

# **EFFECT OF FLAPLESS IMMEDIATE IMPLANT SURGERY ON SOFT TISSUE PROFILE IN THE ESTHETIC ZONE**

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of Master Degree in Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral Diagnosis

**BY**

***Amr Abou El-Hoda Mahmoud El-Sirafy***

(B.D.S. Ain Shams University 2005)

**Supervisors**

***Prof.Dr. Khaled Atef Abd El-Ghaffar***

Professor and chairman of Oral Medicine, Periodontology,  
Oral Diagnosis and Radiology Department  
Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University

***Dr. Mohamed Sherif El-Mofty***

Lecturer of Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral  
Diagnosis and Radiology  
Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University  
2013**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

يَرْفَعُ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ  
وَالَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْعِلْمَ دَرَجَاتٍ

صدق الله العظيم

الآية (11) من سورة المجادلة

## ***Dedication***

*"A mother is not a person to lean on but  
a person to make leaning unnecessary"*

*Dorothy C. Fisher*

*I dedicate this work to the soul of my dearest  
mother, the greatest woman I've ever seen. Also, I  
dedicate this work to the most precious people in  
my life; my father, my wife, my son and my  
brothers.*

## ***Acknowledgement***

*My sincere gratitude goes to **Professor Dr.Khaled AtefAbd El-Ghaffar**, Professor and Chairman of Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis and Radiology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his valuable guidance, constant advice, support and patience that he showed me during accomplishment of this work.*

*Special gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Dr.Mohamed Sherif El-Mofty** for his great help, scientific support, guidance, valuable advices and, patience and most importantly his brotherhood that supported me greatly in this study.*

*I owe my sincere gratitude, respect, love and appreciation to my father **Professor. Dr. Abou El-Hoda Mahmoud El-Sirafy** for his unlimited support, encouragement and massive help in conducting statistical analysis of the clinical and radiographic data in this study.*

*Last but not least, I would like thank all my colleagues and friends in the Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral Diagnosis Department, especially, **Dr. Doaa Adel** for her kindness and help.*

## ***Contents***

	<i>Page</i>
<i>List of Abbreviations</i> .....	<i>I</i>
<i>List of Figures</i> .....	<i>II</i>
<i>List of Tables</i> .....	<i>VII</i>
<i>Introduction and Review of Literature</i> .....	<i>1</i>
<i>Aim of the Study</i> .....	<i>40</i>
<i>Subjects and Methods</i> .....	<i>41</i>
<i>Results</i> .....	<i>63</i>
<i>Discussion</i> .....	<i>92</i>
<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i> .....	<i>104</i>
<i>Summary</i> .....	<i>106</i>
<i>References</i> .....	<i>109</i>
<i>Arabic summary</i>	

## ***List of Abbreviations***

<b><i>GBR</i></b>	Guided Bone Regeneration
<b><i>rhBMP</i></b>	Recombinant human Bone Morphogenic Protein
<b><i>PTFE</i></b>	Poly Tetra Fluroethylene
<b><i>BMP</i></b>	Bone Morphogenic Protein
<b><i>DFDBA</i></b>	Demineralized Freeze – Dried Bone Allograft
<b><i>CPC</i></b>	Calcium Phosphate Cement
<b><i>PHA</i></b>	Precipitated Hydroxy Apatite
<b><i>DCPD</i></b>	Dicalcium Phosphate Dehydrate
<b><i>ACP</i></b>	Amorphous Calcium Phosphate
<b><i>CPHC</i></b>	Calcium Phosphate Hydraulic Cement
<b><i>ePTFE</i></b>	Expanded Polytetra–Fluroethylene
<b><i>GTR</i></b>	Guided Tissue Regeneration
<b><i>SLA</i></b>	Sandblasted acid-attacked
<b><i>PD</i></b>	Probing Depth
<b><i>mPI</i></b>	Modified Plaque Index
<b><i>mBI</i></b>	Modified Bleeding Index
<b><i>ML</i></b>	Marginal Level of Soft Tissue
<b><i>STT</i></b>	Soft Tissue Thickness
<b><i>WKM</i></b>	Width of Keratinized Mucosa
<b><i>HIDP</i></b>	Height of Inter-Dental Papilla
<b><i>HMIDP</i></b>	Height of Mesial Inter-Dental Papilla.
<b><i>HDIDP</i></b>	Height of Distal Inter-Dental Papilla
<b><i>CBL</i></b>	Crestal Bone Loss
<b><i>PS</i></b>	Patient satisfaction
<b><i>MCPM</i></b>	Monocalcium Phosphate Monohydrate
<b><math>\beta</math> – TCP</b>	Beta Tricalcium Phosphate
<b><i>PMMA</i></b>	Poly – methyl Methacrylate
<b><i>OCF</i></b>	Octacalcium Phosphate
<b><i>XCP</i></b>	Extension Cone Paralleling Technique

## ***List of Figures***

**Figure 1:** Biohorizons<sup>®</sup> Internal Implant Fixture.

**Figure 2:** Syringe of PD VitalOs<sup>®</sup> cement.

**Figure 3:** Hu – Friedy<sup>®</sup> Plastic Periodontal Probe.

**Figure 4:** Measurement of Marginal Level of Soft Tissue (ML).

**Figure 5:** Radiographic Measurement of Inter-dental Papilla.

**Figure 6:** The paralleling device with an acrylic custom made film holder and rubber base bite block.

**Figure 7:** Preoperative labial view of remaining of upper right canine.

**Figure 8:** Pre-operative incisal view.

**Figure 9:** Pre-operative periodontal probing.

**Figure 10:** Pre-operative Marginal levels of soft tissue (ML).

**Figure 11:** Pre-operative width of keratinized mucosa (WKM).

**Figure 12:** Using periotome to facilitate atraumatic extraction.

**Figure 13:** Removal of the Remaining of the tooth out of the socket using a forceps.

**Figure 14:** Measurement of length of the root after extraction.

**Figure 15:** The empty socket after extraction.

**Figure 16:** Drilling of osteotomy site.

**Figure 17:** Placement of the implant in the prepared socket.

**Figure 18:** Seating of the implant using ratchet.

**Figure 19:** Labial view after seating of the implant.

**Figure 20:** Labial and palatal view.

**Figure 21:** Incisal view of the implant fixture without abutment.

**Figure 22:** Labial view without abutment.

**Figure 23:** Labial view after placement of healing abutment.

**Figure 24:** Incisal view of the healing abutment.

**Figure 25:** A 3 months post-operative occlusal view after removal of the healing abutment.

**Figure 26:** A 3 months post-operative labial view.

**Figure 27:** A 3 months post-operative labial and palatal view.

**Figure 28:** A 3 months post-operative ML after placement of the prosthetic abutment.

**Figure 29:** A 3 months post-operative incisal view after placement of the prosthetic abutment.

**Figure 30:** Permanent crown placement.

**Figure 31:** A 6 months post-operative labial view showing the ML.

**Figure 32:** A 6 months post-operative labial view showing the contralateral side

**Figure 33:** A 6 months post-operative incisal view

**Figure 34:** A 6 months post-operative view showing the esthetic zone of the patient during smiling.

**Figure 35:** Pre-operative panoramic radiograph.

**Figure 36:** A 3 months post-operative panoramic radiograph.



- Figure 37:** A 6 months post-operative panoramic radiograph.
- Figure 38:** A 3 months post-operative peri-apical radiograph.
- Figure 39:** A 3 months post-operative HMIDP.
- Figure 40:** A 3 months post-operative HDIDP.
- Figure 41:** A 6 months post-operative radiograph showing crestal bone level.
- Figure 42:** Pre-operative buccal view.
- Figure 43:** Pre-operative occlusal view.
- Figure 44:** severing the periodontal ligament using a periotome and the pre-operative ML.
- Figure 45:** Extraction and removal of the remaining of the tooth using a forceps.
- Figure 46:** The remaining root after extraction and its length.
- Figure 47:** The empty socket after extraction.
- Figure 48:** Preparation of the osteotomy site using drills.
- Figure 49:** The implant fixture seated in the prepared socket.
- Figure 50:** The injectable bone graft is injected in the gap distance.
- Figure 51:** A 3 months post-operative occlusal view after removal of the healing abutment.
- Figure 52:** A 3 months post-operative buccal view showing the ML.
- Figure 53:** A 3 months occlusal view.
- Figure 54:** A 4 months post-operative view after cementation of the permanent crown.
- Figure 55:** A 4 months post-operative occlusal view.

**Figure 56:** A 6 months post-operative buccal view showing the ML.

**Figure 57:** A 6 months post-operatively.

**Figure 58:** A 6 months post-operatively showing the location of the implant restoration in the esthetic zone.

**Figure 59:** Pre-operative panoramic radiograph.

**Figure 60:** A 6 months post-operative panoramic radiograph.

**Figure 61:** Baseline HMIDP.

**Figure 62:** Baseline HDIDP.

**Figure 63:** Just immediate post-operative periapical radiograph showing the baseline crestal bone and the injectable bone graft (Arrows).

**Figure 64:** A 6 months post-operative periapical radiograph showing the HMIDP and crestal bone level (Arrow).

**Figure 65:** A 6 months post-operative periapical radiograph showing HDIDP.

**Figure 66:** Chart Showing Changes in the Computed Mean Values Measured for of the Marginal Level of Soft Tissue (ML) Measured for the With and Without-Bone Graft Patient Groups at Baseline, 3 Months and 6 Months Follow-up Times

**Figure 67:** Frequency Histogram Showing Satisfaction Responses of the With-Bone Graft and Without-Bone Patient Groups (Number of Cases = 6 each).

**Figure 68:** Chart Showing Percentages of Success and Failure of Implants in the Without Bone Graft Patient Group (N=6)

**Figure 69:** Chart Showing Percentages of Success and Failure of implants in the With-Bone Graft Patient Group (N=6).

**Figure 70:** Histogram showing satisfaction responses of the 12 patients included in the current study after 6 months from the implantation surgery

**Figure 71:** Chart Showing the overall Rates of Success and Failure of Implants of the 12 Cases included in the two Patient Groups; Namely With-Bone Graft and Without-Bone Graft Patient Groups

## ***List of Tables***

**Table 1:** Distribution of Patients according to Gender and Age at Time of Implant placement.

**Table 2:** Dimensions (Diameters and lengths) of utilized implants.

**Table 3:** Implant site according to tooth position and the Gap Distance in millimeter.

**Table 4:** Reasons of Extraction

**Table 5:** Computed Simple Descriptive Statistics of the Clinical and Radiographic Parameters of Without-Bone Graft Patient Group at Baseline, 3 Months and 6 Months Follow-up Times.

**Table 6:** Computed Simple Descriptive Statistics of the Clinical and Radiographic Parameters of With-Bone Graft Patient Group at Baseline, 3 Months and 6 Months Follow-up Times.

**Table 7:** Patient satisfaction data (Surgical and Restorative) collected at 6 months for the two investigated patient groups; with and without bone graft groups.

**Table 8:** Comparison between the two surgical techniques of immediate implant placement used in the current study based on the % of changes of the mean values of clinical and radiographic parameters from baseline to 3 months follow-up.

**Table 9:** Comparison between the two surgical techniques of immediate implant placement used in the current study based on the % of changes of the mean values of clinical and radiographic parameters from baseline to 6 months follow-up.

**Table 10:** Results of Wilcoxon Signed Rank-Rank Test Applied to the Clinical and Radiographic Parameters of the *Without-Bone Graft* Patient Group at Baseline and 3 Months Follow-up Times.

**Table 11:** Results of Wilcoxon Signed Rank-Rank Test Applied to the Clinical and Radiographic Parameters of the *Without-Bone Graft* Patient Group at Baseline and 6 Months Follow-up Times.

**Table 12:** Results of Wilcoxon Signed Rank-Rank Test Applied to the Clinical and Radiographic Parameters of the *With-Bone Graft* Patient Group at Baseline and 3 Months Follow-up Times

**Table 13:** Results of Wilcoxon Signed Rank-Rank Test Applied to the Clinical and Radiographic Parameters of the *With-Bone Graft* Patient Group at Baseline and 6 Months Follow-up Times.

**Table 14 :** Results of Mann – Whitney U Test of the *Modified Plaque Index (mPI)* Measurements of the with (Group-1) and without Bone Graft (Group-2) Patient Groups at Baseline, 3 Months and 6 Months Follow-up.

**Table 15:** Results of Mann – Whitney U Test of the *Mesial Crestal Bone Loss (CBL)* Measurements of the with (Group-1) and without Bone Graft (Group-2) Patient Groups at Baseline, 3 Months and 6 Months Follow-up.

**Table 16:** Results of Mann – Whitney U Test of the Distal *Crestal Bone Loss (CBL)* Measurements of the with (Group-1) and without Bone Graft (Group-2) Patient Groups at Baseline, 3 Months and 6 Months Follow-up.

## ***Introduction and Review of Literature***

### **Dental Implants**

Dental implant therapy has been demonstrated to be a highly successful and predictable treatment modality for replacement of missing teeth (*Brånemark et al. 1977*). In the past decades the osseointegration was the main concern, requiring a healing period of 3 to 6 months with a load free environment to facilitate undisturbed healing. It has been demonstrated that osseointegrated implants that form a direct connection with bone had a long-term predictable success.

In the original two-staged protocol, after a tooth was extracted, there was a healing period allowing for soft and hard tissue healing. This was followed by implant placement and a period of load-free osseointegration, ranging from 3 to 6 months, before second-stage surgery and prosthesis placement. Healing associated with oral endosseous titanium implants is based on osseointegration or “functional ankylosis” as described by *Brånemark et al., 1985*. It has been advocated, that after implant placement, surgical sites should be undisturbed for 3 to 6 months to allow uneventful wound healing, thereby enhancing osseointegration between the implant and the bone.

The rationale behind this approach is that implant micro-movements caused by functional forces during wound healing may induce fibrous tissue formation rather than bone contact, leading to clinical failure. Micro movements of >100 µm were reported to be

sufficient to jeopardize healing with direct bone-to-implant contact. According to the original recommendations of ***Brånemark and Schroeder***, these periods were necessary to allow for complete osteogenesis and woven bone remodeling into load bearing lamellar bone prior to any occlusal loading. This treatment modality, though having highly successful outcomes, leads to long treatment times, multiple surgeries, and uncomfortable removable partial dentures during transition.

Replacing missing teeth with dental implants is highly successful, with average success rates between 93% and 99.4%. The success rate obtained with dental implants in various clinical situations depends to a great extent on the volume and quality of the surrounding bone. Successful osseointegration of an endosseous titanium implant requires adequate stability at the time of placement. Achieving stability depends on the bone density, the surgical technique, and the microscopic and macroscopic morphology of the implant used. In bone that is not very dense, it is often difficult to obtain implant anchorage. Sufficient density and appropriate volume of the bone are therefore crucial for successful implant treatment. It is generally accepted that implant failures can be explained by biologic or mechanical causes. Biologic etiologies may include infection, peri-implantitis, overheating of bone, and contributing systemic factors. Mechanical causes may include implant fracture, microstructure (e.g., machined surface), macrostructure (e.g., short implant length), and immediate or functional overloading resulting in bone loss. However, some implant failures have unknown etiologies that cannot be explained by any of the above factors.