, 2011], Photon International [Pho 2011], PV News [Pvn 2011] and own analysis [21]16
Figure 1.7: World-wide PV Production 2010 with future planned production capacity increases.[22]
Figure 2. 1: The AM 1.5 global (AM 1.5G) spectrum [24]21
Figure 2. 2: Photon absorption in a direct band gap semiconductor for an incident photon with energy > EG [25]
Figure 2. 3: Photon absorption in an indirect band gap semiconductor [25]23
Figure 2. 4: Absorption coefficient as a function of photon energy for Si (indirect band gap) and GaAs (direct bandgap) at 300 K. Their band gaps are 1.12 and 1.4 eV, respectively. [26]24
Figure 2. 5: Absorption per unit area of Si and GaAs, of photons in the AM 1.5G solar spectrum [2] (at 0.1 W cm ⁻² intensity) [23]24

Figure 2.6: Planner p-n junction. [23]2
Figure 2.7: Radial p-n junction array [23]20
Figure 2.8: Energy Band diagram. [23]27
Figure 2.9: Radial Nanowire. [23]28
Figure 2.10: Example of (a) 3D and (b) plan views of efficiency η , and 3D views of (c) J_{sc} , and (d) V_{oc} , versus cell thickness L and wire radius R for a radial pn junction cell. This particular example is for a Si wire with $L_n = 1$ µm in the p-type core, with depletion region trap densitived at 10^{14} cm ⁻³ , and with surface recombination velocity S of 10^5 cm s ⁻¹ at the external surface of the wires. Note that peak efficiency is obtained when $L \approx 100$ µm and $R \approx 0.5 - 1$ at L_n .[23]
Figure 2.11: Example of (a) 3D and (b) plan views of efficiency η , and 3D views of (c) J_{sc} , an (d) V_{oc} , versus cell thickness L and wire radius R for a radial pn junction cell. This particular example is for a Si wire with $L_n = 1$ µm in the p-type core, with a homogeneous trap distribution and with surface recombination velocity S of 105 cm s ⁻¹ at the external surface of the wires Note that in this case of depletion region dominated recombination, optimal efficiency occurs a cell thicknesses of far less than 100 µm [23]
Figure 2.12: Efficiency η vs. cell thickness L and quasineutral region minority-electron diffusion length L_n for (a) a conventional planar pn junction Si cell and (b) a radial pn junction Si cell. Depletion region trap density is held fixed at a relatively low level, $N_r = 10^{14}$ cm ⁻³ , so the depletion region lifetime $\tau_{n0} = 1$ μ s, leading to quasineutral region dominated recombination. If the radial pn junction case, the cell radius R is set equal to L_n , a condition that was found to be near optimal [23]
Figure 2.13: Efficiency η vs. cell thickness L and quasineutral region minority-electron diffusion length L_n for (a) a conventional planar pn junction GaAs cell and (b) a radial pn junction GaA cell. In both cases the top surface shown in the plot has a depletion region trap density fixed a 10^{14} cm ⁻³ , while the bottom surface has a depletion-region trap density equal to the trap densition the quasineutral region, at each value of L_n . In the radial pn junction case, the cell radius R is set equal to L_n , a condition that was found to be near optimal [23]
Figure 2.14: Device geometry of a quarter of axial (left) and coreshell (right) nanowire, n and regions are indicated, the optical generation distribution is also given [30]38

Figure 2.15: Current vs. voltage (left) and efficiency vs. voltage (right) of axial and core-shell nanowires under AM1.5d illumination [30]
Figure 2.16: Schematic of an n-type a-Si nanowire solar cell. Light is absorbed along the axial direction, and the optically generated carriers are transported along the radial direction [32]
Figure 2.17: Dependence of short-circuit current density, open-circuit voltage, fill factor, and conversion efficiency on intrinsic absorption layer thickness in nanowire and planar solar cells. The thicknesses of the p- and n-layers are 20 and 25 nm, respectively. The diameter and length of the nanowires are 25 and 400 nm, respect [32]
Figure 2.18: SEM images taken at different stages of device structure preparation. (a) As grown GaAs NWs array, (b) after PMMA deposition, (c) top view of the resulting structure (after oxygen plasma treatment), (d) schematic view of the device structure testing [33]
Figure 2.19: a J–V characteristic of the device structure measured in dark, b J–V characteristics in dark and under illumination with an intensity of 100 mW/cm [33]43
Figure 2.20: Silicon nanowire solar cell structure. (a) Schematic cell design with the single crystalline n-Si NW core in brown, the polycrystalline p-Si shell in blue, and the back contact in black. (b) Cross-sectional SEM of a completed device demonstrating excellent vertical alignment and dense wire packing. (c) TEM image showing the single crystalline n-Si core and polycrystalline p-Si shell. The inset is the selected area electron diffraction pattern. (d) TEM image from the edge of the core-shell nanowire showing nanocrystalline domains [34]
Figure 2. 21: Silicon nanowire solar cell electrical performance. (a) Current-voltage behavior in the dark and under AM1.5 simulated sunlight irradiation. (b) Semilog plot of the same data used to extract the diode ideality factor of 2.1 [34]
Figure 2.22: Schematic of VLS-grown wire cell, results from the characterization of which are presented in Fig. 2.23 [35]
Figure 2.23: Light and dark J - V scans for a VLS-grown, diffusion-doped cell. In this case, the device was $\approx 0.42 \text{ cm}^2$ in area, and exhibited a J_{sc} of $\approx 12 \text{ mA/cm}^2$, V_{oc} of 213 mV, FF of 33 %, and overall efficiency of 0.87 %. Note that this measurement was made with the wires still attached to their growth substrate, so a significant amount of the photoresponse may be from the

substrate itself. Fig. (a) shows the light and dark J - V response between -1 and +0.8 V. Fig. (b) i a more detailed look at the light J - V response in forward bias for the same cell. [35]4
Figure 2.24: a) Light I–V curves recorded from axial p-i-n Si-nanowire, length = 0 (red), (green), and 4 (black) mm devices with illumination intensity of 100 mW cm ⁻² , AM 1.5 G. (b Plots of Voc (red) and I_{sc} (blue) vs. temperature for a p-i-n (i = 4 mm) device. (c) Dark and light I–V curves of a coaxial Si-nanowire device. (d) Temperature-dependent data from a coaxial device, where the red triangles, black squares and blue circles correspond to FF, Voc and Iso respectively [36]
Figure 2.25: Absorption at each simulated wavelength, determined by 1 - R, where reflection was observed by a field monitor above the simulation volume [37]
Figure 2.26: Internal quantum efficiency simulated under each illumination wavelength, based on absorption data shown in figure 2.25 [36]
Figure 3.1:Schematic of a single wire from the radial pn junction cell [24]49
Figure 3.2: J-V curves for 100 nm radius and 1 μ m length Si nanowire: { $\eta = 5.28$ %, FF = 0.73}5
Figure 3.3: J-V curves for 200 nm radius and 1 μm length GaAs nanowire: (η = 14.14 %, FF = 0.85)
Figure 3.4: J-V Curve For GaP NW PV52
Figure 3.5: J-V Curve For InP53
Figure 3.6 Ge J-V curve55
Figure 3.7: Efficiency vs. nanowire length for Si Nanowire of 100 nm radius. The optimum length is approximately equal to L_n (L_n =13 μ m)
Figure 3.8: Efficiency vs. nanowire length for GaAs nanowire of 200 nm radius. This optimum length is approximately equal to L_n (L_n =6.5 μ m)
Figure 3.9: Absorption at each simulated wavelength for Si determined by 1 – R55
Figure 3.10: Absorption at each simulated wavelength for GaAs determined by 1 – R

Figure 3.11: Quantum Efficiency. [42]57
Figure 3.12: Internal quantum efficiency simulated under each illumination wavelength for Si Nanowire
Figure 3.13: External quantum efficiency simulated under each illumination wavelength, based on absorption data shown in figure 3.9
Figure 3.14: Internal quantum efficiency simulated under each illumination wavelength for GaAs Nanowire
Figure 3.15: External quantum efficiency simulated under each illumination wavelength, based on absorption data shown in figure 3.10
Figure 3.16: Temperature effect on J_{sc} , V_{oc} and FF of Si nanowire solar cells60
Figure 3.17: Temperature Effect on η of Si Nanowire solar cells
Figure 3.18: Temperature effect on J_{sc} , V_{oc} and FF of GaAs nanowire solar cells62
Figure 3.19: Temperature Effect on η of GaAs Nanowire solar cells
Figure 3.20: Ideal model for PV NW p-n junction
Figure 3.21: Practical circuit model
Figure 3.22: Effect of series resistance on the current–voltage characteristic of a solar cell64
Figure 3.23: Effect of shunt resistance on the current–voltage characteristic of a solar cell65
Figure 3.24: The electric field distribution across some of the Si array elements66
Figure 3.25: The normalized absorption coefficient for each element of the Si array66
Figure 3.26: The electric field distribution across some of the GaAs array elements67
Figure 3. 27: The normalized absorption coefficient for each element of the GaAs array67