Evaluation of a New Technique for Early Detection of Breast Lesions among Females Attending National Cancer Institute, Cairo University

Thesis

Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of M.D. Degree in Public Health

By

SHAIMAA ABD ALALEEM ABD ALGELEEL

Supervised by

DR. NARGIS ALBRET LABIB

DR. MAHA MOHAMED GHOBASHI

Professor of Public Health and
Community Medicine

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Professor of Public Health and Community

Medicine

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

DR. MANAR MOHAMED MONEER

Professor of Biostatistics and Cancer

Epidemiology

National Cancer Institute

Cairo University

DR. MAHA MOHAMED HELAL

Professor of Radio-diagnosis

National Cancer Institute

Cairo University

Cairo University

Public Health and Community Medicine



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank Allah for his grace, mercy, and endless gift and for giving me effort to complete this work.

I would like to express my deepest graduate to *Prof. DR. Nargis Albert Labib*, Professor of Public Health and Community Medicine, Cairo University, under whose supervision I had the honor and pleasure to precede this work.

I would like to thank **Prof. DR**. **Maha Mohamed Ghobashi**, Professor of Public Health and Community Medicine, Cairo University, for her support, encouragement and advice.

I am deeply indebted to *Prof. DR. Manar Mohamed Moneer*, Professor of Biostatistics and Cancer Epidemiology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, for her continuous encouragement, endless effort, supervision and guidance in each step in this work.

I would like to thank *Prof. DR. Maha Helal*, Professor of Radio-Diagnosis, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, for her help and support.

I want to thank my family and friends for their support and patience when I have been one-track minded about my thesis.

Finally, this thesis could never have been written without the more than 300 women who have answered our questionnaires and participated in the study - their contribution is appreciated.

ABSTRACT

Breast cancer is the most important cancer affecting women. It is by far the commonest cancer among Egyptian women and represents 37% of all female cancers.

Aim: assess different risk factors of breast cancer, assess the knowledge about risk factors and early detection methods of breast cancer and identify the validity (sensitivity; specificity; predictive values; accuracy) and agreement of breast light in screening of breast cancer compared to mammography and biopsy if available.

Subjects and Methods: Hospital-based cross sectional study was conducted at National Cancer Institute, Cairo University in the period from 20 April 2012 till 20 February 2014. The study tools included: \(\)- interviewer administrated questionnaire. It was prepared to get some socio-demographic characteristics, and risk factors of breast cancer, assess knowledge about risk factors of breast cancer and early detection measures of breast cancer. \(\)- Evaluation of breast using breast light technique. \(\)- Mammographic and ultrasound evaluation of the breast. \(\)- Pathological results of specified cases if biopsy or surgical excision was performed were retrieved from patient's records.

Results: The study involved 310 females. The frequency of breast cancer more common among: old age, illiterate cases, women who had early menarche and later menopause, females use of hormonal contraception, women had first birth at age \geq 30 years, women's sleep <7 hours/day, women with total physical activity <9 MET-h/week. Only 27.1 % of the participants knew about early detection measures of breast cancer. Breast self-examination was known by 11% of the participants as a method of early detection. Breast illumination method had sensitivity of 93.0%, specificity of 73.7%, Positive predictive value (PPV) of 91. 4%, negative predictive value (NPV) of 77.8% and total accuracy of breast illumination method was 88.2% in detection of breast cancer. Breast illumination and mammogram were concordant in 89.4%.

The study **concluded** that. Breast-light was highly effective in identifying breast cancer and it is considered to be an important tool in the early detection of breast cancer. The study **recommended** the need for health education to improve awareness about breast cancer and early detection measures of breast cancer. Use of breast illumination method in primary health care centre and by women at home in particular it would be of great assistance for early detection of breast cancer.

Key words: Breast cancer, Early detection, Mammogram, Breast illumination Methods

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content	Page
-List of Abbreviations	I
-List of Important Definitions	III
-List of Tables	IV
-List of Figures	VI
- List of Images	VIII
-Introduction	1
-Aim of the Study	6
-Review of Literature	
I - Breast Lesion	
A) Structure and Function of the Female	
Breast	7
B) Histopathological Classification of Breast Cancer	9
C) Sign and Symptoms of Breast Cancer	10
II-Global Burden and Staging of Breast Cancer	
A) Global Burden of Breast Cancer	11
B) Staging of Breast Cancer	13
III-Risk Factor of Breast Cancer	17
1) Demographic Factors	19
2) Genetic Factors	19
3) Reproductive Factors	21
4) Hormonal Factor	24
5) Benign Breast Disease	27
6) Breast Density	28
7) Anthropometric Measurement	28
8) Behavioral Factor	31
9) Diet	34

10)	Environmental and Occupational Factors	39
11)	Radiation	41
IV- Scre	ening of breast cancer	•••
	oduction	42
,	al of Screening	42
ŕ	eening Mammography	43
D) Bre	ast Self-Examination	55
E) Bre	ast Magnetic Resonance Imaging	59
F) Bre	ast Light	64
G) Ger	ne Detection Breast Cancer	68
V) Guide	line for breast cancer screening	70
•	reness about risk factors of breast cancer a	nd
· ·	letection measure	
A) Intr	oduction	72
B) Pro	motion of Knowledge	73
C) Sou	rce of Information	75
D) Fac	tors Associated with Awareness of Breast	
Car	ncer Risk Factors, and Early Detection	77
-Subject a	and Methods	78
-Results		90
-Discussio	on	119
-Conclusi	on	145
-Recomm	endations	146
-Summar	y	147
-Reference	ces	
-Annex 1		
-Arabic S	lummarv	

LIST of ABBREVIATIONS

ACS American Cancer Society
ACR American College of Radiology
ADH Atypical Ductal Hyperplasia

AICR American Institute for Cancer Research
AJCC American Joint Committee of Cancer

ATM Ataxia Telangiectasia Gene B Regression Coefficient

BIRAD Breast Imaging Reporting and Data

System

BMI Body Mass Index

BSE Breast Self-Examination
CBE Clinical Breast Examination

CI Confidence Interval

CYP1A1 Cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily A

DCIS Ductal Carcinoma In- situ

DDE Dichloro Diphenyl Dichlroethylene
DDT Dichloro- Diphenyl- Trichloroethane
DMIST Digital Mammographic Screening Trial
DMPA Depo-Medroxyprogestron -Acetate

DNA Deoxyribonucleic Acid

E Estrogen

EMF Electromagnetic Field EP Estrogen-Progesterone ER Estrogen Receptor

ERPR Estrogen Receptor-Progesterone Receptor

GI Glycemic Index GL Glycemic Load

H Hour

HAAs Heterocyclic Aromtic Amin

HR Hazard Ratio

HRT Hormone Replacement Therapy

IGF-1 Insulin Growth Factor-1 IRR Incidence Rate Ratio

IARC International Agency for Research on

Cancer

IRB Institutional Review Board LCIS Lobular Carcinoma In- situ

LN Lobular Neoplasia

LR+ likelihood Ratio for Positive Test LR- likelihood Ratio for Negative Test

M Month

MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MET Metabolic Equivalent

MET h/week Metabolic Equivalent/hours/week

M Month

NAT2 N- Acetyl Transferase2
NCI National Cancer Institute

NCRPE National Cancer Registry Program of

Egypt

NPV Negative Predictive Value
OCs Oral Contraceptives
OCP Oral Contraceptive Pills

OR Odd Ratio
ORs Odd Ratios
PA Physical Activity

PCBs Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PR Progesterone Receptor
PPV Positive Predictive Value

PTEN Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog

Q Quintile RR Relative Risk

SHBG Six Hormone Binding Globulin

SD Standard Deviation SE Standard Error

TDDU Telediaphanography with Doppler

Ultrasound

TDLUs Terminal Ductal Lobular Units

TP-53 Tumor Protein- 53

USPSTF United States Preventive Services Task

force

VS Versus

WCRF World Cancer Research Fund
WHI Women Health Initiative
WHO World Health Organization

yrs Years

LIST of IMPORTANT DEFINITIONS

Term	Definitions
Breast Light	Hand held device emit high intensity light, trans illuminate breast to detect any breast lesions
Mammogram	Mammography is an x- ray machine which detects breast cancer
Metabolic Equivalent (MET)	One MET represents the rate of oxygen consumption (VO2) of a seated individual at rest, equivalent to approximately 3.5 ml·kg-1·min-1. Thus, an individual performing an activity of 4 METs is consuming oxygen at a rate 4 times that at rest
Positive Predictive Value	Probability that the disease is present when the test is positive.
Negative Predictive Value	Probability that the disease is not present when the test is negative.
Risk Period for Breast cell	The time from menarche till first full pregnancy and it corresponded to the time for complete maturation of breast tissue cells
Screening of Breast Cancer	Detect early disease when it asymptomatic
Sensitivity	Probability that a test result will be positive when the disease is present.
Specificity	Probability that a test result will be negative when the disease is not present.

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristic of the studied	91
group	
Table 2: Menstrual history of the studied group	93
Table 3: Hormonal contraceptive history of the studied	
group	95
Table 4: Reproductive and lactation history among the	
studied group	97
Table 5: Family history of malignancy among the studied	
group	98
Table 6 : History of benign lesions among the studied group	100
Table 7: Life style characteristics among the studied group	101
Table 8 Assessment of physical activity among the studied	
group	103
Table 9: Nutritional history and habits among the studied	
group	104
Table 10: Multivariate analysis of risk factors affecting	
development of breast cancer	105
Table 11: Knowledge about some risk factors for breast	
cancer	106
Table 12: Knowledge regarding methods and benefits of	
early detection of breast cancer	108
Table 13: Source of information about breast cancer and	
early detection methods among the participants	110

4: Practice of breast self-examination as an early	
detection method of breast cancer	111
5: Knowledge about warning symptoms of breast	
cancer and side effects of repeated mammogram	112
6: Complaint and source of referral of the studied	
group	114
7: Relation between age, education and work to	
knowledge about breast cancer	115
8: Relation between age, education and work to	
knowledge about early detection measures of	
breast cancer	115
9: Results of breast illumination, mammogram and	
pathology	116
20: Agreement between mammogram and breast	
illumination method	116
21: Relation of breast illumination method to	
pathological results of biopsy	117
2: Relation of mammogram to pathological results	
of higher	118
	detection method of breast cancer

LIST of FIGURES

Figure	page
Figure 1: Flow chart of subjects enrolled in the study	90
Figure 2: Malignant and non-malignant cases in the studied	
group	92
Figure 3: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to age	92
Figure 4: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to	
menarche	94
Figure 5: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to	
menopause	94
Figure 6: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to	
hormonal contraceptive use	96
Figure 7: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to age	98
of first full term pregnancy	
Figure 8: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to	
family history	99
Figure 9: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to	
duration of sleep	102
Figure 10: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to	
exposure to passive smoking	102

Figure 11: Malignant and non-malignant cases in relation to	
physical activity	103
Figure 12: Knowledge about risk factors for breast cancer among the studied group	107
Figure 13: Knowledge about early detection measures of breast	
cancer	109
Figure 14: Knowledge about methods of early detection of breast cancer among the studied group	109
Figure 15: Practice of breast self-examination among the studied group	112
Figure 16: Knowledge about warning symptoms of breast	
cancer among the studied group	113
Figure 17: Warning symptoms of breast cancer	113
Figure 18: Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and total	
accuracy of breast illumination and mammogram	118

LIST of IMAGES

Images	page
Image1: Breast light device	64
Image 2: Use of Breast light for Examining Breast	66
Image 3: 3 x 7 cm tumor at 9 o'clock	66
Image 4: 1.5 cm tumor 12 o'clock	67

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is a disease affecting both developed and developing countries (*Dündar et al.*, 2006). It ranks as the fifth cause of death from cancer overall and is still the leading cause of cancer mortality in women (*WHO*, 2012).

Breast cancer is the most common cause of cancer mortality, accounting for 16% of cancer deaths in women. It is estimated that 7.4 million people died of cancer in 2004 and, if current trends continue, 83.2 million people will have died by 2015 (World Health Organization, 2008).

Women have one in eight risk of having breast cancer during their lifetime and early detection through screening is the only way to reduce morbidity and mortality (*Beydağ and Yürügen, 2010*). While breast cancer incidence has been shown to have stabilized or to be decreasing in some western countries, breast cancer burden has steadily increased in many developing countries (*Parkin et al., 2008*).

In Egypt, breast cancer is the most common cancer among women, representing 18.9% of total cancer cases (35.1% in women and 2.2% in men) (*Elatar et al., 2013*), according to **Gharbiah Population Based** Cancer Registry, breast cancer was the most frequent cancer among females as it represented 17.5% of all incident cancers, accounting for 35.7% of all newly diagnosed female cancers (*Ibrahim et al., 2007*).

The survival rate from breast cancer in developing countries is generally poorer than in developed countries, primarily as a result of delayed diagnosis of cases. Breast cancer is a dangerous disease but it could be very simple to treat if discovered in an early stage (*Motawy et*