ROLE OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL ULTRASOUND IN DIAGNOSIS OF UTERINE CAUSES OF INFERTILITY

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of M.Sc. Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Presented by

Sherif Ahmed Nagy Sidky

Specialist, National Research Center

Under supervision of

Prof. Sherif Derbala Ali

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Mohamed Fadel Shaltout

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Magdy

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine Cairo University, 2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, thanks to Allah the most merciful for helping me in accomplishing this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Sherif Derbala, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cairo University, for his kind guidance, supervision and general help in accomplishing this work.

I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to Prof. Fadel Shaltoot, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cairo University, Dr. Ahmed Magdy Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cairo University and Dr. Osama Azmy, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, National Research Center, for their guidance and supervision.

I am sincerely grateful to Dr. Ahmed Zakaria Sheikha, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cairo University, for his support and unlimited help during this work.

Finally, I dedicate this work to my family for their active support, encouragement and patience freely given to me on previous and present studies and all the time.

ABSTRACT

Assessment of the uterine morphology and exclusion of the endometrial pathology are essential before commencement of medically assisted reproduction. It is clear that anatomic uterine abnormalities, including submucous leiomyomas, intrauterine adhesions and congenital uterine anomalies interfere with implantation of the developing embryo and may cause recurrent pregnancy loss. Since 3D ultrasound provides images of the uterine cavity in multiple tomographic sections, intracavitary structures become clearly visible.

In this work will evaluate the role of 3D ultrasound in diagnosis of uterine causes of infertility. Also will evaluate the benefit of using 3D ultrasound over 2D ultrasound and other imaging techniques in assessment of the uterine causes of infertility.

Key words: 3D ultrasound – Infertility – Uterus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
AIM OF WORK	2
UTERINE CAUSES OF INFERTILITY	3
Congenital Uterine Malformation Uterine Fibroid Uterine Polyp Intrauterine Adhesions Luteal Phase Defect	17 20 21
SONOGRAPHIC IMAGING OF THE UTERUS	28
Sonoanatomy of the uterus	31 33 39 41 45
Hysterosalpinogram	54 59
PATIENTS AND METHODS	64
RESULTS	68
DISCUSSION	78
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	85
	00

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure 1</u> : Unicornuate uterus. Note the failure of the development of one half of the uterus. This form may be associated with a rudimentary horn arising from the contralateral müllerian duct
Figure 2: Uterus Didelphys. Note the complete separation but full development of each müllerian duct
<u>Figure 3</u> : Bicornuate uterus. Note the partial fusion of the lower uterine segment and persistently separated upper uterine segments. The fundal cleft distinguishes the anomaly from sept
Figure 4: Septate uterus. Midline septum can be of variable length and can be muscular or fibrous
<u>Figure 5</u> : Unicornuate uterus. Note the failure of the development of one half of the uterus. This form may be associated with a rudimentary horn arising from the contralateral müllerian duct
<u>Figure 6</u> : Diethylstilbestrol-exposed uterus. Myometrial hypertrophy results in a T-shaped uterine cavity and cavity irregularity, which is pathognomonic for the anomaly. Typically, the uteri are hypoplastic
Figure 7: 3D ultrasound showing unicornuate uterus
Figure 8: 3D ultrasound showing didelphic uterus with Left sided hematom 34
Figure 9: 3D ultrasound image showing bicornuate Bicollis Uterus35
Figure 10: 3D ultrasound image showing sub-septate Uterus
Figure 11: 3D ultrasound image showing complete Septate Uterus36
Figure 12: 3D ultrasound image showing arcuate uterus
Figure 13: 3D ultrasound image showing diethylstilbestrol Uterus37
Figure 14: Longitudinal acquisition view of the uterus by 3D ultrasound, showing a small endometrial polyp
<u>Figure 15:</u> The polyp is seen best in this coronal reconstructed view of the endometrial cavity by 3D ultrasound
<u>Figure 16:</u> 3D ultrasound image showing longitudinal view where the endometrial stripe appears to be interrupted, suggesting there may be a small polyp
Figure 18: 3D ultrasound image showing Uterine polyp39
Figure 19: 3D ultrasound for a submucous fibroid40
Figure 20: Sagittal 3D sonogram shows a posterior, fundal, 4.2 X 3.5-cm intramural uterine fibroid
Figure 21: 3D ultrasound image showing coronal plane of a uterus with a submucous myoma
Figure 22: 3D ultrasound image showing a very bright (hyperechoic) uterine lining - scar tissue in cavity
Figure 23: HSG showing uterine abnormality. It's difficult to differentiate between septate or bicornuate uterus using hysterosalpingography. It was a surgically proven case of bicornuate uterus

<u>Figure 24:</u> HSG showing bicornuate uterus. Correct diagnosis may be suggested to nhysterosalpingography and confirmed surgically	oased
	1 مید
<u>Figure 25</u> : HSG showing T-shaped uterus. Classic configuration of diethylstilbest exposed uterus. Uterus is typically hypoplastic	ПОІ
Figure 26: MRI image showing Bicornuate uterus	53
Figure 27: MRI image showing Septate uterus	
Figure 28: MRI showing an enlarged uterus with multiple fibroids	55
Figure 29 CT scan shows a subserosal, right anterior fundal uterine fibrod 56	I
Figure 30: Hysteroscopy showing uterine synechiae	58
Figure 31: Hysteroscopy showing endometrial polyp	. 58
Figure 32: Hysteroscopy showing submucous fibroid	. 59
Figure 33: Hysteroscopy showing Uterine septum	59
Figure 34: Hysteroscopy showing Arcuate uterus	60
<u>Figure 35:</u> Column showing the total abnormal cases and type of abnormality detable 2D and 3D ultrasound respectively	ected
<u>Figure 36: Pie chart showing the types of uterine abnormalities detectes by 2D ultrasound</u>	
<u>Figure 37:</u> Pie chart showing the types of uterine abnormalities detectes by 3D ultrasound	
68	•••••
Figure 38: 3D ultrasound image showing submucous myoma (Sagittal plane)	69
Figure 39:3D ultrasound image showing submucous myoma (Coronal plane)	70
Figure 40:3D ultrasound image showing endometrial polyp	71
Figure 41: 3D ultrasound image showing intrauterine adhesions	2

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table 1:</u> shows total number of cases and the number of abnormalities detected by	2D
and 3D ultrasound	. 65

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D: Two Dimensional

3D: Three Dimensional

CT: Computerized Tomography

DES: Diethylstilbestrol

HSG: Hysterosalpingography

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging

SIS: Saline infusion sonography

U/S: Ultrasound

INTRODUCTION

Assessment of the uterine morphology and exclusion of the endometrial pathology are essential before commencement of medically assisted reproduction. It is clear that anatomic uterine abnormalities, including submucous leiomyomas, intrauterine adhesions and congenital uterine anomalies interfere with implantation of the developing embryo and may cause recurrent pregnancy loss. Since 3D ultrasound provides images of the uterine cavity in multiple tomographic sections, intracavitary structures become clearly visible.

3D Ultrasound is very useful for demonstration of the intracavitary pathologies, such as uterine anomalies, adhesions, submucous leiomyomas and endometrial polyps. Simultaneous display of the three perpendicular planes especially the coronal plane offers a more comprehensive overview of the examined area and gives access to planes unobtainable by conventional 2D ultrasonographic examination. Surface rendering may confirm the presence of pathological findings in equivocal cases, and characterize their appearance, size, volume and relationship to the surrounding structures.

AIM OF WORK

The aim of this work is to evaluate the role of 3D ultrasound in diagnosis of uterine causes of infertility. Also to evaluate the benefit of using 3D ultrasound over 2D ultrasound and other imaging techniques in assessment of the uterine causes of infertility.

UTERINE CAUSES OF INFERTILITY

UTERINE CAUSES OF INFERTILITY

The most commonly accepted definition of the term of primary infertility is the lack of pregnancy (regardless of cause) after 1 year of unprotected intercourse. Infertility affects approximately 15% of couples of reproductive age. Its prevalence has been stable during the past 50 years, although a shift in etiology and in the age of the patient population has occurred. (Garcia et al., 2006)

The uterine cavity must provide an environment for successful sperm immigration from the cervix to the fallopian tube. The normality of the mucosal lining, glandular secretion and vascularity are necessary to support implantation and placentation. Anatomical uterine anomalies as well as uterine pathology can lead to poor reproductive performance. (Kurjak et al., 2005)

The uterus is the final destination for the embryo and the place where the fetus develops until delivery. Therefore, uterine factors may be associated with primary or secondary infertility or with pregnancy wastage and premature delivery. Uterine factors can be congenital or acquired. They may affect the endometrium or the myometrium and are responsible for 2-5% of infertility cases. Other problems affect the development and function of the endometrium. (Garcia et al., 2006)

Problems of the uterus and uterine lining that can cause or contribute to reproductive problems such as infertility:

• 1. Congenital uterine malformations.

•

• 2. Uterine Polyps.

•

3. Uterine Fibroids.

.

• 4. Intrauterine adhesions (scar tissue within the uterine cavity, also called Asherman's Syndrome. This can interfere with conception, or can increase the risk of a miscarriage).

•

• 5. Luteal phase defect (an uncommon condition that involves inadequate development of the microscopic and cellular changes in the endometrial lining of the uterus after ovulation and exposure to the hormone progesterone).

•

• 6. Thin endometrial lining (this is also uncommon. We like to see a lining of at least 8mm in thickness when measured by ultrasound at the time of maximal thickness during the cycle. In general, 8-13 mm is good, less than 6 is potentially a problem, and greater than 15 or so could possibly reduce chances for a successful pregnancy). (Gurnee et al., 2007)

CONGENITAL UTERINE ANOMALIES

Müllerian duct anomalies are estimated to occur in 0.1-0.5% of women. The true prevalence is unknown because the anomalies usually are discovered in patients presenting with infertility. Full-term pregnancies have occurred in patients with forms of bicornuate, septate, or didelphys uteri; therefore, true prevalence may be slightly higher than currently estimated. Simon et al found that in the healthy fertile population, müllerian duct anomalies have a prevalence of 3.2%. (Simon et al., 1991)

Müllerian duct anomalies are an uncommon but often treatable causes of infertility. Patients with müllerian duct anomalies are known to have a higher incidence of infertility. The role of imaging is to help detect, diagnose, and distinguish surgically correctable forms of müllerian duct anomalies from inoperable forms. In some correctable lesions, the surgical approach is altered based on imaging findings. (Troiano, 2004)

EMBRYOLOGY

Two paired müllerian ducts ultimately develop into the structures of the female reproductive tract. The structures include the fallopian tubes, uterus, cervix, and upper two thirds of the vagina. The ovaries and lower one third of the vagina have separate embryologic origins not derived from the müllerian system.

Complete formation and differentiation of the müllerian ducts into the segments of the female reproductive tract depend on completion of 3 phases of development as follows:

- Organogenesis: One or both müllerian ducts may not develop fully, resulting in abnormalities such as uterine agenesis or hypoplasia (bilateral) or unicornuate uterus (unilateral).
- <u>Fusion:</u> The process during which the lower segments of the paired müllerian ducts fuse to form the uterus, cervix, and upper vagina is termed lateral fusion. Failure of fusion results in anomalies such as bicornuate or didelphys uterus. The term vertical fusion

occasionally is used to refer to fusion of the ascending sinovaginal bulb with the descending müllerian system (ie, fusion of the lower one third and upper two thirds of the vagina). Complete vertical fusion forms a normal patent vagina, while incomplete vertical fusion results in an imperforate hymen.

• <u>Septal resorption:</u> After the lower müllerian ducts fuse, a central septum is present, which subsequently must be resorbed to form a single uterine cavity and cervix. Failure of resorption is the cause of septate uterus.

The ovaries and the lower vagina are not derived from the müllerian system. The ovaries are derived from germ cells that migrate from the primitive yolk sac into the mesenchyme of the peritoneal cavity and subsequently develop into ova and supporting cells. The lower vagina arises from the sinovaginal bulb, which fuses with the müllerian-derived upper two thirds to form the complete vagina. (Kaufman et al., 2008)

ETIOLOGY

Uterine malformations are secondary to failure in development, reabsorption or fusion of Müllerian ducts. Around the sixth week of the embryogenesis, an invagination of the coelomic lining epithelium forms a depression creating a sulcus, whose borders fuse to form the lateral Müllerian ducts (or paramesonephric ducts). The Müllerian ducts initially are formed in the upper dorsal wall of the coelomic cavity and progress caudally to enter the pelvis where they incline towards the center, fusing medially. Farther on, the caudal progress results in a contact of these