

Predictive value of The Uterine artery Doppler in pregnancies at high risk for preeclampsia

Thesis

*Submitted for the fulfillment of the master degree
In Obstetrics and Gynecology*

By:

Doaa Alaa El-Dien Abd El Fattah

M. B., B. Ch. 2008

*Resident of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Cairo University- Kasr El Ainy Hospital*

Supervised by

Dr. Mamdouh Abd El Fattah M. Shieba

*Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University*

Dr. Usama Fikry Fouda

*Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University*

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Taher Hashem

*Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University*

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2013

﴿يَرْفَعُ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَالَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْعِلْمَ
دَرَجَاتٍ وَاللَّهُ بِمَا تَعْمَلُونَ خَبِيرٌ﴾

المجادلة (الاية الحادية عشر)

﴿شَهِدَ اللَّهُ أَنَّهُ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا هُوَ وَالْمَلَائِكَةُ وَأُولُوا
الْعِلْمِ قَائِمًا بِالْقِسْطِ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا هُوَ الْعَزِيزُ
الْحَكِيمُ﴾

ال عمران (الاية الثامنة عشر)

Acknowledgement

All gratitude is due to solely to Allah. Exclusive of all those who might be worshipped besides Him, for the inestimable blessing which he bestowed upon his slaves.

First, I would like to express my great appreciation and deep thanks to Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Abd El Fattah M. Shieba, professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his valuable supervision, useful suggestions, generosity, and support.

I would also like to extend my thanks to Dr. Usama Fikry Fouda assistant professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his generous supervision and support.

This work would have never been completed without the great help, close supervision & support offered by Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Taher Hashem lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University.

I would also like to express my deep thanks to my parents, professors, colleagues, nursing staff & patients, for their help and support.

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to evaluate the role of uterine artery Doppler at first and second trimesters in prediction of preeclampsia by uterine artery Doppler to 150 patients and following them-up till delivery

Key Words:

Uterine artery Doppler – Preeclampsia-High risk

Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
AIM OF WORK.....	2
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	4
CHAPTER 1 :PREECLAMPSIA	5
CHAPTER 2: UTERINE ARTERY DOPPLER and PREECLAMPSIA	41
PATIENTS AND METHODS.....	52
RESULTS	56
DISCUSSION	70
CONCLUSION.....	81
REFERENCES	84

List of tables

TABLE	PAGE
Table 1: Bishop scoring system used for assessment of inducibility.	29
Table 2: Percentage and timing of PE in each group	56
Table 3: Demographic characteristics of pregnancies included in the study according to occurrence of late , early PE	57
Table 4: Pregnancy outcome of the study cohort.	58
Table 5: Risk factors for developing of PE and its percentage.	59
Table 6: Comparison between the median of mUtA-PI(MoM) of unaffected ,early and late PE.	60
Table 7: Comparison between the mean of mUtA-PI(MoM) of unaffected ,early and late PE. Pregnancy outcome of the study cohort.	61
Table 8: Comparison of performance of the screening for late and early PE in high risk pregnancies.	62
Table 9: Regression model to calculate (mUtA-PI) for first and second trimester.	64

List of figures

FIGURE	PAGE
Figure 1: Uterine artery Doppler in non- pregnant woman.	42
Figure2: Uterine artey Doppler in pregnant woman.	43
Figure 3: Pregnant uterine artey waveform in the first trimester.	43
Figure 4: Pregnant uterine artery waveform in the second trimester.	44
Figure 5: Pregnant uterine artery waveform in the third trimester.	44
Figure 6: Abnormal pregnant uterine artery waveform demonstrating high resistance.	45
Figure 7: Doppler notching with a normal resistance index.	46
Figure 8: Doppler notching with abnormal resistance index.	46
Figure 9 :showing outcome of our study population according to the uterine artery Doppler in the first and second trimester of pregnancy	65
Figure 10: Box and whisker plots showing the distribution of values of PI of first and second trimester as MoM	66
Figure 11: ... Box and Whisker plots showing the distribution of the values expressed as MoM of the log ratio 2T-1T	67
Figure 12:Receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) curves for detection of late and early PE using mUtA of first and second trimesters	68

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACOG	The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
AUC	Area under the curve
BMI	Body mass index
D	End diastolic frequency shift
DM	Diabetes mellitus
FPR	False positive rate
GA	Gestational age
HTN	Hypertension
IUGR	Intrauterine Growth restriction
LDH	Lactated dehydrogenase
mUtA-PI	Mean uterine artery pulsatility index
MoM	Multiple of the median
n	Number
PAI	Plasminogen activator inhibitors
PI	Pulsatility index
PE	Preeclampsia
PlGF	Plasminogen activator inhibitor
RI	Resistance index
ROC	Receiver-operating characteristics
S	Systole
SD	Standard deviation
SLE	Systemic lupus erythematosus
SGOT	Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase
Th	T-helper cell
TNF	Tumor necrosis factor
TTP	Thrombocytopenic purpura
US	United states
β -HCG	β human chorionic gonadotropin

Pre-eclampsia (PE) affects about 2-8% of pregnancies. Early Pre-eclampsia forms, resulting in delivery before 34 weeks of gestation, account for less than 25% of all cases of Pre-eclampsia, thus complicating only about 0.5-2% of pregnancies. However, these cases accumulate most of the worst outcomes **(sibai et al , 2005)**.

About 5-10% of all pregnant women have risk factors for developing Pre-eclampsia like prior pre-eclampsia and/or intrauterine growth restriction (PE/IUGR), chronic hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic renal disease, certain autoimmune diseases and thrombophilias, high body mass index (BMI) and multiple pregnancy, that increase the incidence of early pre-eclampsia to 3-5%**(Catov et al , 2007)** . Therefore, about a third of the cases of early PE occur in women with these high-risk factors.

However, most of these high-risk patients, which usually undergo intensified surveillance during their pregnancies, do not ever develop pre-eclampsia. Hence, the application of a screening test for pre-eclampsia in a population with a priori high-risk factors for developing pre-eclampsia may be of particular interest in order to achieve a more accurate stratification of risk**(Poon et al , 2009)**

Recently, various screening tests have been published, some of them even reporting a sensitivity and specificity >90% for the detection of early pre-eclampsia **(Harrington , 2011)**. However, the vast majority of them has been described in general populations and has not been validated in high-risk groups.

Among these tests, one of the most promising and simple approach results from the evaluation of the sequential changes of the uterine arteries resistance between the first and the second trimester of pregnancy**(Gomez et al , 2006 ; Plasencia et al , 2008)**.

Aim of The Work

To evaluate the role of uterine artery Doppler (11-14 weeks) and (19-22 weeks) in the prediction of the development of preeclampsia (early or late) in pregnancies with prior risk factor for the development of preeclampsia(eg:previous history of preeclampsia,IUGR,diabetes,renal disease,thrombophilias,chronic hypertension,autoimmune diseases).

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

CHARTER (1)

PREECLAMPSIA

Preeclampsia a pregnancy-specific syndrome that occurs after mid-gestation, is defined by the de novo appearance of hypertension (systolic blood pressure of ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 90 mmHg), accompanied by new-onset proteinuria, defined as ≥ 300 mg per 24 hours or persistent 30 mg/dl (1+ dipstick) in random urine samples (*Sibai et al., 2005*).

As proteinuria may be a late manifestation of preeclampsia, the National High Blood Pressure Education Program (NHBPE) advises clinicians to be suspicious when de novo high blood pressure is accompanied by headache, abdominal pain (epigastric or upper quadrant), or abnormal laboratory tests, specifically low platelet count, abnormal liver enzymes or abnormal renal functions and that it is prudent to treat such patient as if they have preeclampsia (*LaMarca et al., 2008*).

Likewise, patients who have gestational hypertension without proteinuria but with other evidence of new end-organ involvement should be managed as if they have preeclampsia (*Sibai et al., 2005*).

The severity of preeclampsia is assessed by the frequency and intensity of the following abnormalities which include: diastolic blood pressure ≥ 110 mmHg, persistent proteinuria $\geq 2+$, severe persistent headache; visual disturbances; upper abdominal pain, oliguria ≤ 400 ml, convulsion (eclampsia), laboratory abnormalities (abnormal serum creatinine, marked liver enzyme elevation and thrombocytopenia), fetal growth restriction and pulmonary oedema. The differentiation between mild and severe preeclampsia can be misleading because apparently mild disease may progress rapidly to severe disease (*Cunningham et al., 2005*).

Although hypertension is a requisite to diagnosing preeclampsia, blood pressure alone is not always a dependable indicator of its severity. However, a rapid increase in blood pressure followed by convulsions is usually preceded by an unrelenting severe headache or visual disturbances. For this reason, these symptoms are considered ominous (**Cunningham et al., 2005**).

Epidemiological association with preeclampsia

The epidemiology of preeclampsia is complicated by differences in definitions, inaccuracy of different diagnostic criteria and poor record keeping make it virtually impossible to compare the frequency of preeclampsia in different populations from routinely collected data (**Roberts & Gammill , 2005**).

However, it has been reported that preeclampsia affects 2-3% of all pregnant women and has significant implications for both fetus and mother (**Siddiqui et al., 2008**).

Maternal mortality has been reduced in the United States (US), but in countries where prenatal care is not adequate, preeclampsia/eclampsia accounts for 40% to 80% of maternal deaths, an estimated 50 000 per year. Many of these deaths may be preventable with prenatal care and evidence-based prophylactic seizure therapy (**Roberts and Gammill, 2005**).

It is clear that death rates from the disorder are more common in developing countries. Death from preeclampsia is largely preventable. Thus, increased death rates are primarily a marker of quality of care rather than disease frequency (**Siddiqui et al., 2008**).