

**THE EFFECT OF USING PRECISION  
ATTACHMENTS ON THE ABUTMENTS  
IN KENNEDY CLASS IV**

BY  
***HEBA MOHAMED EL MANDOH***  
B.D.S (Cairo University)

Thesis  
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for  
the Master Degree in Prosthodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine  
Cairo University  
2005

## ***SUPERVISORS***

### ***PROF. DR.SAMIRA IBRAHIM***

Professor of prosthodontics  
Faculty of oral and Dental Medicine  
Cairo University

### ***DR. ASHRAF EMIL ESKANDER***

Lecturer, Prosthodontics Department  
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine  
Cairo University

### ***DR. AMAL ABD EL SHAFY***

Lecturer, Prosthodontics Department  
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine  
Menia University

*To*

*MY*

*FAMILY*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

*Words will never be enough to express how I am deeply thankful to God. It was his blessing that made this work be accomplished.*

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude and grateful appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Samira Ibrahim**, Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University. I was fortunate to conduct this work under her valuable supervision. Her sincere support, guidance, tremendous knowledge, expertise and constructive comments will always be remembered.*

*I am greatly indebted to **Dr. Ashraf Emil Eskander**, Lecturer of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for his encouragement, valuable guidance, ample co-operation and his moral support. He generously gave me, much of his time and care that no words can be sufficient to express my gratitude and appreciation.*

*I would like to express my grateful appreciation and faithful thanks to **Dr. Amal Abd El Shafy**, Lecturer of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, El Menia University, for her valuable supervision, continuous encouragement and positive criticism. I would like to thank her for her kindness and sincere support.*

*Words can never express how grateful I am to **Prof. Dr. Mushira Dahaba**, Professor of Oral Radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental*

*Medicine, Cairo University, who guided me in a very generous manner throughout the whole work, I can never forget her great co-operation, sincere help and valuable effort.*

*A special dept of faithful thanks is owed to **Dr. Mohamed El Sherbiny**, Associate Professor of Oral Radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for his kindness, generosity, great assistance and precious knowledge that made this work be smoothly accomplished.*

*Special thanks and grateful appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Enas El Atar**, Professor of Biostatistics, National Cancer Institute in Cairo, for her valuable efforts in carrying out the statistical part of this study.*

*Also, I would like to thank **Mr. Fathy El Ghamry** and **Mr. Adel Sobhy** for their great co-operation and kind help during the laboratory work of this study.*

*Last but not least, I wish to express my gratitude to **my patients** for their co-operation and to **every person** supported me to accomplish this work,*



# **LIST OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b><i>Kennedy class IV.....</i></b>	<b>3</b>
- Problems of Kennedy class IV.....	3
- Different treatment modalities for Kennedy class IV cases.....	4
<b><i>Precision attachments.....</i></b>	<b>7</b>
- Classifications of precision attachments.....	7
- Indications and Contraindications of precision attachments.....	10
- Advantages and Disadvantages of precision attachments.....	11
- Types of precision attachments.....	13
- Intra coronal attachments.....	13
- Extra coronal attachments.....	13
- Stud attachments.....	17
- Bar attachments.....	22
<b><i>Assessment of the supporting structures of the abutment teeth.....</i></b>	<b>24</b>
- Gingival index scores.....	24
- Pocket depth measurements.....	24
- Radiographic assessments.....	25
<b>AIM OF THE STUDY.....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>MAREIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>RESULTS.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>DISCUSSION OF MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>BIBLOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>78</b>



## *LIST OF FIGURES*

- Fig.(1)** : Preoperative view for one of the cases
- Fig.(2)** : O.T castable male bar-ball attachment kit
- Fig.(3)** : The attachment kit components
- Fig.(4)** : The prepared abutments
- Fig.(5)** : Secondary impression for attachment construction
- Fig.(6)** : Metal try-in for the crowns with the attachment
- Fig.(7)** : Porcelain veneer added to the prosthesis crowns
- Fig.(8)** : Inferior aspect of the skeleton of the attachment- retained prosthesis
- Fig.(9)** : Superior aspect of the skeleton of attachment- retained prosthesis
- Fig.(10)** : Metal try- in of attachment- retained prosthesis
- Fig.(11)** : Finished attachment- retained prosthesis in the patient's mouth
- Fig.(12)** : Finished attachment- retained prosthesis in occlusion
- Fig.(13)** : Final impression for partial denture framework construction
- Fig.(14)** : Wax pattern of the partial denture framework
- Fig.(15)** : Top view of finished skeleton partial denture in the patient's mouth
- Fig.(16)** : Frontal view of finished skeleton partial denture in patient's mouth
- Fig.(17)** : Sensor probe

- Fig.(18)** : Measurement of pocket depth using the sensor probe
- Fig.(19)** : The Digora computerized system
- Fig.(20)** : Rinn- XCP assembly, acrylic template and electronic phosphorus plate (PIP) attached together
- Fig.(21)** : Rinn- XCP assembly, acrylic template and (PIP) attached together in patient's mouth and fixed to the long cone of the x-ray machine
- Fig.(22)** : Marginal bone height measurements for group (A)
- Fig.(23)** : Bone density measurements for group (A)
- Fig.(24)** : Marginal bone height measurements for group (B)
- Fig.(25)** : Bone density measurements for group (B)
- Fig.(26)** : Histogram showing pocket depth changes between groups A and B throughout the follow up periods (in mm)
- Fig.(27)** : Histogram showing bone height changes between groups A and B throughout the follow up periods (in mm)
- Fig.(28)** : Histogram showing bone density changes between groups A and B throughout the follow up periods

## **LIST OF TABLES**

	<b>Page</b>
(I) : Gingival index scores in both groups.....	49
(II) : Pocket depth changes in both groups ( in mm).....	50
(III) : Bone height changes in both groups (in mm).....	51-52
(IV) : Bone density changes in both groups.....	53-54

## **INTRODUCTION**

Management of long span anterior edentulous area (class IV Kennedy) is considered a prosthodontic challenge, that is usually confronted with many problems related to the length of the span, esthetics in addition to other biomechanical considerations.

The use of fixed prosthesis in such cases can be considered the best solution, however it may be contraindicated in case of excessive bone loss of the edentulous ridge due to excessive resorption or surgery. Also the use of fixed prosthesis in cases of long curved edentulous ridges may subject the abutments to tremendous amount of forces and subject the prosthesis itself to bending, fatigue and consequently fracture. Also it is contraindicated in case of lack of parallelism of the abutments that need much tooth preparation.

The use of implants in class IV cases may be limited by qualitative and quantitative considerations of the edentulous bone, which, must be evaluated before implant placement to ensure long term success.

Conventional removable partial denture was recommended as a solution in long class IV cases, however the involvement of clasps for retention may be inconvenient for the patient esthetically and biomechanically.

The use of attachment retained removable partial denture emerges as an alternative treatment when maximum esthetic and longevity are required. Attachment retained partial denture maintains the periodontal

tissues in good health and thus may be considered a more hygienic treatment modality compared to conventional clasped partial denture.

The coupling between fixed prosthesis and removable partial denture was required for gaining better support, stabilization and fixation. The use of bar attachment provides rigid splinting for abutment teeth together with cross arch stabilization. (*Phoenix et al, 2003*).

The question now arises, does the use of bar attachment retained removable partial denture in long class IV Kennedy would affect the alveolar bone support of the abutment teeth?

## **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

### **Kennedy class IV**

#### **Problems of Kennedy class IV:**

*Academy of Prosthodontics, 1999*, defined Kennedy class IV partial denture as that type of denture which restores a single unilateral edentulous area located anterior to the remaining natural teeth. This class has no modification, but the length of the saddle may vary from two teeth to include posterior teeth on both sides of the arch. This type of denture presents a similar problem to that found in Kennedy class I cases as the saddle, although bounded by teeth at each end, has the features of the free end saddle in that the most remote part of the denture from the teeth is mucosa supported. (*Applegate, 1966*).

The solution of such problem, however, was not so difficult as with the free end saddle cases. Since class IV partial denture has no free ends, its lateral movement is minimal. Any tendency to lateral abutment tilting is effectively counteracted as the prosthesis is bilateral to the med line and so, twisting or torque stresses on the abutment teeth does not exist. Movement of the removable prosthesis will be mainly vertical toward or away from the subjacent structures. These vertical movements become more difficult to control as the number of teeth missing increases. In such condition, fewer teeth are left among which the resulting stresses will be distributed, so, most of the hard palate must be covered anterior to the fulcrum line and thus, the sinking of the denture will be well resisted and the retention will be assisted by adhesion. Multiple clasping is often employed, which

does not only acts as a splint to the remaining teeth, but, also distributes any torque action. Indirect retention can be obtained by posterior extension over the hard palate, whilst, posterior resting is also a possibility. (*Osborne and Lammie,1974 ) and (Phoenix et al, 2003).*

Esthetics is a very distressing problem associated with class IV cases. The desire for a more pleasing arrangement of anterior teeth often will be in conflict with the desire to reduce the leverage action which may be aggravated by labial placement of the artificial teeth. In addition, accidental loss of alveolar ridge structure may aggravate the problem. Also considerable display of metal is difficult to avoid when the anterior abutments are to be the site of clasp retainers. Advantageously, the precision type of retainer is recommended to be used to avoid metal display anteriorly and to gain better esthetics. (*Applegate, 1966) and(Phoenix et al, 2003).*

### **Different treatment modalities for Kennedy class IV cases:**

The treatment of Kennedy class IV partially edentulous patients may be considered a prosthodontic challenge for the way of its management depending on patient's age, gender, length of the span, condition of the remaining abutments and the tissues covering the edentulous area.

Loss of two or more upper anterior teeth may occur in childhood and adolescence on account of trauma or caries. It becomes wise to delay the construction of any fixed prosthesis until jaw growth and tooth eruption is completed so, the child most often calls for a simple type of denture which is called "spoon denture". The more extensive class IV