

BRAIN STEM AUDITORY EVOKED POTENTIAL STUDIES IN DIABETIC PATIENTS

**A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF MASTER
DEGREE**

INVESTIGATED BY:

**MYE ALI FARAG BASHEER
M.B.B.ch.**

SUPERVISED BY:

Dr. SALY HASSAN EL-KHOLY

**Professor of Clinical Neurophysiology
Special Medicine Department
Cairo University**

Dr. HALA RASHAD EL-HABASHY

**Assistant Professor of Clinical Neurophysiology
Special Medicine Department
Cairo University**

Cairo University – 2006

Abstract

Diabetic community is vast enough, giving researchers a very good reason to explore more in their world. One of their rarely probed complications is brainstem dysfunction. In this study, we investigated brainstem auditory evoked potential studies (BAEPs) in diabetic patients, as well as its associations with diabetic complications, especially diabetic microangiopathy. Our study was conducted on 40 diabetic patients having type I or type II. They were classified into two groups; group I (< 5 years duration of illness) and group II (> 5 years duration of illness). Their ages ranged from 20 to 59 years. The patients were examined clinically, neurologically and electrophysiologically. Brainstem auditory evoked potential studies, nerve conduction studies and flash electroretinography were performed to all patients. Urine analysis, instantaneous random blood sugar and funduscopy were also performed for patients. The brainstem auditory evoked potential studies of patients were compared to values of 30 normal subjects and their ages ranged, also, from 20 to 59 years. The diabetic patients experience brainstem dysfunction early in their disease course (before 5 years duration of the illness) that is increased as the disease duration increases. This dysfunction is evident by significant delay of wave V in diabetic patients as compared to normal individuals. Later, after 5 years duration of the illness, delay of wave III, further delay of wave V, prolongation of I-V IPL and decrease in amplitude of wave V occur. Diabetes type, I or II, has no different effect on BAEPs results.

Microangiopathic complications (retinopathy and nephropathy) are associated with increasing hearing threshold in diabetic patients, mainly after 5 years duration of the illness. Wave III is significantly delayed in presence of diabetic retinal affection; functionally and by funduscopy. Also, wave V is significantly delayed, in patients with abnormal skin and peripheral nervous system manifestations. In addition, wave V latency and III-V IPL are prolonged in patients having cardiovascular affection symptoms and postural hypotension. Finally, in patients with sweating abnormalities, wave I amplitude is significantly increased.

So brainstem dysfunction occurs early in the course of diabetes (type I and II similarly) and it is further affected by its duration. It starts by affection at the midbrain level (inferior colliculus) then proceeds by time to lower levels; caudal pons (cochlear nucleus). Also, as diabetic microangiopathic complications occur, hearing threshold increases. Retinopathy is accompanied with brainstem disintegration, at the caudal pons level. In three situations, we questioned the occurrence of similar pathogenesis theories, intracranially and extracranially. First, diabetic patients, with abnormal skin or peripheral nervous system manifestations, experience midbrain dysfunction (in the vicinity of the inferior colliculus) as well. The presumed theory is microvascular or, less likely, autoimmune affection. Second, diabetic patients with cardiovascular affection symptoms and postural hypotension show retrocochlear dysfunction. The doubted theory is macrovascular affection.

Finally, diabetic patients with sweating abnormalities show evidence of affection of olivocochlear bundle. The probable theory is small fiber neuropathy.

Keywords: Microangiopathic – Retinopathy – Nephropathy – Diabetic community

Contents

	Page
Acknowledgement	I
List of Tables	III
List of Figures	VIII
List of abbreviations	X
Introduction and aim of the work	1
Review of Literature	
○ Chapter (1): Diabetes from the medical point of view.....	3
○ Chapter (2): Diabetic complications.....	13
○ Chapter (3): Electrophysiology; BAEPs, NCSs, f-ERG.....	40
-Tables for normative data	64
○ Chapter (4): Electrophysiological findings in diabetics.....	68
Materials and methods	85
Results	97
Discussion	146
Summary and Recommendation	155
References	158
Appendix	
Arabic summary	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Dr. Saly El-Kholy , Professor of Clinical Neurophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, I sincerely would like to express my deepest gratitude to you, for giving me a lot of your valuable time, advice, your outstanding support and infinite help throughout this work.

Dr. Hala El-Habashy, Assistant professor of Clinical Neurophysiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, my profound gratitude goes to you, for your valuable criticism, generous advice and sincere effort that was essential to accomplish this work.

Dr. Ahmed Abou Mosa, Lecturer of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, I am deeply indebted to you, for your endless support, meticulous hints, valuable criticism, unlimited guidance and encouragement throughout this work.

Dr. Rehab Abdel Hai, lecturer of community medicine, it is your professional work that made the absolute numbers in this work meaningful. It is you who translated this work, thank you.

Prof. Dr. Ann Ali, Professor – and head- of Clinical Neurophysiology unit, how can I ever repay you?, for being the teacher, the person and the friend and for being there for me endlessly.

Dr. Hesham Megawer, the man who I owe a lot, and was there then, when I made my first medical steps, I would like to thank you. It is you who taught me how to earn my medical title.

Dr. Hussam Kamaly, resident in ophthalmology department, I overloaded you too much. This work would have been much harder if you were not granting me your unconditioned help, thank you for it.

All stuff members and colleagues in the Clinical Neurophysiology unit and in the Neurology department, I must thank all of you, for your support and sincere help during performing this work.

Technicians and workers of the Clinical Neurophysiology unit, you all deserve to be thanked, for your generous support.

The diabetic patients, you all must be saluted for your patience, thanked for inviting me into your world and teaching me a lot.

My family and friends, I love all of you. Your love makes me strong.

TO

MY FATHER

AND

MY MOTHER

THE CAUSE OF MY EXISTANCE
and
THE REASON FOR BEING HERE TODAY

List of Tables

Number of table	Title of table	Page
1	Classification of diabetes.	4
2	Classification of diabetic neuropathies.	25
3	International Clinical Diabetic Retinopathy Disease Severity Scale.	28
4	Postulated BAEPs wave generators along the auditory pathway.	50
5	BAEP normal latency values at 10 clicks/sec.	64
6	BAEP normal amplitude values at 10 clicks/sec.	65
7	Nerve conduction studies: Normal adult values for used nerves in the study.	65
8	Mean and SD of Flash ERG latencies (in msec).	66
9	Mean and SD of flash ERG amplitudes (in μ V).	67
10	Electrode placement and stimulating sites for nerve conduction studies, in the upper limbs.	90
11	Electrode placement and stimulating sites for nerve conduction studies, in the lower limbs.	91
12	The flash intensity and frequency of stimulation adjustments in f-ERG.	94
13	Total number of subjects, mean age (and standard deviation) and male/female ratio in different study groups.	97
14	Mean age (and standard deviation) in both patient groups.	99
15	Male/Female ratio in both patient groups.	99
16	Diabetes duration in years (mean and standard deviation), in different patient groups.	101
17	Diabetes type distribution, in different patient groups.	101
18	Diabetes control, in different patient groups.	103

Number of table	Title of table	Page
19	Medical history data, in different patient groups.	104
20	General examination data, in different patient groups.	106
21	Eye symptoms, in different patient groups.	107
22	Peripheral neurological signs, in different patient groups.	109
23	Funduscopy findings, in different patients groups.	111
24	Laboratory findings, in different patient groups.	112
25	Mean (standard deviation) BAEPs readings in different study groups.	115
26	Mean (standard deviation) and P value of nerve conduction study readings of upper limbs, in different patient groups.	118
27	Mean (standard deviation) and P value of nerve conduction study readings of lower limbs, in different patient groups.	119
28	Mean (standard deviation), and P value of flash electroretinographic findings in different patient groups.	122
29	BAEPs results in male patients, compared to female ones.	126
30	BAEPs results in patients with type I, compared to type II diabetes.	127
31	BAEPs readings in patients with controlled, compared to uncontrolled diabetes.	128
32	BAEPs results in patients having tinnitus, compared to those with no tinnitus.	129
33	BAEPs results in patients having abnormal autonomic symptoms, compared to normal ones.	130
34	BAEPs results in patients having abnormal sweating, compared to normal ones.	131
35	BAEPs results in patients having cardiological affection, compared to those with none.	132
36	BAEPs results in patients having skin affection, compared to those with no affection.	133

Number of table	Title of table	Page
37	BAEPs results in patients having peripheral nervous system affection, compared to those with no affection.	134
38	BAEPs results in patients having fundus affection, compared to those with no affection.	135
39	BAEPs results in patients having proteinuria, compared to those with no protenuria.	137
40	BAEPs results in patients having abnormailites in NCSs results, compared to those with no abnormalities.	138
41	BAEPs results in patients having abnormalities in f-ERG results, compared to those with none.	139
42	Comparison of BAEPs results between controls and group I.	140
43	Comparison of BAEPs results between controls and group II.	141
44	Comparison of BAEPs results between groups I and II.	142
45	BAEPs results in patients having type I DM, compared to patients having type II DM, within group I.	143
46	BAEPs results in patients having type I DM as compared to patients having type II DM, within group II.	144

List of Figures

Number of figure	Title of figure	Page
1	Schematic transverse section of the medulla showing the location of the cochlear nucleus.	41
2	Schematic transverse section through the brainstem showing the location of the set of nuclei of the superior olivary complex.	42
3	The waveform of a normal brainstem auditory evoked response.	43
4	Contribution of different brainstem auditory structures to BAEP generation.	51
5	Axonal degeneration and demyelination effect on nerve conduction studies.	56
6	Nerve conduction velocities (normal and abnormal).	58
7	F- response; Common peroneal nerve and Posterior tibial nerve.	59
8	Sensory nerve Action potential.	60
9	Diagram of the basic ERG waves.	63
10	Male/Female ratio in different study groups.	100
11	Male/Female ratio in both patient groups.	102
12	The diabetes type in different patient groups.	102
13	Medical data in different patient groups	108
14	Peripheral neurological signs in different patient groups	110
15	The rate of occurrence of DR and proteinuria in different patient groups.	113
16	Mean BAEPs results in different study groups.	116
17	Mean NCSs results in different patient groups.	120
18	Mean f-ERG results in different patient groups.	123
19	Correlation between age and wave V latency	124
20	Correlation between age and III-V IPL	125

Number of figure	Title of figure	Page
21	Correlation between age and I-V IPL.	125

List of Abbreviations

- ABR= Auditory Brainstem Response
- ADC= Alternating-Direct current
- ADP= Adenosine Di-Phosphate
- AER= Albumin Excretion Rate
- AGEs= Advanced Glycation Endproducts
- ATP= Adenosine Tri-Phosphate
- BAEP= Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potentials
- BAEPs= Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potential studies
- BDR= Background Diabetic Retinopathy
- CAD= Coronary Artery Disease
- CIDP= Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy
- CMAP= Compound Motor Action Potential
- CN= Cranial Nerve
- CTS= Carpal Tunnel Syndrome
- CTT= Central Transmission Time
- dB= deci-Bels
- DCCT= Diabetes Control and Complication Trial
- DKA= Diabetic Ketoacidosis
- DM= Diabetes Mellitus
- DME= Diabetic Macular Edema
- DN= Diabetic Neuropathy

- DNA= Deoxy Ribo Nucleic acid
- DR= Diabetic Retinopathy
- DSP= Diabetic peripheral Sensorimotor Polyneuropathy
- ERG= Electroretinography
- FA= Fluorescein Angiography
- FBG= Fasting Blood Glucose
- FPG= Fasting Plasma Glucose
- f-ERG= flash Electroretinography
- GAD= Glutamate Decarboxylase
- GAPDH= Glut-Amide Phosphodehydrogenase
- GDM= Gestational Diabetes Mellitus
- GHb= Glycosylated Hemoglobin
- H- reflex= Hoffman reflex
- HbA1 = Glycated Hemoglobin
- HbA1c= glycosylated Hemoglobin A1c
- Hearing Level= HL
- Hz= Hertz
- IAA= Insulin Autoantibodies
- IC= Inferior Colliculus
- ICA= Islet Cell Antibodies
- IDDM= Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus
- IFG= Impaired fasting Glucose
- IGT= Impaired Glucose Tolerance

- IGF-I= Insulin Growth Factor I
- IPL= Inter Peak Latency
- LADA= Latent Autoimmune Diabetes of the Adult
- LL= Lateral Lemniscus
- MGB= Medial Geniculate Body
- MI= Myocardial Infarction
- MNCS(s)= Motor Nerve Conduction Study (ies)
- MODY= Maturity Onset Diabetes of the Young
- msec= Milli second
- MTT= Meal Tolerance Test
- mV= millivolt
- m/sec= meter per second
- NAD= Nicotine-Amide Adenine Di-nucleotide
- NCG= Normal Control Group
- NDR= No evidence of Diabetic Retinopathy
- NCS(s)= Nerve Conduction Study(ies)
- NCV(s)= Nerve Conduction Velocity(ies)
- nHL= normal Hearing Level
- NIDDM= Non Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus
- NO= Nitric Oxide
- NOS= Nitric Oxide synthase
- NPDR= Non Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy
- OPs= Oscillatory Potentials

- PDR= Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy
- PEDF= Pigment Epithelium Derived growth Factor
- PKC= Protein Kinase C
- PPDR= Pre- Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy
- PTN= Posterior Tibial Nerve
- PTT= Peripheral Transmission Time
- RBS= Random Blood Sugar
- ROS= Reactive Oxygen Species
- SAMP= Score of Distal Amplitudes
- SD= Standard Deviation
- SNAP= Sensory Nerve Action Potential
- SNCS(s)= Sensory Nerve Conduction Study(ies)
- SNCV= Sensory Nerve Conduction Velocity
- SOC= Superior olivary complex
- SSR= Sympathetic Skin Response
- TGF-beta= Transforming Growth Factor-beta
- VEGF= Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
- VLDL= Very Low Density Lipoproteins
- μ V= Micro-Volt

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a clinical syndrome characterized by hyperglycemia due to absolute or relative deficiency of insulin (*Frier et al., 1999*). The two major types are:-

TYPE I: Insulin Dependant Diabetes Mellitus (IDDM)

TYPE II: Non Insulin Dependant Diabetes Mellitus (NIDDM).

Among the long term complication of diabetes, is hearing impairment, and its frequency varies (*Durmus et al., 2004*). However, the relation between diabetes mellitus and hearing impairment is still a matter of controversy. Peripheral and central auditory dysfunctions may be in question as the cause for the hearing impairment (*Lisowska et al., 2002*).

Previous data suggest that Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potentials (BEAP) deteriorate before the hearing impairment appears in patients with Diabetes (*Durmus et al., 2004*). Thus, the diagnosis of sub clinical damage of the peripheral and central auditory pathways in diabetes mellitus could be reached by the Brain stem Auditory Evoked Potential studies (BEAPs) which are an objective, clinically useful and non-invasive procedure to stress the early impairment of both auditory nerve and brainstem function (*Al-Azzawi and Mirza , 2004*). It was concluded that changes in BEAPs in patients with diabetes should be an alarm to possible damage to the auditory nerve and close follow up is needed in these patients (*Durmus et al., 2004*).

Small blood vessels disease (diabetic microangiopathy) is another long term complication of diabetes. It is a specific complication that contributes to mortality by causing renal failure and diabetic nephropathy. In addition, diabetic retinopathy is also one of the long term complications of diabetes (*Frier et al., 1999*).

It is worth of notice that there is a postulated correlation between peripheral auditory function and microangiopathy (nephropathy and retinopathy) (*Lisowska et al., 2002*). The first clinical evidence of nephropathy is the appearance of low, but abnormal, albumin levels in the urine i.e. microalbuminuria (*Tarchini et al., 2005*). On the other hand, abnormalities of the capillary bed (dilatation or closure), are the earliest lesions in retinopathy (*Frier et al., 1999*).

AIM OF WORK

To evaluate the efficacy of BEAP examination; as a useful method for early detection of brain stem dysfunction and therefore subclinical central nervous system damage in diabetic patients, and its correlation to the presence of microangiopathy elsewhere in the body.